**FRTC Modernization EIS** 

Supporting Study

Noise Study for Military Readiness Activities at the Fallon Range Training Complex This Page Intentionally Left Blank

# Blue Ridge Research and Consulting, LLC

**Final Report** 

# Noise Study for Military Readiness Activities at the Fallon Range Training Complex

November 2018

Prepared for ManTech SRS Technologies 12015 Lee Jackson Memorial Hwy Fairfax, VA 22033

Task Order No. MSRS-16-T-FP-0010

Prepared by Micah Downing, PhD Josh Mellon Ben Manning

Report No. BRRC 16-18 Blue Ridge Research and Consulting, LLC 29 N Market St, Suite 700 Asheville, NC 28801 (p) 828.252.2209 (f) 831.603.8321 <u>BlueRidgeResearch.com</u>



# 1 List of Acronyms

2	ac.	Acres
3	AACT	Advanced Air Combat Training
4	ACT	Adversary Combat Training
5	ADNL	A-Weighted Day-Night Average Sound Level
6	ADNLmr	A-Weighted Onset-Rate Adjusted Day-Night Average Sound Level
7	ADV	Adversary
8	AGL	Above Ground Level
9	AGNM	Air Gunnery Noise Model
10	AR	Army Regulation
11	Army PHC	US Army Public Health Command
12	ATCAA	Air Traffic Control Assigned Airspace
13	BFM	Basic Fighter Maneuvers
14	BNoise	Large Arms Noise Assessment Model
15	BP	Bombing Pattern
16	dB	Decibel
17	dBA	A-Weighted Sound Level
18	dB <sub>Pk</sub>	Peak Sound Pressure Level
19	CAS	Close Air Support
20	CVW	Carrier Air Wing
21	CDNL	C-Weighted Day-Night Average Sound Level
22	CSAR	Combat Search and Rescue
23	DCAST	Data Collection and Scheduling Tool
24	DNL	Day-Night Average Sound Level
25	DoD	Department of Defense
26	DOPAA	Description of Proposed Action and Alternatives
27	DVTA	Dixie Valley Training Area
28	EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
29	FAC	Fast Attack Craft
30	FIAC	Fast Inshore Attack Craft
31	FRTC	Fallon Range Training Complex
32	ft	Feet
33	HE	High Explosive
34	HEI	High Explosive Impact
35	HIA	Heavy Inert Area
36	HVBSS	Helicopter Visit Board Search and Seizure
37	Hz	Hertz
38	kts	Knots
39	lbs	Pounds
40	L <sub>Cdn</sub>	C-Weighted Day-Night Average Sound Level
41	L <sub>dn</sub>	A-Weighted Day-Night Average Sound Level

1	L <sub>dnmr</sub>	A-Weighted Onset-Rate Adjusted Day-Night Average Sound Level
2	L <sub>dnr</sub>	Onset-Rate Adjusted L <sub>dn</sub>
3	LFE	Large Force Exercise
4	L <sub>Pk</sub>	Peak Sound Level
5	LUPZ	Land Use Planning Zone
6	LZ	Landing Zone
7	m	Meter
8	mm	Millimeter
9	MARS	Maritime Strike
10	MOA	Military Operating Area
11	MR_NMap	MOA and Route NoiseMap Model
12	MSL	Mean Sea Level
13	MTR	Military Training Route
14	NAS	Naval Air Station
15	NAVFAC	Naval Facilities Engineering Command
16	NAWDC	Naval Aviation Warfighting Development Center
17	NM	Nautical Mile
18	PMCF	Post Maintenance Check Flights
19	psf	Pounds per Square Foot
20	SARNAM	Small Arms Range Noise Assessment Model
21	SDZ	Surface Danger Zone
22	SEL	Sound Exposure Level
23	SSOA	Supersonic Operating Area
24	STRIKE U	Naval Strike Warfare Center
25	SUA	Special Use Airspace
26	TERF	Terrain Flight
27	TOPDOME	Carrier Airborne Early Warning Weapons School
28	TOPGUN	Navy Fighter Weapons School
29	WISS	Weapons Impact Scoring Set



# Table of Contents

3       1.1 Purpose	2	1	Intro	oductior	٦	8
4       1.2 Description of Fallon Range Training Complex       1.3         5       1.3 Overview of Scenarios       11         6       1.4 Report Outline       11         7       2 Noise Metrics and Models       11         8       2.1 Noise Metrics       11         9       2.2 Computerized Noise Exposure Models       12         10       2.2.1 MOA and Route NoiseMap Model (MR_NMap)       14         11       2.2.2 BooMap       11         12       2.2.3 PCBoom       11         13       2.2.4 Air Gunnery Noise Model (AGNM)       11         14       2.2.5 Large Arms Noise Assessment Model (BNoise)       11         15       2.2.6 Small Arms Range Noise Assessment Model (SARNAM)       11         16       3 Subsonic Aircraft Noise       12         17       3.1 Bravo-16 Range Flight Training Missions       22         18       3.1.1 Tracked Missions       22         20       3.2 Bravo-17 Range Flight Training Missions       22         21       3.2.1 Tracked Missions       22         22       3.2.2 Area Missions       23         23       3.3 Bravo-19 Range Flight Training Missions       23         24       3.3.1 Tracked Missions       23	3		1.1	Purpos	e	8
5       1.3       Overview of Scenarios       11         6       1.4       Report Outline       11         7       2       Noise Metrics and Models       11         8       2.1       Noise Metrics       11         9       2.2       Computerized Noise Exposure Models       12         10       2.2.1       MOA and Route NoiseMap Model (MR_NMap)       14         11       2.2.2       BooMap       11         12       2.2.3       PCBoom       12         13       2.2.4       Air Gunnery Noise Model (AGNM)       12         14       2.2.5       Large Arms Noise Assessment Model (BNoise)       11         15       2.2.6       Small Arms Range Noise Assessment Model (SARNAM)       11         16       3       Subsonic Aircraft Noise       12         17       3.1       Bravo-16 Range Flight Training Missions       12         18       3.1.1       Tracked Missions       22         20       3.2       Bravo-17 Range Flight Training Missions       22         21       3.2.1       Tracked Missions       22         22       3.2.2       Area Missions       23         3.3       Bravo-19 Range Flight Training Miss	4		1.2	Descrip	ption of Fallon Range Training Complex	8
6       1.4 Report Outline       11         7       2       Noise Metrics and Models       11         8       2.1 Noise Metrics       11         9       2.2 Computerized Noise Exposure Models       11         10       2.2.1 MOA and Route NoiseMap Model (MR_NMap)       12         11       2.2.2 BooMap       11         12       2.2.3 PCBoom       11         13       2.2.4 Air Gunnery Noise Model (AGNM)       11         14       2.2.5 Large Arms Noise Assessment Model (BNoise)       11         15       2.2.6 Small Arms Range Noise Assessment Model (SARNAM)       11         16       3       Subsonic Aircraft Noise       12         17       3.1 Bravo-16 Range Flight Training Missions       12         18       3.1.1 Tracked Missions       22         3.2       Bravo-17 Range Flight Training Missions       22         3.2.1 Tracked Missions       23         23       3.3 Bravo-19 Range Flight Training Missions       32         24       3.3.1 Tracked Missions       33         25       3.3.2 Area Missions       33         26       3.4 Bravo-20 Range Flight Training Missions       33         27       3.4.2 Area Missions       33	5		1.3	Overvi	ew of Scenarios	11
7       2       Noise Metrics and Models.       11         8       2.1       Noise Metrics.       11         9       2.2       Computerized Noise Exposure Models       14         10       2.2.1       MOA and Route NoiseMap Model (MR_NMap)       14         11       2.2.2       BooMap       14         12       2.2.3       PCBoom       15         13       2.2.4       Air Gunnery Noise Model (AGNM)       15         14       2.2.5       Large Arms Noise Assessment Model (BNoise)       17         15       2.2.6       Small Arms Range Noise Assessment Model (SARNAM)       17         16       3       Subsonic Aircraft Noise       14         17       3.1       Bravo-16 Range Flight Training Missions       15         18       3.1.1       Tracked Missions       27         19       3.1.2       Area Missions       27         20       3.2       Bravo-17 Range Flight Training Missions       28         21       3.2.1       Tracked Missions       28         22       3.2.2       Area Missions       29         3.3       Bravo-19 Range Flight Training Missions       33         22       3.2.2       Area Missi	6		1.4	Report	Outline	11
8       2.1 Noise Metrics.       11         9       2.2 Computerized Noise Exposure Models       14         10       2.1 MOA and Route NoiseMap Model (MR_NMap)       14         11       2.2.2 BooMap       14         12       2.2.3 PCBoom       15         13       2.2.4 Air Gunnery Noise Model (AGNM)       15         14       2.2.5 Large Arms Noise Assessment Model (BNoise)       17         15       2.2.6 Small Arms Range Noise Assessment Model (SARNAM)       17         16       3 Subsonic Aircraft Noise       16         3       Subsonic Aircraft Noise       18         3.1.1 Tracked Missions       22         19       3.1.2 Area Missions       22         3.2.1 Tracked Missions       22         3.2.2 Area Missions       22         3.3 Bravo-17 Range Flight Training Missions       22         3.2.1 Tracked Missions       23         3.3       Bravo-20 Range Flight Training Missions       24         3.3.1 Tracked Missions       33         24       3.3.2 Area Missions       33         25       3.3.2 Area Missions       34         3.4.1 Tracked Missions       34         3.5       Area Missions       35	7	2	Nois	se Metri	cs and Models	12
9       2.2 Computerized Noise Exposure Models       14         10       2.2.1 MOA and Route NoiseMap Model (MR_NMap)       14         11       2.2.2 BooMap       11         12       2.2.3 PCBoom       11         13       2.2.4 Air Gunnery Noise Model (AGNM)       11         14       2.2.5 Large Arms Noise Assessment Model (BNoise)       11         15       2.2.6 Small Arms Range Noise Assessment Model (SARNAM)       11         16       3 Subsonic Aircraft Noise       14         17       3.1 Bravo-16 Range Flight Training Missions       12         18       3.1.1 Tracked Missions       22         19       3.1.2 Area Missions       22         20       3.2 Bravo-17 Range Flight Training Missions       22         21       3.2.1 Tracked Missions       22         22       3.2.2 Area Missions       22         3.3 Bravo-19 Range Flight Training Missions       23         23       3.3 Bravo-20 Range Flight Training Missions       33         24       3.3.1 Tracked Missions       34         3.4       Tracked Missions       34         3.5       Area Missions       34         3.6       Bravo-20 Range Flight Training Missions       34 <t< td=""><td>8</td><td></td><td>2.1</td><td>Noise I</td><td>Vetrics</td><td>12</td></t<>	8		2.1	Noise I	Vetrics	12
10       2.2.1       MOA and Route NoiseMap Model (MR_NMap)       14         11       2.2.2       BooMap       11         12       2.2.3       PCBoom       11         13       2.2.4       Air Gunnery Noise Model (AGNM)       14         14       2.2.5       Large Arms Noise Assessment Model (BNoise)       17         15       2.2.6       Small Arms Range Noise Assessment Model (SARNAM)       17         16       3       Subsonic Aircraft Noise       18         17       3.1       Bravo-16 Range Flight Training Missions       19         18       3.1.1       Tracked Missions       22         20       3.2       Bravo-17 Range Flight Training Missions       22         21       3.2.1       Tracked Missions       22         22       3.2.2       Area Missions       22         3.2.1       Tracked Missions       24       3.3.1       Tracked Missions         23       3.3       Bravo-19 Range Flight Training Missions       33         24       3.3.1       Tracked Missions       33         25       3.3.2       Area Missions       33         26       3.4       Bravo-20 Range Flight Training Missions       33	9		2.2	Compu	Iterized Noise Exposure Models	14
11       2.2.2       BooMap       11         12       2.2.3       PCBoom       11         13       2.2.4       Air Gunnery Noise Model (AGNM)       11         14       2.2.5       Large Arms Noise Assessment Model (BNoise)       11         15       2.2.6       Small Arms Range Noise Assessment Model (SARNAM)       11         16       3       Subsonic Aircraft Noise       11         17       3.1       Bravo-16 Range Flight Training Missions       12         18       3.1.1       Tracked Missions       22         19       3.1.2       Area Missions       22         20       3.2       Bravo-17 Range Flight Training Missions       22         21       3.2.1       Tracked Missions       22         22       3.2.2       Area Missions       22         3.2.1       Tracked Missions       23       3.3       Bravo-19 Range Flight Training Missions       33         24       3.3.1       Tracked Missions       33       34       33.1       Tracked Missions       33         25       3.3.2       Area Missions       33       34       34.1       Tracked Missions       33         26       3.4       Bravo-20 Range Fligh	10			2.2.1	MOA and Route NoiseMap Model (MR_NMap)	14
12       2.2.3       PCBoom       11         13       2.2.4       Air Gunnery Noise Model (AGNM)       11         14       2.2.5       Large Arms Noise Assessment Model (BNoise)       11         15       2.2.6       Small Arms Range Noise Assessment Model (SARNAM)       11         16       3       Subsonic Aircraft Noise       11         17       3.1       Bravo-16 Range Flight Training Missions       12         18       3.1.1       Tracked Missions       22         19       3.1.2       Area Missions       22         20       3.2       Bravo-17 Range Flight Training Missions       22         21       3.2.1       Tracked Missions       22         22       3.2.2       Area Missions       24         23       3.3       Bravo-19 Range Flight Training Missions       32         24       3.3.1       Tracked Missions       32         25       3.3.2       Area Missions       33         26       3.4       Bravo-20 Range Flight Training Missions       33         27       3.4.1       Tracked Missions       33         28       3.4.2       Area Missions       34         39       3.5       Commo	11			2.2.2	BooMap	15
13       2.2.4       Air Gunnery Noise Model (AGNM)       14         14       2.2.5       Large Arms Noise Assessment Model (BNoise)       15         15       2.2.6       Small Arms Range Noise Assessment Model (SARNAM)       15         16       3       Subsonic Aircraft Noise       16         17       3.1       Bravo-16 Range Flight Training Missions       16         18       3.1.1       Tracked Missions       27         19       3.1.2       Area Missions       27         20       3.2       Bravo-17 Range Flight Training Missions       27         21       3.2.1       Tracked Missions       27         22       3.2.2       Area Missions       27         23       3.3       Bravo-19 Range Flight Training Missions       28         24       3.3.1       Tracked Missions       39         25       3.3.2       Area Missions       31         26       3.4       Bravo-20 Range Flight Training Missions       32         27       3.4.1       Tracked Missions       32         28       3.4.2       Area Missions       32         29       3.5       Commodore and Adversary Combat Training Range Flight Operations       33 <t< td=""><td>12</td><td></td><td></td><td>2.2.3</td><td>PCBoom</td><td>15</td></t<>	12			2.2.3	PCBoom	15
14       2.2.5       Large Arms Noise Assessment Model (BNoise)       17         15       2.2.6       Small Arms Range Noise Assessment Model (SARNAM)       17         16       3       Subsonic Aircraft Noise       18         17       3.1       Bravo-16 Range Flight Training Missions       12         18       3.1.1       Tracked Missions       22         19       3.1.2       Area Missions       22         20       3.2       Bravo-17 Range Flight Training Missions       22         21       3.2.1       Tracked Missions       22         22       3.2.2       Area Missions       24         23       3.3       Bravo-19 Range Flight Training Missions       32         24       3.3.1       Tracked Missions       32         25       3.3.2       Area Missions       32         26       3.4       Bravo-20 Range Flight Training Missions       33         27       3.4.1       Tracked Missions       34         36       Bravo-20 Range Flight Training Missions       34         37       3.4.1       Tracked Missions       34         38       3.4.2       Area Missions       34         37       Missions       34	13			2.2.4	Air Gunnery Noise Model (AGNM)	15
15       2.2.6       Small Arms Range Noise Assessment Model (SARNAM)       17         16       3       Subsonic Aircraft Noise       18         17       3.1       Bravo-16 Range Flight Training Missions       19         18       3.1.1       Tracked Missions       21         19       3.1.2       Area Missions       22         20       3.2       Bravo-17 Range Flight Training Missions       22         21       3.2.1       Tracked Missions       22         22       3.2.2       Area Missions       24         23       3.3       Bravo-19 Range Flight Training Missions       32         24       3.3.1       Tracked Missions       32         25       3.3.2       Area Missions       33         26       3.4       Bravo-20 Range Flight Training Missions       34         27       3.4.1       Tracked Missions       34         37       3.4.1       Tracked Missions       34         38       3.4.2       Area Missions       34         39       3.5       Commodore and Adversary Combat Training Range Flight Operations       34         31       3.5.2       Area Missions       34         32       3.6	14			2.2.5	Large Arms Noise Assessment Model (BNoise)	
163Subsonic Aircraft Noise	15			2.2.6	Small Arms Range Noise Assessment Model (SARNAM)	
173.1 Bravo-16 Range Flight Training Missions19183.1.1 Tracked Missions21193.1.2 Area Missions21203.2 Bravo-17 Range Flight Training Missions22213.2.1 Tracked Missions24223.2.2 Area Missions24233.3 Bravo-19 Range Flight Training Missions32243.2.1 Tracked Missions34253.3.2 Area Missions34263.4 Bravo-20 Range Flight Training Missions34273.4.1 Tracked Missions34283.4.2 Area Missions34393.5 Commodore and Adversary Combat Training Range Flight Operations44303.5.1 Tracked Missions44313.5.2 Area Missions44323.6 Reno MOA Flight Operations44333.7 Mission Erroupport and Distribution44	16	3	Sub	sonic Ai	rcraft Noise	
183.1.1Tracked Missions21193.1.2Area Missions21203.2Bravo-17 Range Flight Training Missions22213.2.1Tracked Missions22223.2.2Area Missions24233.3Bravo-19 Range Flight Training Missions31243.3.1Tracked Missions32253.3.2Area Missions32263.4Bravo-20 Range Flight Training Missions33273.4.1Tracked Missions34283.4.2Area Missions34393.5Commodore and Adversary Combat Training Range Flight Operations43313.5.2Area Missions44323.6Reno MOA Flight Operations44333.4Missions44	17		3.1	Bravo-	16 Range Flight Training Missions	19
193.1.2Area Missions21203.2Bravo-17 Range Flight Training Missions21213.2.1Tracked Missions24223.2.2Area Missions24233.3Bravo-19 Range Flight Training Missions39243.3.1Tracked Missions39253.3.2Area Missions39263.4Bravo-20 Range Flight Training Missions39273.4.1Tracked Missions39283.4.2Area Missions36293.5Commodore and Adversary Combat Training Range Flight Operations43313.5.2Area Missions43323.6Reno MOA Flight Operations44333.7Missions44343.6Reno MOA Flight Operations44333.7Missions44343.6Reno MOA Flight Operations44333.7Missions44	18			3.1.1	Tracked Missions	21
203.2Bravo-17 Range Flight Training Missions22213.2.1Tracked Missions24223.2.2Area Missions24233.3Bravo-19 Range Flight Training Missions35243.3.1Tracked Missions35253.3.2Area Missions35263.4Bravo-20 Range Flight Training Missions36273.4.1Tracked Missions36283.4.2Area Missions36293.5Commodore and Adversary Combat Training Range Flight Operations43303.5.1Tracked Missions43313.5.2Area Missions43323.6Reno MOA Flight Operations44333.7Missions44343.5Area Missions44	19			3.1.2	Area Missions	21
213.2.1Tracked Missions28223.2.2Area Missions28233.3Bravo-19 Range Flight Training Missions39243.3.1Tracked Missions39253.3.2Area Missions39263.4Bravo-20 Range Flight Training Missions38273.4.1Tracked Missions38283.4.2Area Missions38293.5Commodore and Adversary Combat Training Range Flight Operations43303.5.1Tracked Missions43313.5.2Area Missions44323.6Reno MOA Flight Operations44333.7Missions44	20		3.2	Bravo-	17 Range Flight Training Missions	22
223.2.2 Area Missions24233.3 Bravo-19 Range Flight Training Missions39243.3.1 Tracked Missions39253.3.2 Area Missions39263.4 Bravo-20 Range Flight Training Missions38273.4.1 Tracked Missions38283.4.2 Area Missions38293.5 Commodore and Adversary Combat Training Range Flight Operations43313.5.2 Area Missions43323.6 Reno MOA Flight Operations44333.7 Mission Erequency and Distribution44	21			3.2.1	Tracked Missions	
233.3Bravo-19 Range Flight Training Missions33243.3.1Tracked Missions34253.3.2Area Missions34263.4Bravo-20 Range Flight Training Missions36273.4.1Tracked Missions38283.4.2Area Missions38293.5Commodore and Adversary Combat Training Range Flight Operations43303.5.1Tracked Missions43313.5.2Area Missions44323.6Reno MOA Flight Operations44333.7Missions44343.52Area Missions3536Reno MOA Flight Operations443637Mission Frequency and Distribution44	22			3.2.2	Area Missions	
243.3.1Tracked Missions31253.3.2Area Missions32263.4Bravo-20 Range Flight Training Missions38273.4.1Tracked Missions38283.4.2Area Missions38293.5Commodore and Adversary Combat Training Range Flight Operations43303.5.1Tracked Missions43313.5.2Area Missions43323.6Reno MOA Flight Operations44333.7Mission Erequency and Distribution44	23		3.3	Bravo-	19 Range Elight Training Missions	35
25       3.3.2       Area Missions       35         26       3.4       Bravo-20 Range Flight Training Missions       38         27       3.4.1       Tracked Missions       38         28       3.4.2       Area Missions       38         29       3.5       Commodore and Adversary Combat Training Range Flight Operations       43         30       3.5.1       Tracked Missions       43         31       3.5.2       Area Missions       43         32       3.6       Reno MOA Flight Operations       44         33       3.7       Mission Frequency and Distribution       44	24		5.5	3.3.1	Tracked Missions	
263.4Bravo-20 Range Flight Training Missions38273.4.1Tracked Missions38283.4.2Area Missions38293.5Commodore and Adversary Combat Training Range Flight Operations43303.5.1Tracked Missions43313.5.2Area Missions43323.6Reno MOA Flight Operations49333.7Mission Erequency and Distribution40	25			3.3.2	Area Missions	
20       3.4       Brave-20 kange Fight Training Missions       36         27       3.4.1       Tracked Missions       38         28       3.4.2       Area Missions       38         29       3.5       Commodore and Adversary Combat Training Range Flight Operations       43         30       3.5.1       Tracked Missions       43         31       3.5.2       Area Missions       43         32       3.6       Reno MOA Flight Operations       43         33       3.7       Mission Erequency and Distribution       44	26		2 /	Bravo	20 Panga Elight Training Missions	20
28       3.4.2       Area Missions       38         29       3.5       Commodore and Adversary Combat Training Range Flight Operations       43         30       3.5.1       Tracked Missions       43         31       3.5.2       Area Missions       43         32       3.6       Reno MOA Flight Operations       49         33       3.7       Mission Erequency and Distribution       40	20		5.4		Tracked Missions	
29       3.5       Commodore and Adversary Combat Training Range Flight Operations       43         30       3.5.1       Tracked Missions       43         31       3.5.2       Area Missions       43         32       3.6       Reno MOA Flight Operations       49         33       3.7       Mission Erequency and Distribution       40	27			3.4.2	Area Missions	
29       3.5       Commodore and Adversary Combat Training Range Flight Operations       43         30       3.5.1       Tracked Missions       43         31       3.5.2       Area Missions       43         32       3.6       Reno MOA Flight Operations       49         33       3.7       Mission Erequency and Distribution       40	20		2 5	6		42
31       3.5.2       Area Missions       43         32       3.6       Reno MOA Flight Operations       49         33       3.7       Mission Erequency and Distribution       40	29 30		3.5	Comm	odore and Adversary Combat Training Range Flight Operations	43
<ul> <li>32</li> <li>3.6 Reno MOA Flight Operations</li></ul>	31			3.5.2	Area Missions	
32 3.0 Reno MOA Flight Operations	37		26	Pono N	AQA Elight Operations	40
	32 33		3.0 2.7	Missio	n Frequency and Distribution	49 ۸۵
34 3.8 Tracked Operations	34		ן.י אר	Tracke	d Onerations	4 <del>4</del>
35 3.9 Subsonic Aircraft Noise Results	35		3.0 3.9	Subsor	nic Aircraft Noise Results	
36 3.10 Supplemental Subsonic Aircraft Noise Analysis for Selected Points of Interest	36		3.10	) Supple	mental Subsonic Aircraft Noise Analysis for Selected Points of Interest	



1	4	Supersonic Flight Operations	
2		4.1 Operational Parameters	
3		4.2 Sonic Boom Exposure Results	80
4	5	Air Gunnery Noise Modeling	
5		5.1 Air Gunnery Operations	
6		5.2 Air Gunnery Noise Results	
7	6	High Explosives	
8		6.1 HE Expenditures	
9		6.2 HE Explosive Noise Results	
10	7	Ground-to-Ground Small Arms Training	
11	8	References	
12			

# 13 List of Figures

14	FIGURE 1-1. FRTC STUDY AREA	10
15	FIGURE 3-1. PROPOSED EXPANSION OF THE B-16 RANGE	20
16	FIGURE 3-2. BASELINE AND PROPOSED FIXED-WING AND HELICOPTER MODELED TRACKS FOR B-16 TRAINING OPERATIONS	23
17	FIGURE 3-3. B-16 BASELINE MODELED OPERATIONAL AREAS (INCLUDING R-4803)	24
18	FIGURE 3-4. B-16 PROPOSED EXPANSION MODELED OPERATIONAL AREAS (INCLUDING R-4803)	25
19	FIGURE 3-5. PROPOSED EXPANSION OF THE B-17 RANGE FOR ALTERNATIVE 1	26
20	FIGURE 3-6. PROPOSED EXPANSION OF THE B-17 RANGE FOR ALTERNATIVE 3	27
21	FIGURE 3-7. BASELINE FIXED-WING AND HELICOPTER ROUTES INTO/OUT AND WITHIN B-17	29
22	FIGURE 3-8. PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE 1 FIXED-WING AND HELICOPTER ROUTES INTO/OUT AND WITHIN B-17	30
23	FIGURE 3-9. PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE 3 FIXED-WING AND HELICOPTER ROUTES INTO/OUT AND WITHIN B-17	31
24	FIGURE 3-10. B-17 BASELINE MODELED OPERATIONAL AREAS (INCLUDING R-4804)	32
25	FIGURE 3-11. PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE 1 MODELED OPERATIONAL AREAS (INCLUDING R-4804)	33
26	FIGURE 3-12. PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE 3 MODELED OPERATIONAL AREAS (INCLUDING R-4804)	34
27	FIGURE 3-13. FIXED-WING AND HELICOPTER MODELED TRACK OPERATIONS INTO/OUT AND WITHIN B-19	36
28	FIGURE 3-14. B-19 BASELINE AND PROPOSED MODELED OPERATIONAL AREAS (INCLUDING R-4810)	37
29	FIGURE 3-15. BASELINE FIXED-WING AND HELICOPTER MODELED TRACK OPERATIONS WITHIN B-20	39
30	FIGURE 3-16. PROPOSED FIXED-WING AND HELICOPTER MODELED TRACK OPERATIONS WITHIN B-20	40
31	FIGURE 3-17. B-20 BASELINE MODELED OPERATIONAL AREAS (INCLUDING R-4813)	41
32	FIGURE 3-18. B-20 PROPOSED MODELED OPERATIONAL AREAS (INCLUDING R-4813)	42
33	FIGURE 3-19. FIXED-WING AND HELICOPTER MODELED ENTRY/EXIT AND TACTICAL ROUTES FOR ACT FOR BASELINE	45
34	FIGURE 3-20. FIXED-WING AND HELICOPTER MODELED ENTRY/EXIT AND TACTICAL ROUTES FOR ACT FOR PROPOSED ACTION	46
35	FIGURE 3-21. BASELINE MODELED ACT OPERATIONAL AREAS	47
36	FIGURE 3-22. PROPOSED MODELED ACT OPERATIONAL AREAS	48
37	FIGURE 3-23. FRTC BASELINE AND PROPOSED ACTION ALTERNATIVE 1 RESULTS FOR SUBSONIC AIRCRAFT NOISE (LDNMR)	69
38	FIGURE 3-24. FRTC BASELINE AND PROPOSED ACTION ALTERNATIVE 3 RESULTS FOR SUBSONIC AIRCRAFT NOISE (LDNMR)	70
39	FIGURE 3-25. CHANGE IN LDNMR FOR FRTC BASELINE AND PROPOSED ACTION ALTERNATIVE 1 RESULTS FOR SUBSONIC AIRCRAFT	JOISE 71
40	FIGURE 3-26. CHANGE IN LDNMR FOR FRTC BASELINE AND PROPOSED ACTION ALTERNATIVE 3 RESULTS FOR SUBSONIC AIRCRAFT	IOISE 72
41	FIGURE 3-27. FRTC NO ACTION RESULTS FOR SUBSONIC AIRCRAFT NOISE (LDNMR)	73
42	FIGURE 3-28. CHANGE IN LDMMR FOR FRTC BASELINE AND NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE RESULTS FOR SUBSONIC AIRCRAFT NOISE	74



1	FIGURE 3-29. LOCATIONS OF SELECTED POINTS OF INTERESTS FOR FRTC	77
2	FIGURE 4-1. BASELINE AND PROPOSED SUPERSONIC AREAS FOR FRTC	82
3	FIGURE 4-2. FRTC BASELINE AND PROPOSED ACTION RESULTS FOR SUPERSONIC AIRCRAFT NOISE (LCDN)	84
4	FIGURE 4-3. REPRESENTATIVE SUPERSONIC TRAJECTORIES FOR ACT ADVERSARY ENGAGEMENT	85
5	FIGURE 4-4. SONIC BOOM FOOTPRINTS FROM REPRESENTATIVE SUPERSONIC ADVERSARY TRAJECTORIES FOR BASELINE	86
6	FIGURE 4-5. SONIC BOOM FOOTPRINTS FROM REPRESENTATIVE SUPERSONIC ADVERSARY TRAJECTORIES FOR PROPOSED ACTION	87
7	FIGURE 5-1. FRTC B-16 RANGE RESULTS FOR AIR GUNNERY OPERATIONS (LCDN)	93
8	FIGURE 5-2. FRTC B-16 RANGE RESULTS FOR AIR GUNNERY OPERATIONS (LPK)	94
9	FIGURE 5-3. FRTC B-17 RANGE RESULTS FOR AIR GUNNERY OPERATIONS FOR BASELINE AND ALTERNATIVE 1 (LCDN)	95
10	FIGURE 5-4. FRTC B-17 RANGE RESULTS FOR AIR GUNNERY OPERATIONS FOR BASELINE AND ALTERNATIVE 1 ( $L_{Pk}$ )	96
11	FIGURE 5-5. FRTC B-17 RANGE RESULTS FOR AIR GUNNERY OPERATIONS FOR BASELINE AND ALTERNATIVE 3 (LCDN)	97
12	FIGURE 5-6. FRTC B-17 RANGE RESULTS FOR AIR GUNNERY OPERATIONS FOR BASELINE AND ALTERNATIVE 3 ( $L_{PK}$ )	98
13	FIGURE 5-7. FRTC B-19 RANGE RESULTS FOR AIR GUNNERY OPERATIONS FOR BASELINE AND PROPOSED ACTION (LCDN)	99
14	Figure 5-8. FRTC B-19 Range Results for Air Gunnery Operations for Baseline and Proposed Action $(L_{P\kappa})$	100
15	FIGURE 5-9. FRTC B-20 RANGE RESULTS FOR AIR GUNNERY OPERATIONS FOR BASELINE AND PROPOSED ACTION (LCDN)	101
16	Figure 5-10. FRTC B-20 Range Results for Air Gunnery Operations for Baseline and Proposed Action $(L_{PK})$	102
17	FIGURE 6-1. FRTC B-17 RANGE RESULTS FOR HE NOISE FOR BASELINE AND PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE 1 (LCDN)	107
18	FIGURE 6-2. FRTC B-17 RANGE RESULTS FOR HE NOISE FOR BASELINE AND PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE 3 (LCDN)	108
19	FIGURE 6-3. FRTC B-19 RANGE RESULTS FOR HE NOISE FOR BASELINE AND PROPOSED ACTION (LCDN)	109
20	FIGURE 6-4. FRTC B-20 RANGE RESULTS FOR HE NOISE FOR BASELINE AND PROPOSED ACTION (LCDN)	110
21	FIGURE 7-1. SMALL ARMS NOISE BUFFER AREA FOR B-16	111

#### 22

# 23 List of Tables

24	TABLE 2-1. NOISE ZONE DEFINITIONS	14
25	TABLE 3-1. TOTAL ANNUAL TRAINING SORTIES AT FRTC FOR BASELINE	18
26	TABLE 3-2. TOTAL ANNUAL TRAINING SORTIES AT FRTC FOR ALTERNATIVE 1 AND ALTERNATIVE 3	19
27	TABLE 3-3. MISSION DISTRIBUTION FOR B-16	21
28	TABLE 3-4. MISSION DISTRIBUTION FOR B-17	28
29	TABLE 3-5. MISSION DISTRIBUTION FOR B-19	35
30	TABLE 3-6. MISSION DISTRIBUTION FOR B-20	38
31	TABLE 3-7. MISSION DISTRIBUTION FOR COMMODORE AND ACT	43
32	TABLE 3-8. BASELINE AIRCRAFT SORTIES AREA OPERATIONS AND MISSION TYPES	50
33	TABLE 3-9. PROPOSED ACTION ALTERNATIVE 1 AIRCRAFT SORTIES AREA OPERATIONS AND MISSION TYPES	54
34	TABLE 3-10. PROPOSED ACTION ALTERNATIVE 3 AIRCRAFT SORTIES AREA OPERATIONS AND MISSION TYPES FOR B-17 ONLY	59
35	TABLE 3-11. BASELINE AIRCRAFT SORTIES TRACKED OPERATIONS AND MISSION TYPES	61
36	TABLE 3-12. PROPOSED ACTION ALTERNATIVE 1 AIRCRAFT SORTIES TRACKED OPERATIONS AND MISSION TYPES	64
37	TABLE 3-13. PROPOSED ACTION ALTERNATIVE 3 AIRCRAFT SORTIES TRACKED OPERATIONS AND MISSION TYPES FOR B-17 ONLY	67
38	TABLE 3-14. SELECTED POINTS OF INTEREST LOCATIONS FOR FRTC	76
39	TABLE 3-15. MODELED L <sub>DNMR</sub> VALUES AT THE SELECTED POINTS OF INTEREST	78
40	TABLE 3-16. MODELED MAXIMUM SELR VALUES FOR THE SELECTED POINTS OF INTERESTS	79
41	TABLE 4-1. CUMULATIVE SONIC BOOM EXPOSURE RESULTS AND COMPARISONS AT THE SELECTED POINTS OF INTEREST FOR FRTC	81
42	TABLE 4-2. PROPOSED ACTION BOOMAP SUPERSONIC AIRCRAFT MODELING AT FRTC	83
43	Table 5-1. Air Gunnery Parameters at FRTC for Baseline Scenarios	89
44	TABLE 5-2. AIR GUNNERY PARAMETERS AT FRTC FOR PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE 1	90



1	TABLE 5-3. AIR GUNNERY PARAMETERS AT FRTC FOR PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE 3	91
2	TABLE 6-1. BASELINE HELICOPTER HELLFIRE AND ROCKET BLAST NOISE EXPENDITURES FOR FRTC	103
3	TABLE 6-2. BASELINE FIXED-WING ROCKET BLAST NOISE EXPENDITURES FOR FRTC	104
4	TABLE 6-3. BASELINE FIXED-WING BOMBING BLAST NOISE EXPENDITURES FOR FRTC	104
5	TABLE 6-4. PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE 1 HELICOPTER HELLFIRE AND ROCKET BLAST NOISE EXPENDITURES FOR FRTC	104
6	TABLE 6-5. PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE 1 FIXED-WING ROCKET BLAST NOISE EXPENDITURES FOR FRTC	105
7	TABLE 6-6. PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE 1 FIXED-WING BOMBING BLAST NOISE EXPENDITURES FOR FRTC	105
8	TABLE 6-7. PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE 3 HELICOPTER AND FIXED-WING BLAST NOISE EXPENDITURES FOR B-17	106



# 1 1 Introduction

- 2 This noise analysis supports the United States (US) Navy's (hereafter referred to as the Navy) preparation
- 3 of an Environmental Impact Study (EIS) being conducted to maintain and modernize the land and airspace
- 4 configurations of the Fallon Range Training Complex (FRTC) in Nevada. The primary purpose of this report
- 5 is to present the aircraft noise, sonic boom and weaponry noise exposures associated with training
- 6 operations under Current (baseline), Proposed Action, and No Action Alternatives.

# 7 1.1 Purpose

- 8 The Navy is preparing an EIS to assess the potential environmental impacts of modernizing the FRTC.
- 9 Modernization would include: (1) renewal of the Navy's current public land withdrawal, (2) the expansion
- 10 of land ranges through the additional withdrawal of public lands and the acquisition of non-federal land,
- 11 and (3) airspace modifications.
- 12 A Range is defined in Title 10 of the US Code as a designated land or water area that is set aside, managed,
- 13 and used for range activities of the Department of Defense (DoD). Range activities means research,

14 development, testing, and evaluation of military munitions, other ordnance, and weapons systems; and

15 the training of members of the Armed Forces in the use and handling of military munitions, other

- 16 ordnance, and weapon systems.
- 17 The Navy's Proposed Action is to modernize the FRTC, including actions to:
- Renew existing public land withdrawal of 201,933 acres (ac.) expiring in November 2021,
- Withdraw and reserve for military use approximately 618,727 ac. of additional public land,
- Acquire approximately 65,153 ac. of non-federal land,
- Expand associated Special Use Airspace (SUA) and reconfigure existing airspace,
- Conduct the same general types and tempos of aviation and ground training as currently
   authorized, and
- Upgrade range infrastructure to support modernization.

# 25 1.2 Description of Fallon Range Training Complex

The FRTC consists of SUA and land training ranges in Nevada for air warfare and ground training, including
 live-fire training activities. Per Chapter 1 of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement:

28 "The FRTC provides a realistic training environment and space for freedom of tactical 29 maneuver where naval personnel can build and sustain combat skills and readiness to 30 meet the requirements established by NAWDC [the Naval Aviation Warfighting 31 Development Center] and other Naval Warfare authorities, such as the Naval Special 32 Warfare Command for ground training. To accomplish its mission, the FRTC encompasses 33 four land bombing ranges (Bravo B-16, B-17, B-19, and B-20), which are used for 34 air-to-ground munitions delivery, close air support (CAS), and tactical small arms firing; 35 the Dixie Valley Training Area (DVTA), used for search and rescue, land navigation, convoy 36 escort, and electronic warfare; and the Shoal Site, used for search and rescue activities. 37 Together, these areas occupy approximately 202,000 ac. of land. A total of 12,256 square



- nautical miles (NM<sup>2</sup>) of Military Operating Area (MOA) overlays this area as well as large
   parts of Churchill, Lander, and Eureka counties and smaller portions of Pershing, Lyon,
   Mineral, and Nye counties (Figure 1-1).
- 4 Included with these assets, the FRTC supports an overland supersonic capability (where 5 aircraft can exceed Mach 1, or the speed of sound) and has a sophisticated threat 6 Integrated Air Defense System (comprised of 37 real or simulated radars throughout the 7 DVTA), a Tactical Combat Training System range (the system collects time, space, position, 8 and weapon employment information from participants in training exercises and 9 transfers the information to a ground system that can provide live monitoring of tactical 10 scenarios and debriefing), multiple target types (e.g. bull's-eye; simulated compounds, 11 missile launchers/air defense sites, tanks, and petroleum and oil facilities; tanks; 12 laser-guided bomb targets; radar vans); high-altitude weapons training; and on-site 13 adversary (opposition forces) aircraft and ground training."







Figure 1-1. FRTC Study Area



# 1 1.3 Overview of Scenarios

2 The noise analysis considers four scenarios: Existing Conditions (Baseline), Proposed Action Alternatives 1 3 and 3, and the No Action Alternative. Training activities under Alternative 2 or the same as Alternative 1. 4 Thus, the noise impacts are the same under these two alternatives. The training operations associated 5 with Alternatives 1 and 3 involve proposed land withdrawal and range expansions. Ranges B-16 and B-20 6 have proposed area expansions and new targets, which are identical for Alternatives 1 and 3. Range B-17 7 also has a proposed area expansion for both Alternatives, although each Alternative has a different set of 8 targets and operational areas. Range B-19 has no change between Existing Conditions and both Action 9 Alternatives. Alternatives 1 and 3 include the same proposed airspace expansions to supplement The 10 Commodore and Adversary Combat Training (ACT) operational areas. For the No Action Alternative, the 11 ranges will close and thus, all air training associated with the ranges will not occur; the remaining 12 operations will be the air-to-air training within the current (non-range) airspace structure.

## 13 1.4 Report Outline

14 To guide the reader, this report will provide the operational data description for the various noise source 15 types and present the noise results for each source type directly after its description. This flow is slightly 16 different from most noise studies, but with the number of unique noise source types, this structure will 17 help the reader focus on each noise type. Section 2 describes noise metrics and noise models used for this 18 noise analysis. For aircraft noise and sonic boom, Section 3 describes the operational parameters for 19 subsonic aircraft noise and the ensuing results, and Section 4 describes the supersonic parameters and 20 comparative results. For weaponry noise, Section 5 describes the airborne gunnery noise and results, and 21 Section 6 describes the ground explosion portion of the weaponry noise. Finally, Section 7 provides a 22 description of the noise buffer areas for tactical small arms ground firing.



# 1 **2** Noise Metrics and Models

#### 2 2.1 Noise Metrics

Noise is a prominent environmental issue associated with military training operations.<sup>i</sup> The noise environment at military training areas, such as FRTC, includes various types of noise sources that can either be classified as continuous or impulsive noise. *Continuous noise* is a technical term describing a noise event which has a gradual onset and has a duration greater than a few seconds, such as aircraft overflights, but not necessarily noise that is always occurring. In contrast, *impulsive noise* refers to sudden noise events with rapid onsets and very brief durations such as weapon-firing or the detonation of explosives.

10 The noise environment at FRTC is dominated by aircraft flight and aerial gunnery events. Humans perceive

11 and react differently to impulsive and continuous noise events depending on the level, frequency, and

12 duration of the event. Because of the difference in human response to these types of noise events, military

13 operational noise is assessed using several noise metrics. The two most commonly used metrics are the

14 Day-Night Average Sound Level (DNL) and peak noise level (L<sub>Pk</sub>).

15 The DNL is the federally recommended noise measure used for assessing cumulative sound levels 16 occurring during a 24-hour period. DNL (which is sometimes denoted by L<sub>dn</sub>) is an average sound level, 17 expressed in decibels (dB), which is commonly used to assess aircraft noise exposures in communities in 18 the vicinity of airfields.<sup>ii,iii,iv</sup> DNL values are related to compatible/incompatible land uses and do not 19 directly relate to any singular sound event a human may hear. DNL includes a 10-dB adjustment for 20 nighttime noise events. Daytime is defined as the period from 0700 to 2200 hours, and nighttime is the 21 period from 2200 to 0700 hours the following morning. The 10 dB adjustment accounts for the generally 22 lower background sound levels and greater community sensitivity to noise during nighttime hours.

To assess accurately the impacts on humans from these different types of noise events, the DNL metric is used with different weighting factors that emphasize certain parts of the audio frequency spectrum. The normal human ear detects sounds in the range from 20 Hertz (Hz) to 20,000 Hz, and it is most sensitive to sounds in the 1,000 to 4,000 Hz range. Community noise is therefore assessed using a filter that approximates the frequency response of the human ear, adjusting low and high frequencies to match the sensitivity of the ear. This "A-weighting" filter is used to assess most community noise sources.

Aircraft noise generated in SUA is typically different from that associated with airfield operations. As opposed to patterned or continuous noise environments associated with airfields, overflights within SUA

31 can be highly variable in occurrence and location. Individual military overflight events also differ from

32 typical community noise events because noise from a low-altitude, high-airspeed flyover can have a

33 sudden onset (i.e. exhibiting a rate of increase in sound level – onset rate – of up to 30 to 150 dB per 34 second).

- 35 To represent these differences, the conventional DNL metric is adjusted to account for the "surprise"
- 36 effect on humans from the sudden onset of aircraft noise events with an adjustment up to 11 dB above
- 37 the normal Sound Exposure Level (SEL).<sup>v,vi</sup> Onset rates between 15 to 150 dB per second require an
- 38 adjustment of 0 to 11 dB, while onset rates below 15 dB per second require no adjustment. The adjusted



1 DNL is designated as the Onset-Rate Adjusted Day-Night Average Sound Level (Ldnr). Ldnr employs A-2 weighted sound levels.

3 When a vehicle moves through the air, it pushes the air out of its way. At subsonic speeds, the displaced 4 air forms a pressure wave that disperses rapidly. At supersonic speeds, the vehicle is moving too quickly 5 for the wave to disperse, so it remains as a coherent wave. This wave is a sonic boom. When heard at 6 ground level, a sonic boom consists of two shock waves (one associated with the forward part of the 7 vehicle, the other with the rear part) of approximately equal strength and (for fighter aircraft) separated 8 by 100 to 200 milliseconds. When plotted, this pair of shock waves and the expanding flow between them 9 has the appearance of a capital letter "N," so a sonic boom pressure wave is usually called an "N-wave." 10 The boom forms a cone that is said to sweep out a "carpet" under the flight track. The boom levels vary 11 along the lateral extent of the "carpet" with the highest levels directly underneath the flight track and 12 decreasing as the lateral distance increases to the cut-off edge of the "carpet." When the vehicle is 13 maneuvering, the sonic boom energy can be focused in highly localized areas on the ground. The complete 14 ground pattern of a sonic boom depends on the size, weight, shape, speed, and trajectory of the vehicle. 15 Since aircraft fly supersonically with relatively low horizontal angles, the boom is directed toward the 16 ground. Individual sonic booms are normally measured and reported in units of pounds per square inch, 17 which can be related to startle and the potential for structural damage. Cumulative sonic boom exposures 18 are reported with the metric of C-weighted DNL and denoted as either CDNL or  $L_{Cdn}$ .

19 Aerial gunnery operations at FRTC produce substantial noise. This noise is impulsive in nature with sudden

- 20 bursts of sound pressure originating from the firing of the airborne guns, such as the F/A-18 expenditures
- 21 of 20-millimeter (mm) ammunition during strafing runs and the delivery of high explosives (HE) bombs
- 22 and rockets. For impulsive noise, C-weighted sound levels are used. "C-weighted" denotes an adjustment
- 23 to the frequency content of a noise event to represent human response to louder noise levels. Compared
- 24 to A-weighting, C-weighting enhances the lower frequency content. Strafing noise has two components:
- 25 ballistic waves (sonic booms) from the bullets and muzzle blast from the weapon firing. The ballistic waves
- 26 from the bullets only occur forward of the firing point, whereas muzzle blast can be heard in all directions. 27
- The DNL metric is utilized to characterize aerial gunnery noise, and C-weighted sound levels account for
- 28 the lower frequency content and higher levels of strafing and HE explosions. For these training operations,
- 29 the DNL is denoted as CDNL (or L<sub>Cdn</sub>).

30 For small arms firing ranges, the US Army recommends using the peak pressures (LPk) to assess the 31 potential for complaints. The LPk is the highest instantaneous, un-weighted sound level over any given 32 period time. It is used to quantify impulsive, short duration events such as a weapon firing or a sonic 33 boom. High peak sound levels can generate complaints from people in the local community. For small 34 arms, the US Army has established Peak levels of 87 dB<sub>Pk</sub> and 104 dB<sub>Pk</sub> that correspond to the likelihood 35 of complaints from the nearby population. For levels between 87 and 104 dB<sub>Pk</sub> some complaints may 36 occur, whereas if the peak levels are above 104 dB<sub>Pk</sub>, complaints are expected.<sup>ii</sup> Although this metric is 37 not used specifically for land use planning, it does advise range managers of potential noise complaints in 38 nearby communities. For small arms tactical firing areas, the US Army uses the same peak levels to identify 39 buffer areas around the tactical firing area. These buffer areas only serve to provide situational awareness 40 for any potential noise sensitive areas that may fall within the buffer. The likelihood of any effect is 41 marginal since the higher peak levels are normally contained within the surface danger zone (SDZ) for the 42 tactical firing areas.



- 1 In this analysis, range noise was assessed using the DoD recommended noise metrics.<sup>i,vii</sup> Aircraft flight
- 2 noise was assessed using the A-weighted Onset-Rate Adjusted Day-Night Average Sound Level (ADNL<sub>mr</sub> or
- 3 L<sub>dnmr</sub>), which is the equivalent to L<sub>dnr</sub>. The air-borne weaponry training was assessed using C-weighted Day-
- 4 Night Average Sound Level (CDNL or L<sub>Cdn</sub>). Tactical small arms training was assessed using dB<sub>Pk</sub> metrics
- 5 (discussed below). Table 2-1 provides the noise level limits associated with land use planning.<sup>i,vii</sup> In
- 6 general, most land uses are compatible within Noise Zone 1. For Noise Zone 2, some land uses are
- 7 incompatible with the noise. Within Noise Zone 3, most land uses are incompatible.

#### 8 Table 2-1. Noise Zone Definitions

		Noise Limits		
Noise Zone	Aviation	Impulsive	Small Arms	
	dBA L <sub>dn</sub>	dBC L <sub>Cdn</sub>	dBPk (Peak)	
LUPZ	60 to 65	57 to 62	N/A	
1	< 65	< 62	< 87	
2	65 to 75	62 to 70	87 to 104	
3	> 75	> 70	>104	

9

# 10 2.2 Computerized Noise Exposure Models

11 Noise contours for aircraft operations were developed using the MOA and Route NoiseMap Model

12 (MR\_NMap).<sup>viii</sup> Aerial gunnery noise was modeled using the Air Gunnery Noise Model (AGNM)<sup>ix,x</sup> which

13 models the noise from the muzzle blast, the sonic boom of a supersonic projectile, and rocket/missile

14 firings from an elevated airborne platform. Aircraft sonic booms were modeled using the cumulative sonic

15 boom model, BooMap.<sup>xi,xii,xiii</sup> Ground-based large noise was modeled using the standard DoD computer

16 noise models, Large Arms Noise Assessment Model (BNoise).<sup>xiv</sup>

#### 17 2.2.1 MOA and Route NoiseMap Model (MR\_NMap)

18 Analyses of aircraft noise exposures and compatible land uses around and underneath SUAs are normally 19 accomplished using MR NMap. The US Air Force developed this general-purpose computer model for 20 calculating noise exposures occurring away from airbases, since aircraft noise is also an issue within MOAs 21 and ranges, as well as along Military Training Routes (MTRs). This model expands the calculation of noise 22 exposures away from airbases by using algorithms from both NoiseMap<sup>xv</sup> and ROUTEMAP.<sup>xv,xvi</sup> MR NMap 23 uses two primary noise models to calculate the noise exposure: track and area operations. Track 24 operations are for operations that have a well-defined flight track, such as MTRs, aerial refueling, and 25 strafing tracks. Area operations are for operations that do not have well defined tracks, but occur within

- 26 a defined area, such as air-to-air combat within a MOA.
- 27 The program has a user interface, MR\_OPS, for the development of the input data. For track operations,
- 28 input requirements are the same as for ROUTEMAP, but more than just MTRs can be modeled. For area
- 29 operations, the model allows flexibility. If little is known about the airspace utilization within a MOA, then
- 30 the MOA boundaries can simply be used, and the operations are uniformly distributed within the defined
- 31 area. However, if more is known about how and where the aircraft fly within the MOA, subareas can be
- 32 defined within the MOA to more accurately model the noise exposure.



1 Once the airspace is defined, the user must describe the mission types occurring within each airspace 2 segment. Individual aircraft missions include the altitude distribution, airspeed, and engine power 3 settings. These individual profiles are coupled with airspace components and annual operational rates. 4 After the airspace and operational parameters are defined, MR NMap calculates the resulting L<sub>dn</sub> or L<sub>dnr</sub>. 5 The model calculates these noise metrics either for a user-defined grid or at user-defined points. The grid 6 calculation can be passed to NMPlot to plot the noise contours, as provided in this analysis. The specific 7 point calculation generates a table that provides the noise exposure, as well as the top contributors to the 8 noise exposure.

#### 9 2.2.2 BooMap

10 BooMap calculates the long-term sonic boom exposures from supersonic operations within authorized 11 supersonic airspace. The model is based on a series of three monitoring efforts underneath three supersonic airspaces in the western United States.xvii,xviii,xix These monitoring studies led to the 12 13 determination that the noise exposure from sonic booms is governed by airspace boundaries, which can 14 be generally described by elliptical contours. The metric calculated by BooMap is CDNL. The model uses 15 currently defined airspace boundaries or user-defined boundaries, along with the distribution of aircraft 16 type and monthly operation rates within the airspace. Single or multiple ovals can best describe the 17 airspace utilization. From such simple input data, the model calculates the CDNL on a grid of points in the 18 NMPlot Binary Grid Format, which is compatible with NMPlot.

#### 19 2.2.3 PCBoom

20 PCBoom computes single-event sonic boom footprints and signatures from any supersonic vehicle 21 executing any maneuver in a three-dimensional atmosphere, including winds and terrain effects.<sup>xx,xxi</sup> This 22 model has been verified with field measurements, and accurately accounts for focusing of the sonic boom 23 from aircraft maneuvers.<sup>xxii</sup> The program has a menu interface that simplifies use and the presentation of 24 results. The user specifies the aircraft, the maneuver, and atmospheric conditions. The primary output is 25 the sonic boom footprint, which is defined in terms of contours of equal overpressure (or other amplitude 26 metric) on the ground, relative to the aircraft's position. PCBoom also generates sonic boom signatures, 27 the pressure-time-histories, and spectra of booms at the ground.

#### 28 2.2.4 Air Gunnery Noise Model (AGNM)

29 A number of aircraft and ground-based weapon system noise models have been developed over the past 30 30 years to estimate noise levels from military operations. The results from these models are used to 31 assess the potential for community and environmental impacts from Baseline and Proposed Action 32 operations. Current DoD noise models use common aircraft and weapon system source noise databases 33 maintained by the Air Force Research Laboratory, US Army Construction Engineering Research Laboratory, 34 and the Naval Facilities Engineering Command (NAVFAC). However, these models and the source noise 35 databases do not provide the capability to assess noise impacts due to airborne weapon operations. Thus, 36 a new computer model has been developed to address the generation and propagation of noise from 37 air-weaponry operations. The model handles the complexity of the distributed noise events while 38 maintaining accurate acoustical modeling that is required for environmental noise analysis.



- 1 One of the complexities related to AGNM is that aircraft rarely fly the exact attack profile prescribed, and 2 in some cases, the attack run is simply a generalized fan where the pilot can approach the target from a 3 range of headings. To solve this problem of an unknown source location, a generalized statistical firing 4 volume is used. This volume is defined by the parameters of the attack run with a three-dimensional 5 Gaussian distribution of firing points. The noise footprint is then calculated to represent the noise from a 6 single bullet fired from within the space. This statistical method is not representative of a single bullet 7 fired, and instead represents the average noise expected once a statistically large number of bullets have 8 been fired.
- 9 For this FRTC study, a wide range of operations were modeled, from H-60 and F/A-18 20 mm munitions 10 to H-60 Hellfire missiles. AGNM handles the noise from the actual firing as well as the ballistic wave of the 11 projectile. As noted in the Large Arms Noise section, the noise from high explosive blasts was modeled 12 using BNoise. The results from AGNM include CDNL and Peak noise contours. The following list of contour 13 shapefiles was generated as part of this analysis. Because of the distances involved, operations at B-16, 14 B-17, B-19, and B-20 were modeled independently.
- 15 The model consists of several different programs designed to operate together. The following is a 16 complete list of the programs provided in the initial release:

17	AG_BoomModel.exe,	20	Air_Gunnery_Model.exe,
18	AG_DefineRun.exe,	21	LayerBuilder.exe, and
19	AG_FrontEnd.exe,	22	TargetBuilder.exe.

The AG\_BoomModel program is designed to calculate the noise from the projectiles' sonic boom. The noise algorithm itself is based on Carlson's simplified sonic boom theory. It uses the projectile shape factor, length, and speed profile to calculate the footprint of the sonic boom.

The AG\_DefineRun program provides a graphical user interface to help the user define a statistical volume for a firing profile. This module then provides the user a way to see the firing line, target, and statistical volume graphically. The program generates a statistical volume that is separated into individual firing points. Each point within the volume is provided a statistical likelihood that a bullet was fired at that location. The total probability of the entire volume is defined as 1.00, making the likelihood that a bullet was fired somewhere within the volume 100%. The probability of a single bullet fired at any one spatial location within the volume is much less than 1.00 and is a function of the volume distribution.

AG\_FrontEnd is the initial user interface for AGNM. It is the starting point for creating new cases or importing cases from other sources. When the program is initially launched, it shows an empty grid similar to a spreadsheet. This is the main screen for viewing operational data, with the column headings providing

- 36 details about individual operations.
- 37 The Air\_Gunnery\_Model is the core calculation engine for determining the muzzle blast and propulsion
- 38 noise. The primary noise metric is the C-weighted SEL along with correlations to peak levels, A-weighted
- 39 SELs, and unweighted SELs. This program operates entirely from a command line. The command line
- 40 argument is a control file that contains all of the information necessary to compute the noise from a single
- 41 statistical volume.



- 1 The input file is relatively simple and is used for the muzzle blast calculation as well as for the sonic boom
- 2 calculations. The output is an NMPlot grid file that contains all of the case information, listing each of the
- 3 modeled firing points together with their probabilities.
- 4 2.2.5 Large Arms Noise Assessment Model (BNoise)
- 5 The noise associated with the detonation of the HE rounds was modeled using BNoise. Hellfire missile
- 6 impacts were modeled using 70, 62, and 57 dB CDNL. Per the BNoise software usage requirements, the
- 7 model inputs and results were submitted to and approved by the Army Public Health Command (Army
- 8 PHC).
- 9 2.2.6 Small Arms Range Noise Assessment Model (SARNAM)
- 10 The standard DoD model SARNAM computes a number of noise metrics including  $L_{Pk}$  based on the range
- 11 description (geographic coordinates, size of the range, number of targets, and direction of fire), weapons
- 12 and ammunition used (munition type and number of day/nighttime rounds fired), and atmospheric
- 13 conditions. SARNAM was used in this analysis to calculate the buffer area distances for 87 dB $_{\rm Pk}$  and 104
- 14  $$\ensuremath{\ensuremath{\mathsf{ dB}_{\mathsf{Pk}}}}$$  for tactical small arms range operations in the FRTC.



# 1 3 Subsonic Aircraft Noise

2 The types of training operations conducted at FRTC are numerous and variable. Composite profiles 3 provide accurate modeling of the overall noise from these various missions. Assessment of aircraft noise 4 at air-to-ground ranges requires a range of data to describe the types, frequency, and locations of 5 noise-generating operations occurring within and around the range. The primary sources of data are the 6 training and readiness manual, interviews with aircrews and range personnel, and annual reports. The 7 primary missions executed at the FRTC include ground attacks by fixed-wing aircraft, including the 8 F/A-18E/F, F/A-18C/D, and F-35B/C airframes. For rotorcraft, the primary user is the H-60 helicopter. 9 Secondary users at the FRTC account for less than 3% of the total operations and include the F-15, P-8, 10 C-130, T-34, E-2, Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV), and AH-64. The contributions to the overall noise 11 environment of these aircraft are negligible, so these secondary aircraft are not modeled. For annual 12 sortie rates, the Data Collection and Scheduling Tool (DCAST) was primarily used to establish the "existing 13 condition," and these data were derived from 2014, 2015, and scaled 2016 aircraft data. In DCAST, the 14 F/A-18E/F are not always identified as E/F. Therefore, ops numbers are adjusted between C/D and E/F 15 based on a 15%/85% split for all F/A-18 operations. The Baseline and Proposed Action have the same 16 training operational tempos for each aircraft. The only difference is the addition of the F-35B/C operations 17 for the Proposed Action. The No Action would likely remove the range operations in B-16, B-17, B-19, and 18 B-20, and maintains the air-to-air operations occurring in the Commodore, NAWDC-1, NAWDC-2, and 19 Reno airspaces. Table 3-2 provides the total training sorties at FRTC for current conditions (Baseline).

- 20 Table 3-2 displays the total Proposed Action training sorties under Alternatives 1 and 3. The total sortie
- 21 numbers are identical under both Alternatives.

Aircraft	Unit ID or	B-16	B-17	B-19	B-20	Commodore	NAWDC-1	NAWDC-2	Reno	Total Number
	А/С Туре					DCAST	DCAST	DCAST		Number
				PR		/c				
F/A-18E/F	Fighters	636	1,710	3,194	745	1,118	9,344	9,209	222	26,178
F/A-18C/D	Fighters	112	302	564	132	197	1,649	1,625	39	4,620
F-5E	Fighters	35	195	37	529	580	1,508	1,624	182	4,690
F-16	Fighters	38	117	-	284	409	1,827	1,160	726	4,561
EA-18G	Fighters	30	209	177	128	139	865	1,103	113	2,764
H-60	Helicopters	130	264	123	89	116	739	342	-	1,803
P-8	Large Jet	-	-	-	-	32	12	7	-	51
E-2	Twin prop	-	-	-	-	94	354	347	14	809
Modeled	Aircraft Total	981	2,797	4,095	1,907	2,559	15,932	15,063	1,282	45,476
			SEC	ONDARY	A/C (No	t Modeled)				
F-15	Fighters	-	-	-	-	77	293	290	2	662
AH-64	Helicopters	31	53	38	19	16	-	-		157
C-130	Multiprop	38	10	-	9	22	-	-		79
T-34	Single prop	6	52	39	25	-	16	32		170
UAV	UAV	14	-	-	-	7	-	-		21
Secondary	Aircraft Total	89	115	77	53	231	624	627	14	1,089
Gra	nd Total	1,070	2,912	4,172	1,960	2,790	16,556	15,690	1,296	46,565

22 Table 3-1. Total Annual Training Sorties at FRTC for Baseline



Aircraft	Unit ID or A/C Type	B-16	B-17	B-19	B-20	Commodore DCAST	NAWDC-1 DCAST	NAWDC-2 DCAST	Reno	Total Number
				PR	IMARY A	′C				
F/A-18E/F	Fighters	636	1,710	3,194	745	1,118	9,344	9,209	222	26,178
F/A-18C/D	Fighters	112	302	564	132	197	1,649	1,625	39	4,620
F-5E	Fighters	35	195	37	529	580	1,253	1,879	182	4,690
F-16	Fighters	38	117	0	284	409	1,195	1,792	726	4,561
EA-18G	Fighters	30	209	177	128	139	865	1,103	113	2,764
F-35C	Fighters	25	100	25	100	449	573	567	9	1,848
H-60	Helicopters	130	264	123	89	116	739	342	0	1,803
P-8	Large Jet	-	-	-	-	32	12	7	-	51
E-2	Twin prop	-	-	-	-	94	354	347	14	809
Modeled	Aircraft Total	1,006	2,897	4,120	2,007	3,008	15,618	16,517	1,291	47,324
			SE	CONDARY	A/C (No	t Modeled)				
F-15	Fighters	-	-	-	-	77	293	290	2	662
AH-64	Helicopters	31	53	38	19	16	-	-		157
C-130	Multiprop	38	10	-	9	22	-	-		79
T-34	Single prop	6	52	39	25	-	16	32		170
UAV	UAV	14	-	-	-	7	-	-		21
Secondary	Aircraft Total	89	115	77	53	231	624	627	14	1,089
Gran	nd Total	1,095	3,012	4,197	2,060	3,239	16,242	17,144	1,305	48,413

#### 1 Table 3-2. Total Annual Training Sorties at FRTC for Alternative 1 and Alternative 3

2

3 Operational noise from subsonic flight activity within, to, and from FRTC was modeled using MR\_NMap.

4 The set of modeled flight operations is vast and includes entry/exit routes, air gunnery patterns, close air

5 support, and landing zone operations within target areas, restricted areas, MOAs, and Air Traffic Control

6 Assigned Airspace (ATCAA). The operations for the entire FRTC will be broken up and presented for each

7 of the four Bravo training ranges (Sections 3.1 through 3.4), entry/exit routes to/from each of the Bravo

8 ranges (Section 3.5), and aircraft activity associated with the Adversary Exercises such as Navy Fighter

9 Weapons School (TOPGUN) and Carrier Air Wing (CVW) (Section 3.6). The Proposed Action Alternative 1

10~ and 3 changes to each of these airspace units will also be presented.

## 11 3.1 Bravo-16 Range Flight Training Missions

12 The B-16 range is located approximately 6 miles west of Naval Air Station (NAS) Fallon and approximately

13 7 miles southwest of the City of Fallon. The range consists of two Weapons Impact Scoring Set (WISS)

14 bullseye targets. Only inert ordnance may be used on B-16. The Proposed Action (Alternative 1 and

- 15 Alternative 3) calls for B-16 range expansion to the west of approximately 32,200 ac. (Figure 3-1), with the
- 16 entire range closed and restricted from public use. At B-16, Alternatives 1 and 3 are identical. R-4803
- 17 (associated with B-16) would be: (1) increased in horizontal size to match associated land range changes,
- (2) current altitudes will be consolidated from the surface to 17,999 ft mean sea level (MSL). ForAlternatives 1 and 3, new targets would be established to the west of the existing B-16 targets. The No
- 20 Action Alternative would likely remove all B-16 operations. Table 3-3 displays the general tracked training
- 21 missions and area missions conducted by each airframe within B-16, which includes R-4803. The mission
- 22 types and the associated profiles for each aircraft type are described in detail in the following sections.





2 Figure 3-1. Proposed Expansion of the B-16 Range



#### 1 Table 3-3. Mission Distribution for B-16

2

3

Aircraft		Tracke	ed Pat	ttern	s		Area Missions				
	Entry/Exi	ntry/Exit Strafing		High Dive/ Low Dive		Rotocraft Gunnery	Support/ DT	CAS/ UCAS	Rotocraft Gunnery	TERF/CAS/ CSAR/HVBSS	
F-35A	+	+			-			+			
F/A-18E/F	+	+			•			+			
F/A-18C/D	+	+			-			+			
F-5E	+						+				
F-16	+						+				
EA-18G	+						+				
H-60	+								+	+	
+ Baseline & Proposed Action + Baseline Only + Proposed Action Only											

#### 4 3.1.1 Tracked Missions

5 For B-16, the noise analysis utilizes one fixed-wing aircraft entry route (arrival flights), a fixed-wing exit 6 route (departure flights), high dive/low dive, pop-left/pop-right, and level bombing tracks, a helicopter 7 entry/exit route, and a helicopter air gunnery route. Figure 3-2 displays the modeled tracks, which consist 8 of five fixed-wing and two helicopter tracks within B-16. Fixed-wing aircraft travel along the entry/exit 9 route at 300 to 350 knots (kts) between 9,500 and 10,500 ft above ground level (AGL). The H-60 flies the 10 entry/exit route at 110 kts, between 200 and 500 ft AGL and the air gunnery route at 110 kts between 100 11 and 500 ft AGL. The air gunnery route is removed in Alternatives 1 and 3 and replaced with an area 12 operation since the proposed attack heading will be unrestricted for the new target locations. The F-18 13 (both C/D and E/F) flies the high dive at 300 to 480 kts between 3,000 and 12,000 ft AGL. The low dive is 14 flown at 350 to 480 kts between 1,000 and 5,000 ft AGL, and the pop routes are flown at 300 to 500 kts 15 between 200 and 3,500 ft AGL. These training operations will not change with the proposed expansion of 16 B-16. For the Proposed Action Alternatives, the only additions are F-35B/C operations, which will mirror 17 the F/A-18E/F operations within the expanded airspace.

#### 18 3.1.2 Area Missions

19 Area missions associated with B-16, R-4803, the Churchill MOA and the Bandit ATCAA include support 20 activities for the F-5E and F-16 in air-to-air adversary roles; CAS, Urban CAS (UCAS), and Defensive Tactical 21 (DT), combat search and rescue (CSAR), and helicopter visit board search and seizure (HVBSS) missions for 22 the H-60 within the R-4803 helicopter operating area. Figure 3-3 outlines the modeled areas for Baseline 23 operations of fixed-wing and helicopter operations within B-16, and Figure 3-4 outlines the modeled areas 24 for Proposed Action operations of fixed-wing and helicopter operations within B-16. In the Proposed 25 Action, helicopter operations will shift to the west into the expanded airspace. Flight altitudes range from 26 500 to 17,999 ft AGL for the fixed-wing operations and surface to 200 ft AGL for the H-60 operations. 27 Fixed-wing airframes performing support missions within the FRTC were modeled at 350 kts with an



average training duration of 90 minutes. The H-60 missions were modeled at 40, 70, and 90 kts depending
 on the mission type and with an average training duration of 2 hours.

### 3 3.2 Bravo-17 Range Flight Training Missions

4 The B-17 range is the most frequently used range at FRTC and is located approximately 25 miles E-SE of 5 NAS Fallon and S of US Highway 50 at an elevation of approximately 4,200 ft MSL. The range consists of 6 four surface areas with various ground targets. The Proposed Action Alternatives 1 (Figure 3-5) and 3 7 (Figure 3-6) propose range expansions to the south of approximately 173,400 ac. with the entire range 8 closed and restricted from public use. The restricted range airspace associated with B-17 would be 9 expanded with the addition of R-4805. R-4805 would be established south of R-4804. For Alternative 1, R-10 4804 would be modified, and R-4805 would abut R-4804 and expand to the south. R-4804 would be 11 modified to align with R-4812. Under Alternative 1, new targets would be located to the south of the 12 current target complex and a second Hellfire Area and a Heavy Inert Area (HIA) would be added. The 13 missions within R-4804 and R-4805 would change to utilize both the proposed and current targets. For 14 Alternative 3, R-4804 would remain the same, but R-4805 would expand to the south and align with the 15 Alternative 3 proposed target locations, which are proposed to be further expanded to the south within 16 B-17. Additionally, the operational altitude ceiling would increase from 35,000 to 50,000 ft MSL.

17 Shared changes for Alternatives 1 and 3:

- Sorties match current distributions from DCAST for the expanded B-17 area (approximately 50%
   LFE, 25% CAS, and 25% Weapons and Tactics training.
- New Fixed-wing CAS Area Missions added to the south where new proposed targets are located
   and evenly split between current and new target areas.
- 22 Additional changes for Alternative 1:
- Other non-CAS missions (area and tracked) shifted to new proposed target areas.
- LFE bomb deliveries focus on new High Explosive Impact (HEI) 1 and HEI 2 proposed target areas.
- H-60 Hellfire expenditures at proposed HEI 1 and HEI 2 areas.
- Fixed-wing and Helicopter Air Gunnery concentrated at proposed targets HEI 4 and HIA.
- 27 Additional changes for Alternative 3:
- H-60 Hellfire uses new HEI 3 area exclusively.
- Fixed-wing Air Gunnery concentrated at current tracked strafing targets and more tactical strafing
   will occur at the new proposed targets HIA, HEI 4, and southern targets.
- Helicopter Air Gunnery will split between old and new HIA areas.

32 The No Action Alternative would likely remove all B-17 operations. Table 3-4 displays the general tracked

33 training and area missions conducted by each airframe within B-17, which includes R-4804. The mission

34 types and the associated profiles for each aircraft type are described in detail in the following sections.





2 Figure 3-2. Baseline and Proposed Fixed-wing and Helicopter Modeled Tracks for B-16 Training Operations





1 2 Figure 3-3. B-16 Baseline Modeled Operational Areas (including R-4803)





1 2 Figure 3-4. B-16 Proposed Expansion Modeled Operational Areas (including R-4803)

Noise Study for Military Readiness Activities at the FRTC Final Report – November 2018





Figure 3-5. Proposed Expansion of the B-17 Range for Alternative 1

Noise Study for Military Readiness Activities at the FRTC Final Report – November 2018





Figure 3-6. Proposed Expansion of the B-17 Range for Alternative 3

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 



		Т	racked Patterr	IS	Area Missions						
Aircraft	Entry/Exit		Strafing	Air	Bombing		BFM/	Cummont	MARS Strike	Air	
			Strating	Gunnery	Delivery	CAS	ACCT	Support	WARS SUIKE	Gunnery	
F-35A	۲	-	+	+	+	+					
F/A-18E/F	۲	+	+	+	+	+	+				
F/A-18C/D	۲	+	+	+	+	+	+				
F-5E	۲	+						+			
F-16	۲	+						+			
EA-18G	۷	+					+				
H-60	۲	+		+		+			+	+	
+ Baseline & Proposed Action + Baseline Only + Proposed Action Only											

#### 1 Table 3-4. Mission Distribution for B-17

#### 2

#### 3 3.2.1 Tracked Missions

4 B-17 has a conventional/strafing track with varied mission profiles, a tactical intercept track, a helicopter 5 air gunnery track, and a helicopter entry/exit route between NAS Fallon and the range. Figure 3-7 displays 6 the fixed-wing strafing track and one helicopter track within B-17 for Existing Conditions. Fixed-wing 7 aircraft travel along the staffing route at 450 kts between 500 and 1,000 ft AGL. The H-60 flies the air 8 gunnery route at 110 kts between 100 and 500 ft AGL. The proposed expansion of B-17 moves the 9 conventional/strafing tracks and helicopter air gunnery track to the south to match the new targets for 10 Alternative 1 (Figure 3-8). For Alternative 3, some tracked operations will remain at the current target 11 areas, and more operations will move further south to coincide with the new proposed Alternative 3 12 targets (Figure 3-9). These new southern operations were modeled as area operations since the attack 13 headings are less restrictive.

#### 14 3.2.2 Area Missions

15 Area missions within the B-17 range include bombing delivery, CAS activity, BFM, AACT, and support 16 operations within and through R-4804 for the fixed-wing aircraft, CAS, maritime strike (MARS), and Air 17 Gunnery missions for the H-60 within the helicopter operating area inside of R-4804 and to/from R-4804. 18 Figure 3-10, Figure 3-11, and Figure 3-12, outline the Baseline, Alternative 1, and Alternative 3 operation 19 areas, respectively, for fixed-wing and helicopter operations within B-17. Alternatives 1 and 3 split the 20 CAS operations between the baseline area and the Alternative 1 and 3 proposed target areas. Alternative 21 3 area is larger because more targets are proposed, which requires the CAS area surrounding the targets 22 to be larger. For the other non-CAS operations, the area missions are shifted to the south for Alternatives 23 1 and 3 to coincide with the Alternative 1 and Alternative 3 target locations. Flight altitudes range from 24 7,000 ft AGL to 30,000 ft MSL for the fixed-wing operations and 100 to 500 ft AGL for the H-60 operations. 25 Fixed-wing airframes performing bombing delivery and CAS missions within B-17 were modeled between 26 400 and 500 kts, and F-5E support missions were modeled at 350 kts. H-60 missions were modeled at 70 27 and 90 kts. The training durations for the fixed-wing aircraft were modeled at 90 minutes, and the 28 helicopter modeled durations were 2 hours. The BFM and AACT missions do not change.





1 2 Figure 3-7. Baseline Fixed-wing and Helicopter Routes Into/Out and within B-17





2 Figure 3-8. Proposed Alternative 1 Fixed-wing and Helicopter Routes Into/Out and within B-17





2 Figure 3-9. Proposed Alternative 3 Fixed-wing and Helicopter Routes Into/Out and within B-17





2 Figure 3-10. B-17 Baseline Modeled Operational Areas (including R-4804)







Figure 3-11. Proposed Alternative 1 Modeled Operational Areas (including R-4804)





2 Figure 3-12. Proposed Alternative 3 Modeled Operational Areas (including R-4804)


# 1 3.3 Bravo-19 Range Flight Training Missions

2 The B-19 area (including R-4810) is comprised of alkali flats with areas of patchy desert sand, sparsely 3 vegetated by sagebrush. This target complex, which lies approximately 16 NM S-SE of NAS Fallon at an 4 elevation of 3,882 ft MSL, consists of a strafe target with an acoustic transducer, a HEI area, and a 5 helicopter strafe area. The primary missions are CAS and strafing. The Proposed Action Alternatives call 6 for the establishment of R-4810B with a floor of 17,000 ft MSL and a ceiling of 17,999 ft MSL. Within B-19 7 Alternatives 1 and 3 are identical. Additionally, the only added training missions for the Proposed Action 8 will be for the F-35B/C, which will follow the F/A-18E/F missions. The No Action Alternative would likely 9 remove all B-19 operations. Table 3-5 displays the general tracked training missions and area missions 10 conducted by each airframe within B-19, which includes R-4810. The mission types and the associated 11 profiles for each aircraft type are described in detail in the following sections.

		Tr	acke	d Patter	ms					Α	rea Miss	ions		
Aircraft	Entry/	Exit	Str	afing	Roto Gun	ocraft nery	CA	S	Bomb	oing	ACCT	Support		TERF
F-35A		-					+	-	+	-				
F/A-18E/F		-		+			+	•	+	-				
F/A-18C/D				+			+	•	+	-				
F-5E		•										+		
F-16		-										+		
EA-18G											+			
H-60		$\vdash$				•	+	-					-	+

#### 12 Table 3-5. Mission Distribution for B-19

13

### 14 3.3.1 Tracked Missions

B-19 has tracks for fixed-wing aircraft and helicopter air gunnery and entry/exit routes between NAS
 Fallon and the range. Figure 3-13 displays the two fixed-wing and one helicopter tracks within B-19. Fixed-

17 wing aircraft travel along the track between 350 and 500 kts and between 500 and 1,500 ft AGL. The H-60

18 flies the air gunnery route at 110 kts, between 100 and 500 ft AGL.

#### 19 3.3.2 Area Missions

Area missions within B-19 include CAS activity for the fixed-wing aircraft, and CAS and TERF missions for the H-60 (within the helicopter operating area inside of R-4810 and to/from R-4810). Figure 3-14 outlines both the Baseline operations and Proposed Action operations area (which are identical) for fixed-wing and helicopter operations within B-19. Flight altitudes range from 7,000 to 15,000 ft AGL for the fixed-wing operations and 100 to 200 ft AGL for the H-60 operations. Fixed-wing airframes performing CAS missions within B-19 were modeled between 325 and 350 kts with a duration of 90 minutes. The H-60 missions were modeled at 40 to 90 kts with a duration of 2 hours.





Figure 3-13. Fixed-wing and Helicopter Modeled Track Operations Into/Out and Within B-19





2 Figure 3-14. B-19 Baseline and Proposed Modeled Operational Areas (including R-4810)



# 1 3.4 Bravo-20 Range Flight Training Missions

- 2 The B-20 area (including R-4813) is approximately 31 NM N-NE of NAS Fallon at an elevation of 4,040 ft
- 3 MSL at the center of the target area. In the Proposed Action Alternatives, new targets are added NW into
- 4 the expanded area, and all associated tracked operations and area operations are shifted to the NW
- 5 except for BFM missions. The No Action Alternative would likely remove all air-to-ground B-20 operations.
- Table 3-6 displays the general tracked training missions and area missions conducted by each airframe
   within B-20, which includes R-4813. The mission types and the associated profiles for each aircraft type
- 7 within B-20, which includes R-4813. The mission types and the associated profiles for each aircraft type
- 8 are described in detail in the following sections.

		Tracked Pa	atterns				Area Mi	ssions		
Aircraft	Entry/Exi	Conventional Bombing	Strafing	Rotocraft Gunnery	BFM	Conventional Bombing	Support	MARS Strike	FAC/ FIAC	Air Gunnery
F-35A	+		+		+	+				
F/A-18E/F	+	+	+		+	+				
F/A-18C/D	+		+		+	+				
F-5E	+						+			
F-16	+						+			
EA-18G	+									
H-60	+			+				+	+	+

#### 9 Table 3-6. Mission Distribution for B-20

#### 10

### 11 3.4.1 Tracked Missions

12 B-20 has a conventional bombing track and a strafing track for fixed-wing aircraft, a helicopter air gunnery 13 track, and a helicopter entry/exit route between NAS Fallon and the range. Under the Proposed Action 14 Alternatives, new targets would be added NW of the existing targets into the expanded area. The strafing, 15 and rotorcraft air gunnery tracks would all be shifted to the new targets, and the conventional bombing 16 mission will be switched to an area type mission. Figure 3-15 displays the two fixed-wing and single 17 helicopter routes within B-20 for Baseline, and Figure 3-16 displays the routes for the Proposed Action. 18 Fixed-wing aircraft travel along the conventional and strafing tracks between 400 and 465 kts at 1,000 ft 19 AGL. The F-35B/C level bombing tracks are flown at 500 kts and 10,000 ft AGL. The H-60 flies the air 20 gunnery route at 110 kts, between 100 and 500 ft AGL.

### 21 3.4.2 Area Missions

22 Area missions within B-20 include BFM, air-to-ground, and support activity for the fixed-wing aircraft, 23 MARS fast attack craft (FAC)/fast inshore attack craft (FIAC), and air gunnery missions for the H-60 (within 24 the R-3813 helicopter operating area). The Proposed Action Alternatives allows the conventional bombing 25 mission to utilize a significantly larger range of attack angles, and the helicopter area missions are shifted 26 to include the new target areas in the expanded B-20 area. Figure 3-17 outlines the Baseline operations 27 area and Figure 3-18 outlines the Proposed Action operations area for fixed-wing and helicopter 28 operations within B-20. Flight altitudes range from 500 to 15,000 ft AGL for air-to-ground missions and 29 9,000 to 25,000 ft AGL for BFM missions. H-60 missions were modeled between 100 and 500 ft AGL. Fixed-30 wing airframes performing all missions within B-20 were modeled between 350 and 500 kts, and H-60 31 missions were modeled at 70 to 80 kts.





Figure 3-15. Baseline Fixed-wing and Helicopter Modeled Track Operations Within B-20





1 2 Figure 3-16. Proposed Fixed-wing and Helicopter Modeled Track Operations Within B-20





1 2 Figure 3-17. B-20 Baseline Modeled Operational Areas (including R-4813)





1 2 Figure 3-18. B-20 Proposed Modeled Operational Areas (including R-4813)



# 1 3.5 Commodore and Adversary Combat Training Range Flight Operations

2 The FRTC is the center for all Navy and some Marine graduate level aviation strike warfare training. This 3 training is conducted under the cognizance of the Naval Aviation Warfighting Development Center 4 (NAWDC). Analysis of aircraft operations in the previous sections focused on activity in and around the 5 Bravo training ranges, which commonly utilized ground targets. A significant portion of range operations 6 do not focus on the individual Bravo ranges, but instead utilize much larger portions of the FRTC. These 7 operations use multiple contiguous areas as single flight areas. Table 3-7 displays the general tracked 8 training missions and area missions conducted by each airframe within FRTC under Commodore and ACT, 9 which extend beyond individual MOAs and ranges. The Proposed Action Alternatives expand the areas of 10 ACT in the FRTC, and the No Action Alternative would be the same as the Baseline for these missions, 11 since the individual ranges are not utilized. Alternatives 1 and 3 of the Proposed Action are identical for 12 Commodore and ACT missions. The mission types and the associated profiles for each aircraft type are

13 described in detail in the following sections.

			Track	ked Routes	i			Area Mission	Locations	
Aircraft	Commodore	Admiral	LFE Direct	Bandit	Tactical	Mountain Training	Commadore	NAWDC-1/ NAWDC-2	BFM/ ACCT	Helicopter Dixie/Fairview
F-35A	+	+	+	+	+		+	+		
F/A-18E/F	+	+	+	+	+		+	+		
F/A-18C/D	+	+	+	+	+		+	+		
F-5E	+	+		+			+		+	
F-16	+	+		+			+		+	
EA-18G	+	+	+		+		+	+	+	
H-60						+	+	+	+	+
	+	Baseline	e & Prop	osed Actio	n		Baseline Only	/ +	Proposed	Action Only

#### 14 $\quad$ Table 3-7. Mission Distribution for Commodore and ACT

15

### 16 3.5.1 Tracked Missions

17 For ACT exercises, the FRTC contains Commodore, Admiral, and Large Force Exercise (LFE) Direct Entry 18 and Exit routes, as well as Bandit Exit routes for fixed-wing aircraft. For the missions, six (6) tactical 19 corridors are modeled to represent the strike and support aircraft movements during an ACT exercise. 20 Figure 3-19 and Figure 3-20 display the five fixed-wing exit/entry routes and the six tactical corridors for 21 ACT for Baseline and Proposed Action, respectively. Fixed-wing aircraft travel along the Entry and Exit 22 routes at 300 to 350 kts, between 2,000 ft AGL and 14,000 ft MSL. The width of the Entry and Exit routes 23 were expanded relative to the 2012 noise study.<sup>xxiii</sup> This expansion results in the noise from these Entry 24 and Exit routes being dispersed over a larger area and thereby reduces their contribution to the overall 25 noise exposure. For the tactical corridors, the aircraft airspeed is modeled at 500 kts between 18,000 ft 26 MSL and 30,000 ft MSL with a width of 16 nm.

#### 27 3.5.2 Area Missions

28 Modeling of the Adversary Combat Training fixed-wing area missions include three (3) Holding, eight (8)

29 Combat Air Patrol (CAP), and three (3) Fight for F-16, F-5, EA-18G, F-35B/C, F-18C/D and F-18E/F NAWDC-



1 (upper half of the FRTC) and NAWDC-2 (lower half of the FRTC) are used by the F-35B/C and F-18s 2 (including EA-18G). MOAs N1, N2, S1, and S2 are used by F-5E, F-16, and EA-18G. The H-60 performs 3 missions in all operating areas described above. Figure 3-21 outlines the Baseline operations of fixed-wing 4 and helicopter operations for ACT. The Proposed Action (Figure 3-22) has the following impacts:

- The northern border of the FRTC, beginning with the Carson MOA to the west and extending to
   the Fallon North 4 MOA to the east, would be extended to the north and have a 200 ft floor and
   50,000 ft MSL ceiling to increase airspace utilization/efficiencies for LFE.
- Ranch Low/High MOA would be modified to increase the ceiling of the airspace (from 13,000 to
   17,999 ft MSL) and to combine the Ranch Low MOA and Ranch High MOA into a single airspace
   (Ranch MOA).
- The Duckwater ATCAA border would be modified horizontally to better align with local air traffic
   routes. A new Duckwater MOA would be established (200 ft AGL floor to 17,999 ft MSL ceiling)
   that aligns with the newly proposed Duckwater ATCAA.
- Diamond MOA would be established (1,200 ft AGL floor and 17,999 ft MSL ceiling) and would align
   with the existing boundaries of the Diamond ATCAA.
- Zircon ATCAA would be expanded to align with the Diamond ATCAA boundaries and would be divided into three subdivisions with the same floor (18,000 ft MSL) but differing ceilings (Ruby, 28,000 ft MSL; Zircon North, 50,000 ft MSL; and Zircon South, 50,000 ft MSL). The Zircon North plus Zircon South area would replace the Hold area for ACT.
- The Smokie ATCAA border would be modified and increased in altitude from 25,000 to 29,000 ft
   MSL. A new Smokie MOA would be established (200 ft AGL floor to 17,999 ft MSL ceiling) that
   aligns with the newly proposed Smokie ATCAA.
- The Fallon South 2 and 3 MOAs would be combined into a single MOA and be renamed as Fallon
   South 2 MOA.
- Likewise, the Fallon South 4 and 5 MOAs would be combined into a single MOA and renamed as
   Fallon South 3 MOA.

Flight altitudes range from 500 to 50,000 ft AGL for fixed-wing ACT missions. H-60 missions within NAWDC-1 and NAWDC-2 were modeled between 100 and 200 ft AGL, and Dixie/Fairview missions were modeled between 100 and 500 ft AGL. Fixed-wing airframes performing missions in NAWDC-1 and NAWDC-2 were modeled at 500 kts, and missions within Hold and Fight (including Zircon North and South) were modeled at either 350 kts or 500 kts. H-60 missions were modeled at 40 and 70 kts. The duration of these training missions is modeled as 2 hours.





2 Figure 3-19. Fixed-wing and Helicopter Modeled Entry/Exit and Tactical Routes for ACT for Baseline





1 2

Figure 3-20. Fixed-wing and Helicopter Modeled Entry/Exit and Tactical Routes for ACT for Proposed Action















# 1 3.6 Reno MOA Flight Operations

- Reno MOA/ATCAA lies north of Pyramid Lake (see Figure 1-1). Existing Operations of the MOA are 13,000
  ft MSL up to but not including 18,000 ft MSL. For the Reno ATCAA, operations are 18,000 ft MSL to 31,000
  ft MSL. For the Proposed Action, the MOA/ATCAA has a new floor of 1,500 ft AGL instead of 13,000 ft
  MSL, and both fleet and adversary squadrons would increase their utilization of the Reno MOA airspace
  for additional air combat maneuvering and adversary training missions. Table 3-2 shows the number of
- 7 each aircraft that fly within the Reno MOA/ATCAA. For baseline, the annual operations are less than 1,100,
- 8 and for the Proposed Action, the annual operations are estimated to be less than 1,600. These annual
- 9 levels result in monthly averages of 92 and 132, respectively.

## 10 3.7 Mission Frequency and Distribution

11 With the various mission types defined, the next step in the noise modeling process is to develop the 12 frequency of each training mission conducted throughout a normal training year. A component of this 13 description includes annual sortie counts reconciled with information provided by aircrews. For this noise 14 study, a sortie is defined as one aircraft flying from home base into FRTC via an applicable entry route, 15 conducting various training operations, and returning to home base via an exit route. Table 3-9 lists the 16 modeled area training operations for Proposed Action Alternative 1 conducted by each aircraft within 17 each range. Table 3-10 lists the modeled area training operations for Proposed Action Alternative 3 18 conducted by each aircraft within each range. The F-35B/C operations are included in the Proposed Action 19 Alternatives 1 and 3 based on information provided by the VFA-101 squadron currently based at Eglin Air 20 Force Base. The No Action Alternative removes all range operations (B-16, B-17, B-19, and B-20), and 21 keeps all FRTC non-range areas (FRTC Air-to-Air and BFM Mission Types).



#### 1 Table 3-8. Baseline Aircraft Sorties Area Operations and Mission Types

Aircraft	Main SUA Unit	Area Model ID	Mission Profile ID	Supersonic Potential	Annual Sorties	% of Missions	Day 0700-2200	Night 2200-0700	% Acoustic Night	% Sorties in Unit	Average Time	Average Time	Primary Power	Average Airspeed
		Zircon Hold	F19Fueld D	No					2200 to 700	100%	(min)	(sec)	Setting	(KIAS)
	-	fightNow		NO						100%	30	2400	90% NC	550
	-	Tighthew	F18EFgt_HI	Yes		45%	428	75	15%	100%	40	2400	90.5% NC	500
	-	FightLow1	F18EFgt_LOW1	NO						100%	2.5	150	90.5% NC	500
		FightLow2	F18EFgt_LOW2	NO						100%	2.5	150	90.5% NC	500
	-	Bandit ATCAA	F18EREDHold_ATCAA	No			9	2		18.5%	30.0	1800	90% NC	350
		Bandit High Hold	F18EREDHold_High	No		5%	39	7	15%	81.5%	30.0	1800	90% NC	350
	Commodore	fightNew	F18EREDFgt_Hi	Yes	1118	370	48	8	1570	100%	40	2400	90.5% NC	500
	commodore	FightLow1	F18EREDFgt_Low1	No	1110		48	8		100%	2.5	150	90.5% NC	500
		FightLow2	F18EREDFgt_Low2	No			48	8		100%	2.5	150	90.5% NC	500
		Zircon Hold	F18EHold_BS	No			238	42		100%	40	2400	90% NC	350
		LFE_T1	F18E_Strike17	No		25%	214	38	15%	90%	NA		90.5% NC	500
		LFE_T1_b20	F18E_Strike20	No			24	4		10%	NA		90.5% NC	500
		Zircon Hold	F18EHold_S	No			238	42		100%	40	2400	90% NC	350
		LFE_T2	F18E_Support17	No		25%	214	38	15%	90%	NA		90.5% NC	500
		LFE_T2_b20	F18E_Support20	No			24	4		10%	NA		90.5% NC	500
		NAWDC1a	F18E_NAWDC1a	Yes			5957	1051	15%	75%	90	5400	90.5% NC	500
		NAWDC1_Fgt	F18E_NAWDC1_Fgt	Yes	0244	1000/				25%	75	4500	90.5% NC	500
	NAWDC-1	NAWDC1_BHold	F18E_NAWDC1_B	No	9344	100%	1986	350	15%	12.5%	15	900	90% NC	350
		NAWDC1_RHold	F18E_NAWDC1_R	No						12.5%	15	900	90% NC	350
F/A-18E/F		NAWDC2a	F18E_NAWDC2a	Yes			5871	1036	15%	75%	90	5400	90.5% NC	500
		NAWDC2_Fgt	F18E_NAWDC2_Fgt	Yes	0200	1000/				25%	75	4500	90.5% NC	500
	NAWDC-2	NAWDC2_BHold	F18E_NAWDC2_B	No	9209	100%	1957	345	15%	12.5%	15	900	90% NC	350
		NAWDC2_RHold	F18E_NAWDC2_R	No						12.5%	15	900	90% NC	350
	D 10	UrbanCAS	F18E_16CAS_2	No	626	<b>C0</b> 1/	267	0	0%	70%	90	5400	85% NC	350
	B-10	R-4803	F18E_16CAS	No	636	60%	109	6	5%	30%	90	5400	90.5% NC	500
		B17-CAS_N	F18E_17CAS_INN	No			199	10	5%	14%	90	5400	90% NC	400
		B17-CAS_SW	F18E_17CAS_INSW	No			199	10	5%	14%	90	5400	90% NC	400
		B17-CAS_Fgt	F18E_17CAS_Fgt	No			100	5	5%	7%	90	5400	90.5% NC	500
	B-17	BFM Fairview1	F18E_17BFM1	No	1710	87.5%	299	0	0%	20%	25	1500	96% NC	350
		BFM Fairview2	F18E_17BFM2	No			150	0	0%	10%	25	1500	96% NC	350
		BFM Gabbs	F18E_17BFM3	No			449	0	0%	30%	25	1500	96% NC	350
		B17-ConBmb	F18E_17Conv	No			71	4	5%	5%	60	3600	90.5% NC	500
		B19-ConBmb	F18E_19ConBmb	No			485	26	5%	20%	90	5400	90.5% NC	500
	B 40	B19-CAS_E	F18E_19INE	No	2404	000/	850	45	5%	35%	90	5400	90% NC	400
	B-19	B19-CAS_W	F18E_19INW	No	3194	80%	850	45	5%	35%	90	5400	90% NC	400
	Ì	B19-CAS_Fight	F18E_19Fgt	No			243	13	5%	10%	90	5400	90.5% NC	500
	5.00	BFM Lonerock	F18E_20BFM1	No		750/	279	0	0%	50%	25	1500	96% NC	350
	B-20	BFM Dixie		No	745	75%	279	0	0%	50%	25	1500	96% NC	350
		RenoBL1	F18E RenoBL1	Yes			105	6	5%	50%	60	3600	90.5% NC	500
	Reno MOA	RenoBL2		Yes	222	100%	105	6	5%	50%	60	3600	90.5% NC	500



	Main SUA			Supersonic	Annual	% of	Dav	Night	% Acoustic	% Sorties in	Average	Average	Primary	Average
Aircraft	Unit	Area Model ID	Mission Profile ID	Potential	Sorties	Missions	0700-2200	2200-0700	Night	Unit	Time	Time	Power	Airspeed
									2200 to 700		(min)	(sec)	Setting	(KIAS)
		Zircon Hold	F18CHold_B	No						100%	30	1800	90% NC	350
		fightNew	F18CFgt_Hi	Yes		45%	75	13	15%	100%	40	2400	92% NC	500
		FightLow1	F18CFgt_Low1	No			-	-		100%	2.5	150	92% NC	500
		FightLow2	F18CFgt_Low2	No						100%	2.5	150	92% NC	500
		Bandit ATCAA	F18CREDHold_ATCAA	No			2	0		18.5%	5.6	333	90% NC	350
		Bandit High Hold	F18CREDHold_High	No		5%	7	1	15%	81.5%	24.5	1467	90% NC	350
	Commodore	fightNew	F18CREDFgt_Hi	Yes	107	570	8	1	15/0	100%	40	2400	92% NC	500
	commodore	FightLow1	F18CREDFgt_Low1	No	157		8	1		100%	2.5	150	92% NC	500
		FightLow2	F18CREDFgt_Low2	No			8	1		100%	2.5	150	92% NC	500
		Zircon Hold	F18CHold_BS	No			42	7		100%	40	2400	90% NC	350
		LFE_T1	F18C_Strike17	Yes		25%	38	7	15%	90%	NA		92% NC	500
		LFE_T1_b20	F18C_Strike20	Yes			4	1		10%	NA		92% NC	500
		Zircon Hold	F18CHold_S	No			42	7		100%	40	2400	90% NC	350
		LFE_T2	F18C_Support17	No		25%	38	7	15%	90%	NA		92% NC	500
		LFE_T2_b20	F18C_Support20	No			4	1		10%	NA		92% NC	500
		NAWDC1a	F18C_NAWDC1a	Yes			1051	186	15%	75%	90	5400	92% NC	500
		NAWDC1_Fgt	F18C_NAWDC1_Fgt	Yes	1040	1000/				25%	75	4500	92% NC	500
	NAWDC-1	NAWDC1_BHold	F18C_NAWDC1_B	No	1649	100%	350	62	15%	12.5%	15	900	90% NC	350
		NAWDC1_RHold	F18C_NAWDC1_R	No						12.5%	15	900	90% NC	350
F/A-18C/D		NAWDC2a	F18C_NAWDC2a	Yes			1036	183	15%	75%	90	5400	92% NC	500
		NAWDC2_Fgt	F18C_NAWDC2_Fgt	Yes	1625	100%				25%	75	4500	92% NC	500
	NAWDC-2	NAWDC2_BHold	F18C_NAWDC2_B	No	1025	100%	345	61	15%	12.5%	15	900	90% NC	350
		NAWDC2_RHold	F18C_NAWDC2_R	No						12.5%	15	900	90% NC	350
	D 10	UrbanCAS	F18C_16CAS_2	No	112	C00/	47	0	0%	70%	90	5400	87% NC	350
	B-10	R-4803	F18C_16CAS	No	112	60%	19	1	5%	30%	90	5400	92% NC	500
		B17-CAS_N	F18C_17CAS_INN	No			35	2	5%	14%	90	5400	91% NC	400
		B17-CAS_SW	F18C_17CAS_INSW	No			35	2	5%	14%	90	5400	91% NC	400
		B17-CAS_Fgt	F18C_17CAS_Fgt	No			18	1	5%	7%	90	5400	92% NC	500
	B-17	BFM Fairview1	F18C_17BFM1	No	302	87.5%	53	0	0%	20%	25	1500	96.5% NC	350
		BFM Fairview2	F18C_17BFM2	No			26	0	0%	10%	25	1500	96.5% NC	350
		BFM Gabbs	F18C_17BFM3	No			79	0	0%	30%	25	1500	96.5% NC	350
		B17-ConBmb	F18C_17Conv	No			13	1	5%	5%	60	3600	92% NC	500
		B19-ConBmb	F18C_19ConBmb	No			86	5	5%	20%	90	5400	92% NC	500
	B 40	B19-CAS_E	F18C_19INE	No	564	000/	150	8	5%	35%	90	5400	91% NC	400
	в-19	B19-CAS_W	F18C_19INW	No	504	80%	150	8	5%	35%	90	5400	91% NC	400
		B19-CAS_Fight	F18C_19Fgt	No			43	2	5%	10%	90	5400	92% NC	500
	D 20	BFM Lonerock	F18C_20BFM1	No	400	750/	50	0	0%	50%	25	1500	96.5% NC	350
	в-20	BFM Dixie	F18C_20BFM2	No	132	/5%	50	0	0%	50%	60	3600	92% NC	500
		RenoBL1	F18C_RenoBL1	Yes	20	1000/	19	1	5%	50%	60	3600	92% NC	500
	Reno IVIOA	RenoBL2	F18C_RenoBL2	Yes	39	100%	19	1	5%	50%	60	3600	92% NC	500



Aircraft	Main SUA Unit	Area Model ID	Mission Profile ID	Supersonic Potential	Annual Sorties	% of Missions	Day 0700-2200	Night 2200-0700	% Acoustic Night 2200 to 700	% Sorties in Unit	Average Time (min)	Average Time (sec)	Primary Power Setting	Average Airspeed (KIAS)
		Bandit ATCAA	F5HoldATCAA	No			18	3		3.7%	5.6	1800	90% RPM	350
		Bandit High Hold	F5HoldHigh	No			80	14		16.3%	24.5	1800	90% NC	350
	Commodore	CAPs	F5CAP**	No	580	100%	49	9	15%	80%	30	1800	92% RPM	400
		fightNew	F5Fgt	Yes							30	1800	90% RPM	350
		FightLow1	F5FgtLow1	No			493	87		100%	2.5	150	90% RPM	350
		FightLow2	F5FgtLow2	No							2.5	150	90% RPM	350
	LoneRock	BFM Lonerock	F5_LoneRock_BFM	No	1609	100%	754	0	0%	50%	25	1500	90% RPM	350
	Dixie	<b>BFM Dixie</b>	F5_Dixie_BFM	No	1209	100%	754	0	0%	50%	25	1500	90% RPM	350
F-5N	Fairview1	BFM Fairview1	F5_BFMS1	No			406	0	0%	25%	25	1500	90% RPM	350
	Fairview 2	BFM Fairview2	F5_BFMS2	No	1624	1000/	406	0	0%	25%	25	1500	90% RPM	350
	Gabbs BFM	BFM Gabbs	F5_BFMS3	No	1024	100%	812	0	0%	50%	25	1500	90% RPM	350
	Ranch BFM	Ranch		No			0	0	0%	0%	25	1500	90% RPM	350
	B-16	B16-SUP	F5_16SUP	No	35	100%	33	2	5%	100%	60	3600	90% RPM	350
	B-17	B17-SUP	F5_17SUP	No	195	100%	185	10	5%	100%	60	3600	90% RPM	350
	B-19	B19-SUP	F5_19SUP	No	37	100%	35	2	5%	100%	60	3600	90% RPM	350
	B-20	B20-SUP	F5_20SUP	No	529	100%	503	26	5%	100%	60	3600	90% RPM	350
	Dono MOA	RenoBL1	F5_RenoBL1	Yes	107	1000/	86	5	E9/	50%	60	3600	90% RPM	350
	Reno IVIOA	RenoBL2	F5_RenoBL2	Yes	182	100%	86	5	5%	50%	60	3600	90% RPM	350
		Bandit ATCAA	F16HoldATCAA	No			61	11		17.58%	30.0	1800	86% NC	350
		Bandit High Hold	F16HoldHigh	No			269	48		77.43%	30.0	1800	90% NC	350
	Commodore	CAPs	F16CAP**		409	100%	17	3	15%	5.00%	30	1800	90% NC	450
		fightNew	F16Fgt	Yes			348	61		100.00%	90	5400	91% NC	500
		FightLow1	F16Fgt_Low1	No			348	61		100.00%	5	300	91% NC	500
		FightLow2	F16Fgt_Low2	No			348	61		100.00%	5	300	91% NC	500
	LoneRock	BFM Lonerock	F16_LoneRock_BFM	No	1077	100%	914	0	0%	50%	25	1500	91% NC	350
	Dixie	BFM Dixie	F16_Dixie_BFM	No	1027	100%	914	0	0%	50%	25	1500	91% NC	350
F-16C	Fairview1	BFM Fairview1	F16_BFMS1	No			290	0	0%	25%	25	1500	91% NC	350
	Fairview 2	BFM Fairview2	F16_BFMS2	No	1160	100%	290	0	0%	25%	25	1500	91% NC	350
	Gabbs BFM	BFM Gabbs	F16_BFMS3	No	1100	100%	580	0	0%	50%	25	1500	91% NC	350
	Ranch BFM	Ranch		No			0	0	0%	0%	25	1500	91% NC	350
	B-16	B16-SUP	F16_16SUP	No	38	100%	36	2	5%	100%	90	5400	91% NC	350
	B-17	B17-SUP	F16_17SUP	No	117	100%	111	6	5%	100%	90	5400	91% NC	350
	B-19	B19-SUP	F16_19SUP	No	0	100%	0	0	5%	100%	90	5400	91% NC	350
	B-20	B20-SUP	F16_20SUP	No	284	100%	270	14	5%	100%	90	5400	91% NC	350
	Popo MOA	RenoBL1	F16_RenoBL1	Yes	726	100%	345	18	5%	50%	60	3600	90% RPM	350
	Kello WOA	RenoBL2	F16_RenoBL2	Yes	/20	100%	345	18	5%	50%	60	3600	90% RPM	350



Aircraft	Main SUA Unit	Area Model ID	Mission Profile ID	Supersonic Potential	Annual Sorties	% of Missions	Day 0700-2200	Night 2200-0700	% Acoustic Night 2200 to 700	% Sorties in Unit	Average Time (min)	Average Time (sec)	Primary Power Setting	Average Airspeed (KIAS)
		Bandit ATCAA	F5HoldATCAA	No			18	3		3.7%	5.6	1800	90% RPM	350
E-5N	Commodore	Bandit High Hold	F5HoldHigh	No	580	100%	80	14	15%	16.3%	24.5	1800	90% NC	350
1-51	commodore	CAPs	F5CAP**	No	500	10076	49	9	13/6	80%	30	1800	92% RPM	400
		fightNew	F5Fgt	Yes			493	87		100%	30	1800	90% RPM	350
		FightLow1	F5FgtLow1	No							2.5	150	90% RPM	350
		Zircon Hold	EA18Hold	No			118	21		100.00%	40	2400	90% NC	350
	Commodore	LFE_T2	EA18Support17	No	139	100%	106	19	15%	90%	NA		90.5% NC	500
	· · · ·	LFE_T2_b20	EA18Support20	No			12	2		10.00%	NA		90.5% NC	500
	NAWDC-1	NAWDC1_Fgt	EA18_NTACINT	No	865	100%	735	130	15%	100%	90	5400	90% NC	350
	NAWDC-2	NAWDC2_Fgt	EA18_STACINT	No	1103	100%	938	165	15%	100%	90	5400	90% NC	350
FA 400	B-16	UrbanCAS	EA18G_16DT	No	30	100%	30	0	0%	100%	60	3600	90% NC	350
EA-18G	B-17	BFM Fairview1	EA18_SIAACT	No	209	100%	105	0	0%	50%	60	3600	90% NC	350
	D 10	BFIVI Fairview2	EA18_SZAACT	NO	477	100%	105	0	0%	50%	60	3600	90% NC	350
	B-19	RanchLow	EA18_19_ACC1	NO	1//	100%	1//	0	0%	100%	60	3600	90% NC	350
	B-20	PEM Divio		No	128	100%	64	0	0%	50%	60	3600	90% NC	350
		Brivi Dixie	EA10_NIAACI2	No			04 E4	2	0% E%	50%	60	2600	90% NC	250
	Reno MOA	RenoBL2	EA18_RenoBL2	No	113	100%	54	3	5%	50%	60	3600	90% RFIVI	350
		Zircon LEE	D8 LEE E	No			1/	2	570	50.00%	40	2400	10.000185	350
	Commodore	SmkDck   EF		No	32	100%	14	2	15%	50.00%	40	2400	10,000 LBS	350
P-8	NAWDC-1	NAWDC1a	P8 FW1	No	12	100%	10	2	15%	100%	90	5400	10,000 LBS	350
	NAWDC-2	NAWDC2a	P8 FW2	No	7	100%	6	1	15%	100%	90	5400	10,000 LBS	350
	1010002	Zircon LEE	F2   FF F	No		100/0	40	7	10/0	50.00%	40	2400	2400 ISHP	250
	Commodore	SmkDck LFE	E2_LFE_S	No	94	100%	40	7	15%	50.00%	40	2400	2400 ISHP	250
	NAWDC-1	NAWDC1a	E2 EW1	No	354	100%	301	53	15%	100%	90	5400	2400 ISHP	250
E-2C	NAWDC-2	NAWDC2a	E2 FW2	No	347	100%	295	52	15%	100%	90	5400	2400 ISHP	250
		RenoBL1	E2 RenoBL1	No			7	0	5%	50%	60	3600	2400 ISHP	250
	Reno MOA	RenoBL2	E2 RenoBL2	No	14	100%	7	0	5%	50%	60	3600	2400 ISHP	250
	Commodore	fightNew	H60 LFE CSAR		116	100%	99	17	15%	100%	90	5400		
	NAWDC-1	NAWDC1a	H60 NCSAR		250	100%	213	38	15%	100%	210	12600		40
	NAWDC-2	NAWDC2a	H60 SCSAR		250	100%	213	38	15%	100%	210	12600		40
	Dixie LZ				07	100%	35	4	10%	40%	120	7200		40
	Gabbs LZ				97	100%	52	6	10%	60%	120	7200		40
		Dixie_Helo	H60_N2TERF			100%	181	20	10%	54%	120	7200		40
	Divis	Dixie_Helo	H60_N2SOF		373	100%	60	7	10%	18%	120	7200		70
	Dixie	Dixie_Helo	H60_N2MARS			100%	17	2	10%	5%	120	7200		70
		Dixie_Helo	H60_N2SACT		37	100%	86	0	0%	23%	120	7200		100
		R-4816S	H60_S1TERF			0%	0	0	10%	0%	120	7200		40
	Fairview	R-4816S	H60_S1SACT		75	100%	75	0	0%	100%	120	7200		100
	i dii vie w	R-4816S	H60_S1SOF		,5	0%	0	0	10%	0%	120	7200		70
H-60		R-4816S	H60_S1MARS			0%	0	0	10%	0%	120	7200		70
11-00		B16-Helo	H60_16TERF				17	2	10%	18%	120	7200		40
	B-16	B16-Helo	H60_16CAS		130	79%	28	3	10%	30%	120	7200		90
	5 10	B16-Helo	H60_16CSAR		100	, 5, 6	17	2	10%	18%	120	7200		70
		HVBSS_H	H60_16HVBSSH				31	3	10%	34%	120	7200		40
		B17-Helo	H60_17CAS				128	14	10%	65%	120	7200		90
	B-17	B17-Helo	H60_17MARS		264	83%	22	2	10%	11%	120	7200		70
		B17_HF1	H60_17AG1		-		32	4	10%	16%	120	7200		70
		B17_HF2	H60_17AG2				16	2	10%	8%	120	7200		70
	B-19	B19_Helo	H60_19TERF		123	25%	1	0	10%	4%	120	7200		40
		B19_Helo	H60_19CAS				27	3	10%	96%	120	7200		90
	D 22	B20-HF	H60_20HF1			070/	2	0	10%	3%	120	7200		70
	B-20	B20-Harea	H60_20MARS		89	97%	12	1	10%	16%	120	7200		70
	L	B20-Harea	H60_20FAC				63	7	10%	81%	120	7200	1	80

Noise Study for Military Readiness Activities at the FRTC



*Final Report – November 2018* 

#### 1 Table 3-9. Proposed Action Alternative 1 Aircraft Sorties Area Operations and Mission Types

	Main SUA			Supersonic	Annual	% of	Dav	Night	% Acoustic	% Sortios in	Average	Average	Primary	Average
Aircraft	Init	Area Model ID	Mission Profile ID	Dotontial	Sortios	/0 UI Missions	Day	2200-0700	Night	/o Solues III	Time	Time	Power	Airspeed
	onne			Fotential	3011183	14113310113	0700-2200	2200-0700	2200 to 700	Onit	(min)	(sec)	Setting	(KIAS)
		Zircon Hold_Alt	F18EHold_B	No						100%	30	1800	90% NC	350
		fightNewAlt1&3	F18EFgt_Hi	Yes		45%	428	75	15%	100%	40	2400	90.5% NC	500
		FightLow1	F18EFgt_Low1	No		4570	420	75	13/0	100%	2.5	150	90.5% NC	500
		FightLow2	F18EFgt_Low2	No						100%	2.5	150	90.5% NC	500
		Bandit ATCAA	F18EREDHold_ATCAA	No			9	2		18.5%	30	1800	90% NC	350
		Bandit High Hold	F18EREDHold_High			E0/	39	7	159/	81.5%	30	1800	90% NC	350
	Commodore	fightNewAlt1&3	F18EREDFgt_Hi	Yes	1110	570	48	8	13%	100%	40	2400	90.5% NC	500
	commodore	FightLow1	F18EREDFgt_Low1	No	1110		48	8		100%	2.5	150	90.5% NC	500
	ļ	FightLow2	F18EREDFgt_Low2	No			48	8		100%	2.5	150	90.5% NC	500
		Zircon Hold_Alt	F18EHold_BS	No			238	42		100%	40	2400	90% NC	350
		LFE_T1_Alt	F18E_Strike17	No		25%	214	38	15%	90%	NA		90.5% NC	500
	ļ	LFE_T1_b20	F18E_Strike20	No			24	4		10%	NA		90.5% NC	500
		Zircon Hold_Alt	F18EHold_S	No			238	42		100%	40	2400	90% NC	350
		LFE_T2_Alt	F18E_Support17	No		25%	214	38	15%	90%	NA		90.5% NC	500
		LFE_T2_b20	F18E_Support20	No			24	4		10%	NA		90.5% NC	500
		NAWDC1a	F18E_NAWDC1a	Yes			5957	1051		75%	90	5400	90.5% NC	500
	NAWDC-1	NAWDC1_FgtA	F18E_NAWDC1_Fgt	Yes	9344	100%			15%	25%	75	4500	90.5% NC	500
	in the constant	NAWDC1_BHoldA	F18E_NAWDC1_B	No	5544	100/0	1986	350	15/0	12.5%	15	900	90% NC	350
		NAWDC1_RHold	F18E_NAWDC1_R	No						12.5%	15	900	90% NC	350
		NAWDC2a	F18E_NAWDC2a	Yes			5871	1036		75%	90	5400	90.5% NC	500
F/A-18F/F		NAWDC2_FgtA	F18E_NAWDC2_Fgt	Yes	9209	100%			15%	25%	75	4500	90.5% NC	500
.,,, 102,, 1	NAWDC 2	NAWDC2_BHoldA	F18E_NAWDC2_B	No	5205	100/0	1957	345	1370	12.5%	15	900	90% NC	350
		NAWDC2_RHold	F18E_NAWDC2_R	No						12.5%	15	900	90% NC	350
	B-16	UrbanCAS	F18E_16CAS_2	No	636	60%	267	0	0%	70%	90	5400	85% NC	350
	B-10	R-4803	F18E_16CAS	No	030	0078	109	6	5%	30%	90	5400	90.5% NC	500
		B17-CAS_New1	F18E_17CAS_IN	No			398	21	5%	28%	90	5400	90% NC	400
		B17-CAS_Fgt_Alt1	F18E_17CAS_Fgt	No			100	5	5%	7%	90	5400	90.5% NC	500
		BFM Fairview1	F18E_17BFM1	No			299	0	0%	20%	25	1500	96% NC	350
	B-17	BFM Fairview2	F18E_17BFM2	No	1710	87.5%	150	0	0%	10%	25	1500	96% NC	350
		BFM Gabbs	F18E_17BFM3	No			449	0	0%	30%	25	1500	96% NC	350
		B17-ConBmb_BL	F18E_17Conv1	No			36	2	5%	2.5%	60	3600	90.5% NC	500
		B17-ConBmb_Alt1	F18E_17Conv2				36	2	5%	2.5%	60	3600	90.5% NC	500
		B19-ConBmb	F18E_19ConBmb	No			485	26	5%	20%	90	5400	90.5% NC	500
	B-19	B19-CAS_E	F18E_19INE	No	319/	80%	850	45	5%	35%	90	5400	90% NC	400
	015	B19-CAS_W	F18E_19INW	No	5154	0070	850	45	5%	35%	90	5400	90% NC	400
		B19-CAS_Fight	F18E_19Fgt	No			243	13	5%	10%	90	5400	90.5% NC	500
		BFM Lonerock	F18E_20BFM1	No			228	0	0%	35%	25	1500	96% NC	350
	B-20	BFM Dixie	F18E_20BFM2	No	745	87.5%	228	0	0%	35%	25	1500	96% NC	350
		B20-ConBmbAlt	F18E_20Conv	No			186	10	5%	30%	60	3600	90.5% NC	500
		Reno Area1	F18E_RenoBFM1	No			56	0	0%	25%	25	1500	96% NC	350
	Reno MOA	Reno Area2	F18E_RenoBFM2	No	222	100%	56	0	0%	25%	25	1500	96% NC	350
	Netio IVIOA	Reno Area1	F18E_RenoFCF1	Yes	222	100%	56	0	0%	25%	60	3600	96% NC	450
		Reno Area2	F18E_RenoFCF2	Yes			56	0	0%	25%	60	3600	96% NC	450



	Main SUA			Supersonic	Annual	% of	Dav	Night	% Acoustic	% Sortios in	Average	Average	Primary	Average
Aircraft	Unit	Area Model ID	Mission Profile ID	Potential	Sortios	Missions	0700-2200	2200-0700	Night	/0 JUnit	Time	Time	Power	Airspeed
	onit			Potential	Joines	14113310113	0700-2200	2200-0700	2200 to 700	onit	(min)	(sec)	Setting	(KIAS)
		Zircon Hold_Alt	F18CHold_B	No						100%	30	1800	90% NC	350
		fightNewAlt1&3	F18CFgt_Hi	Yes		459/	75	12	1 5 0/	100%	40	2400	92% NC	500
		FightLow1	F18CFgt_Low1	No		43%	75	15	15%	100%	2.5	150	92% NC	500
		FightLow2	F18CFgt_Low2	No						100%	2.5	150	92% NC	500
		Bandit ATCAA	F18CREDHold_ATCAA	No			2	0		18.5%	30	1800	90% NC	350
		Bandit High Hold	F18CREDHold_High			5%	7	1	15%	81.5%	30	1800	90% NC	350
	Commodoro	fightNewAlt1&3	F18CREDFgt_Hi	Yes	107	570	8	1	1370	100%	40	2400	92% NC	500
	commodore	FightLow1	F18CREDFgt_Low1	No	197		8	1		100%	2.5		92% NC	500
		FightLow2	F18CREDFgt_Low2	No			8	1		100%	2.5		92% NC	500
		Zircon Hold_Alt	F18CHold_BS	No			42	7		100%	40	2400	90% NC	350
		LFE_T1_Alt	F18C_Strike17	Yes		25%	38	7	15%	90%	NA		92% NC	500
		LFE_T1_b20	F18C_Strike20	Yes			4	1		10%	NA		92% NC	500
		Zircon Hold_Alt	F18CHold_S	No			42	7		100%	40	2400	90% NC	350
		LFE_T2_Alt	F18C_Support17	No		25%	38	7	15%	90%	NA		92% NC	500
		LFE_T2_b20	F18C_Support20	No			4	1		10%	NA		92% NC	500
		NAWDC1a	F18C_NAWDC1a	Yes			1051	186		75%	90	5400	92% NC	500
		NAWDC1_FgtA	F18C_NAWDC1_Fgt	Yes	1640	100%			150/	25%	75	4500	92% NC	500
	NAWDC-1	NAWDC1_BHoldA	F18C_NAWDC1_B	No	1049	100%	350	62	15%	12.5%	15	900	90% NC	350
		NAWDC1_RHold	F18C_NAWDC1_R	No						12.5%	15	900	90% NC	350
		NAWDC2a	F18C_NAWDC2a	Yes			1036	183		75%	90	5400	92% NC	500
		NAWDC2_FgtA	F18C_NAWDC2_Fgt	Yes	1625	100%			15%	25%	75	4500	92% NC	500
F/A-10C/L	NAWDC 2	NAWDC2_BHoldA	F18C_NAWDC2_B	No	1025	10070	345	61	1370	12.5%	15	900	90% NC	350
		NAWDC2_RHold	F18C_NAWDC2_R	No						12.5%	15	900	90% NC	350
	D 16	UrbanCAS	F18C_16CAS_2	No	112	C00/	47	0	0%	70%	90	5400	87% NC	350
	B-16	R-4803	F18C_16CAS	No	112	60%	19	1	5%	30%	90	5400	92% NC	500
		B17-CAS_New1	F18C_17CAS_IN	No			70	4	5%	28%	90	5400	91% NC	400
		B17-CAS_Fgt_Alt1	F18C_17CAS_Fgt	No			18	1	5%	7%	90	5400	92% NC	500
		BFM Fairview1	F18C_17BFM1	No			53	0	0%	20%	25	1500	96.5% NC	350
	B-17	BFM Fairview2	F18C_17BFM2	No	302	87.5%	26	0	0%	10%	25	1500	96.5% NC	350
		BFM Gabbs	F18C_17BFM3	No			79	0	0%	30%	25	1500	96.5% NC	350
		B17-ConBmb_BL	F18C_17Conv1	No			6	0	5%	2.5%	60	3600	92% NC	500
		B17-ConBmb_Alt1	F18C_17Conv2				6	0	5%	2.5%	60	3600	92% NC	500
		B19-ConBmb	F18C_19ConBmb	No			86	5	5%	20%	90	5400	92% NC	500
	P 10	B19-CAS_E	F18C_19INE	No	564	800/	150	8	5%	35%	90	5400	91% NC	400
	B-19	B19-CAS_W	F18C_19INW	No	504	80%	150	8	5%	35%	90	5400	91% NC	400
		B19-CAS_Fight	F18C_19Fgt	No			43	2	5%	10%	90	5400	92% NC	500
		BFM Lonerock	F18C_20BFM1	No			40	0	0%	35%	25	1500	96.5% NC	350
	B-20	<b>BFM Dixie</b>	F18C_20BFM2		132	87.5%	40	0	0%	35%	25	1500	96.5% NC	350
		B20-ConBmbAlt	F18C_20ConBmb	No			33	2	5%	30%	60	3600	92% NC	500
		Reno Area1	F18C_RenoBFM1	No			10	0	0%	25%	25	1500	96.5% NC	350
	Dama MCA	Reno Area2	F18C_RenoBFM2	No	20	100%	10	0	0%	25%	25	1500	96.5% NC	350
	Keno IVIOA	Reno Area1	F18C_RenoFCF1	Yes	39	100%	10	0	0%	25%	60	3600	92% NC	500
		Reno Area2	F18C_RenoFCF2	Yes			10	0	0%	25%	60	3600	92% NC	500



	Main SI IA			Supersonic	Annual	% of	Dav	Night	% Acoustic	% Sorties in	Average	Average	Primary	Average
Aircraft	Unit	Area Model ID	Mission Profile ID	Potential	Sorties	Missions	0700-2200	2200-0700	Night	/ Junit	Time	Time	Power	Airspeed
	onit			Totential	Jornes	14113310113	0700-2200	2200-0700	2200 to 700	onic	(min)	(sec)	Setting	(KIAS)
		Zircon Hold_Alt	F35CHold_B	No						100%	30	1800	35% ETR	350
		fightNewAlt1&3	F35CFgt_Hi	Yes		15%	172	20	15%	100%	40	2400	90% ETR	350
		FightLow1	F35CFgt_Low1	No		4378	1/2	30	1378	100%	2.5	150	90% ETR	500
		FightLow2	F35CFgt_Low2	No						100%	2.5	150	90% ETR	500
		Bandit ATCAA	F35CREDHold_ATCAA	No						18.5%	6	333	35% ETR	350
		Bandit High Hold	F35CREDHold_High			5%	10	2	15%	81.5%	24	1467	35% ETR	350
	Commodore	fightNewAlt1&3	F35CREDFgt_Hi	Yes	110	570	15	5	1370	100%	40	2400	90% ETR	500
	commodore	FightLow1	F35CREDFgt_Low1	No	445					100%	2.5		90% ETR	500
		FightLow2	F35CREDFgt_Low2	No						100%	2.5		90% ETR	500
		Zircon Hold_Alt	F35CHold_BS	No			95	17		100%	40	2400	35% ETR	350
		LFE_T1_Alt	F35C_Strike17	Yes		25%	86	15	15%	90%	NA		90% ETR	500
		LFE_T1_b20	F35C_Strike20	Yes			10	2		10%	NA		90% ETR	500
		Zircon Hold_Alt	F35CHold_S	No			95	17		100%	40	2400	35% ETR	350
		LFE_T2_Alt	F35C_Support17	No		25%	86	15	15%	90%	NA		90% ETR	500
		LFE_T2_b20	F35C_Support20	No			10	2		10%	NA		90% ETR	500
		NAWDC1a	F35C_NAWDC1a	Yes			365	64		75%	90	5400	90% ETR	500
		NAWDC1_FgtA	F35C_NAWDC1_Fgt	Yes	572	100%	122	21	1E0/	25%	75	4500	90% ETR	500
	NAVUDC-1	NAWDC1_BHoldA	F35C_NAWDC1_B	No	575	100%	61	11	15%	12.5%	15	900	35% ETR	350
		NAWDC1_RHold	F35C_NAWDC1_R	No			61	11		12.5%	15	900	35% ETR	350
		NAWDC2a	F35C_NAWDC2a	Yes			361	64		75%	90	5400	90% ETR	500
E-25B/C	NAWDC-2	NAWDC2_FgtA	F35C_NAWDC2_Fgt	Yes	567	100%	120	21	15%	25%	75	4500	90% ETR	500
1-330/0	in the L	NAWDC2_BHoldA	F35C_NAWDC2_B	No	507	10070	60	11	13/0	12.5%	15	900	35% ETR	350
		NAWDC2_RHold	F35C_NAWDC2_R	No			60	11		12.5%	15	900	35% ETR	350
	D 10	UrbanCAS	F35C_16CAS_2	No	25	C00/	11	0	0%	70%	90	5400	40% ETR	400
	B-10	R-4803	F35C_16CAS	No	25	00%	4	0	5%	30%	90	5400	90% ETR	500
		B17-CAS_New1	F35C_17CAS_IN	No			23	1	5%	28%	90	5400	80% ETR	400
		B17-CAS_Fgt_Alt1	F35C_17CAS_Fgt	No			6	0	5%	7%	90	5400	90% ETR	500
		BFM Fairview1	F35C_17BFM1	No			18	0	0%	20%	25	1500	50% ETR	325
	B-17	BFM Fairview2	F35C_17BFM2	No	100	87.5%	9	0	0%	10%	25	1500	50% ETR	325
		BFM Gabbs	F35C_17BFM3	No			26	0	0%	30%	25	1500	50% ETR	325
		B17-ConBmb_BL	F35C_17Conv1	No			2	0	5%	2.5%	60	3600	90% ETR	500
		B17-ConBmb_Alt1	F35C_17Conv2				2	0	5%	2.5%	60	3600	90% ETR	500
		B19-ConBmb	F35C_19ConBmb	No			4	0	5%	20%	90	5400	90% ETR	500
	P 10	B19-CAS_E	F35C_19INE	No	25	200/	7	0	5%	35%	90	5400	80% ETR	400
	D-19	B19-CAS_W	F35C_19INW	No	25	80%	7	0	5%	35%	90	5400	80% ETR	400
		B19-CAS_Fight	F35C_19Fgt	No			2	0	5%	10%	90	5400	90% ETR	500
		BFM Lonerock	F35C_20BFM1	No			31	0	0%	35%	25	1500	50% ETR	325
	B-20	BFM Dixie	F35C_20BFM2	No	100	87.5%	31	0	0%	35%	25	1500	50% ETR	325
		B20-ConBmbAlt	F35C_20Conv	No			25	1	5%	30%	60	3600	90% ETR	500
		Reno Area1	F35C_RenoBFM1	No			2	0	0%	25%	25	1500	50% ETR	325
	Bono MOA	Reno Area2	F35C_RenoBFM2	No	0	100%	2	0	0%	25%	25	1500	50% ETR	325
	Relio IVIOA	Reno Area1	F35C_RenoFCF1	Yes	Э	100%	2	0	0%	25%	60	3600	100% ETR	500
		Reno Area2	F35C_RenoFCF2	Yes			2	0	0%	25%	60	3600	100% ETR	500



	Main SUA			Supersonic	Annual	% of	Day	Night	% Acoustic	% Sorties in	Average	Average	Primary	Average
Aircraft	Unit	Area Model ID	Mission Profile ID	Potential	Sorties	Missions	0700-2200	2200-0700	Night 2200 to 700	Unit	Time (min)	Time (sec)	Power	Airspeed
									220010700		(11111)	(sec)	Setting	(KIAS)
		Bandit ATCAA	F5HoldATCAA	No			18	3		3.7%	30	1800	90% RPM	350
		Bandit High Hold	F5HoldHigh				80	14		16.3%	30	1800	90% RPM	350
	Commodore	CAPs	(8)	No	580	100%	394	70	15%	80%	30	1800	92% RPM	400
		fightNewAlt1&3	F5Fgt	Yes							30	1800	90% RPM	350
		FightLow1	F5FgtLow1	No			493	87		100%	2.5	150	90% RPM	350
		FightLow2	F5FgtLow2	No							2.5	150	90% RPM	350
	LoneRock	BFM Lonerock	F5_LoneRock_BFM	No	1252	100%	626	0	0%	50%	25	1500	90% RPM	350
	Dixie	BFM Dixie	F5_Dixie_BFM	No	1255	10078	626	0	0%	50%	25	1500	90% RPM	350
	Fairview1	BFM Fairview1	F5_BFMS1	No			314	0	0%	16.7%	25	1500	90% RPM	350
E-5N	Fairview 2	BFM Fairview2	F5_BFMS2	No	1879	100%	314	0	0%	16.7%	25	1500	90% RPM	350
1 514	Gabbs BFM	BFM Gabbs	F5_BFMS3	No	10/5	10070	626	0	0%	33.3%	25	1500	90% RPM	350
	Ranch BFM	BFM Ranch	F5_BFMS4	No			626	0	0%	33.3%	25	1500	90% RPM	350
	B-16	B16-SUP	F5_16SUP	No	35	100%	33	2	5%	100%	60	3600	90% RPM	350
	B-17	B17-SUP	F5_17SUP	No	195	100%	93	5	5%	50%	60	3600	90% RPM	350
	5 17	B17-SUPn	F5_17SUPn	No	100	100/0	93	5	5%	50%	60	3600	90% RPM	350
	B-19	B19-SUP	F5_19SUP	No	37	100%	35	2	5%	100%	60	3600	90% RPM	350
	B-20	B20-SUP	F5_20SUP	No	529	100%	503	26	5%	100%	60	3600	90% RPM	350
		Reno Area1	F5_RenoBFM1	No			46	0	0%	25%	60	3600	90% RPM	350
	Reno MOA	Reno Area2	F5_RenoBFM2	No	182	100%	46	0	0%	25%	60	3600	90% RPM	350
	Keno WioA	Reno Area1	F5_RenoFCF1	Yes	102	10070	46	0	0%	25%	25	1500	92% RPM	450
		Reno Area2	F5_RenoFCF2	Yes			46	0	0%	25%	25	1500	92% RPM	450
		Bandit ATCAA	F16HoldATCAA	No							30	1800	86% NC	350
		Bandit High Hold	F16HoldHigh	No							30	1800	86% NC	350
	Commodore	CAPs	174	No	409	100%	348	61	15%	100.00%	30	1800	86% NC	350
		fightNewAlt1&3	F16Fgt	Yes							90	5400	91% NC	500
		FightLow1	F16Fgt_Low1	No							5	300	91% NC	500
		FightLow2	F16Fgt_Low2	No							5	300	91% NC	500
	LoneRock	BFM Lonerock	F16_LoneRock_BFM	No	1195	100%	597	0	0%	50%	25	1500	91% NC	350
	Dixie	BFM Dixie	F16_Dixie_BFM	No	1100	100/0	597	0	0%	50%	25	1500	91% NC	350
	Fairview1	BFM Fairview1	F16_BFMS1	No			299	0	0%	16.7%	25	1500	91% NC	350
F-16C	Fairview 2	BFM Fairview2	F16_BFMS2	No	1792	100%	299	0	0%	16.7%	25	1500	91% NC	350
	Gabbs BFM	BFM Gabbs	F16_BFMS3	No			597	0	0%	33.3%	25	1500	91% NC	350
	Ranch BFM	BFM Ranch	F16_BFMS4	No			597	0	0%	33.3%	25	1500	91% NC	350
	B-16	B16-SUP	F16_16SUP	No	38	100%	36	2	5%	100%	90	5400	91% NC	350
	B-17	B17-SUP	F16_17SUP	No	117	100%	56	3	5%	50%	90	5400	91% NC	350
		B17-SUPn	F16_17SUPn	No			56	3	5%	50%	90	5400	91% NC	350
	B-19	B19-SUP	F16_19SUP	No	0	100%	0	0	5%	100%	90	5400	91% NC	350
	B-20	B20-SUP	F16_20SUP	No	284	100%	270	14	5%	100%	90	5400	91% NC	350
		Reno Area1	F16_RenoBFM1	No			182	0	0%	25%	60	3600	91% NC	350
	Reno MOA	Reno Area2	F16_RenoBFM2	No	726	100%	182	0	0%	25%	60	3600	91% NC	350
		Reno Area1	F16_RenoFCF1	No		100/0	182	0	0%	25%	60	3600	91% NC	500
		Reno Area2	F16_RenoFCF2	No			182	0	0%	25%	60	3600	91% NC	500



Aircraft	Main SUA	Area Model ID	Mission Profile ID	Supersonic	Annual	% of	Day	Night	% Acoustic Night	% Sorties in	Average Time	Average Time	Primary Power	Average Airspeed
	Unit			Potential	Sorties	wissions	0700-2200	2200-0700	2200 to 700	Unit	(min)	(sec)	Setting	(KIAS)
		Zircon Hold_Alt	EA18Hold	No			118	21		100.00%	40	2400	90% NC	350
	Commodore	LFE_T2	EA18Support17	No	139	100%	106	19	15%	90%	NA		90.5% NC	500
		LFE_T2_b20	EA18Support20	No			12	2		10.00%	NA		90.5% NC	500
	NAWDC-1	NAWDC1-Alt	EA18_NTACINT	No	865	100%	735	130	15%	100%	90	5400	90% NC	350
	NAWDC-2	NAWDC2-Alt	EA18_STACINT	No	1103	100%	938	165	15%	100%	90	5400	90% NC	350
	B-16	UrbanCAS	EA18G_16DT	No	30	100%	30	0	0%	100%	60	3600	90% NC	350
	B-17	BFM Fairview1	EA18_S1AACT	No	200	100%	105	0	0%	50%	60	3600	90% NC	350
EA-18G	D-17	BFM Fairview2	EA18_S2AACT	No	205	10076	105	0	0%	50%	60	3600	90% NC	350
	B-19	Ranch	EA18_19_ACCT	No	177	100%	177	0	0%	100%	60	3600	90% NC	350
	B-20	BFM Lonerock	EA18_N1AACT1	No	128	100%	64	0	0%	50%	60	3600	90% NC	350
	0 20	BFM Dixie	EA18_N1AACT2	No	120	10070	64	0	0%	50%	60	3600	90% NC	350
		Reno Area1	EA18_RenoACCT1	No			28	0	0%	25%	60	3600	90% RPM	350
	Reno MOA	Reno Area2	EA18_RenoACCT2	No	113	100%	28	0	0%	25%	60	3600	90% RPM	350
	neno mort	Reno Area1	EA18_RenoFCF1	No	110	100/0	28	0	0%	25%	60	3600	90% RPM	350
		Reno Area2	EA18_RenoFCF2	No			28	0	0%	25%	60	3600	90% RPM	350
	Commodore	Zircon LFE	P8_LFE_E	No	32	100%	14	2	15%	50.00%	40	2400	10,000 LBS	350
P-8		SmkDck_LFE	P8_LFE_S	No			14	2		50.00%	40		10,000 LBS	350
	NAWDC-1	NAWDC1a	P8_EW1	No	12	100%	10	2	15%	100%	90	5400	10,000 LBS	350
	NAWDC-2	NAWDC2a	P8_EW2	No	7	100%	6	1	15%	100%	90	5400	10,000 LBS	350
	Commodore	Zircon LFE	E2_LFE_E	No	94	100%	40	7	15%	50.00%	40	2400	2400 ISHP	250
		SmkDck_LFE	E2_LFE_S	No	-		40	7		50.00%	40	2400	2400 ISHP	250
E-2C	NAWDC-1	NAWDC1a	E2_EW1	No	354	100%	301	53	15%	100%	90	5400	2400 ISHP	250
	NAWDC-2	NAWDC2a	E2_EW2	No	347	100%	295	52	15%	100%	90	5400	2400 ISHP	250
	Reno MOA	Reno Area1	E2_Reno1	Yes	14	100%	7	0	5%	50%	60	3600	2400 ISHP	250
		Reno Area2	E2_Reno2	Yes			7	0	5%	50%	60	3600	2400 ISHP	250
	Commodore	fightNewAlt1&3	H60_LFE_CSAR		116	100%	99	17	15%	100%	90	5400		
	NAWDC-1	NAWDC1a	H60_NCSAR		250	100%	213	38	15%	100%	210	12600		40
	NAWDC-2	NAWDC2a	H60_SCSAR		250	100%	213	38	15%	100%	210	12600		40
	Dixie LZ				97	100%	35	4	10%	40%	120	7200		40
	Gabbs LZ					100%	52	6	10%	60%	120	7200		40
		Dixie_Helo	H60_N2TERF			100%	181	20	10%	54%	120	7200		40
	Dixie	Dixie_Helo	H60_N2SOF		373	100%	60	7	10%	18%	120	7200		70
	-	Dixie_Helo	H60_N2MARS			100%	17	2	10%	5%	120	7200		70
		Dixie_Helo	H60_N2SACT		37	100%	86	0	0%	23%	120	7200		100
		R-4816S	H60_S1TERF			0%	0	0	10%	0%	120	7200		40
	Fairview	R-4816S	H60_S1SACT		75	100%	75	0	0%	100%	120	7200		100
		R-48165	H60_S1SOF			0%	0	0	10%	0%	120	7200		70
		R-48165	H60_S1MARS			0%	0	0	10%	0%	120	7200		/0
H-60		B16-Helo	H60_16TERF				1/	2	10%	18%	120	7200		40
	B-16	B16-Helo	H60_16CAS		130	79%	28	3	10%	30%	120	7200		90
		B16-Helo	H60_16CSAR				1/	2	10%	18%	120	7200		/0
		HVBSS_H	HOU_ICHVBSSH				31	3	10%	34%	120	7200		40
		B17-Helo	H60_17CAS				64	/	10%	32.5%	120	7200		90
		B17-HeloN	HOU_1/CASH				64	1	10%	52.5%	120	7200		90
	B-17	B17-HeloN	H60_17MARS		264	83%	11	1	10%	5.5% E E0/	120	7200		70
			H60 174 C10				32	1	10%	3.3% 16%	120	7200		70
			H60 174 G20				32	4	10%	10% go/	120	7200		70
		P10 Holo					1	2 0	10%	0/0	120	7200		10
	B-19	B15_TEIU B19 Helo	H60 190AS		123	25%	27	3	10%	470	120	7200		40
		B19_neto	H60 20HE1				2/	0	10%	30%	120	7200		50 70
	B-20	B20-Haroa			20	97%	12	1	10%	3/0	120	7200		70
	D-20	B20-Harea			65	5170	63	7	10%	10/0 81%	120	7200		80
		D20 Haica	100_201740				55	,	10/0	01/0	120	,200		50

Noise Study for Military Readiness Activities at the FRTC



Final Report – November 2018

#### 1 Table 3-10. Proposed Action Alternative 3 Aircraft Sorties Area Operations and Mission Types for B-17 only

	Main SI IA			Supersonic	Annual	% of	Dav	Night	% Acoustic	% Sorties in	Average	Average	Primary	Average
Aircraft	Unit	Area Model ID	Mission Profile ID	Potential	Sorties	Missions	0700-2200	2200-0700	Night	Unit	Time	Time	Power	Airspeed
	•						0.00		2200 to 700	•	(min)	(sec)	Setting	(KIAS)
		B17-CAS_New3	F18E_17CAS_IN	No			398	21	5%	28%	90	5400	90% NC	400
		B17-CAS_Fgt_Alt3	F18E_17CAS_Fgt	No			100	5	5%	7%	90	5400	90.5% NC	500
		BFM Fairview1	F18E_17BFM1	No			299	0	0%	20%	25	1500	96% NC	350
F/A-18E/F	B-17	BFM Fairview2	F18E_17BFM2	No	1710	87.5%	150	0	0%	10%	25	1500	96% NC	350
		BFM Gabbs	F18E_17BFM3	No			449	0	0%	30%	25	1500	96% NC	350
		B17-ConBmb_BL	F18E_17Conv1	No			36	2	5%	2.5%	60	3600	90.5% NC	500
		B17-ConBmb_Alt3	F18E_17Conv2				36	2	5%	2.5%	60	3600	90.5% NC	500
		B17-CAS_New3	F18C_17CAS_IN	No			70	4	5%	28%	90	5400	91% NC	400
		B17-CAS_Fgt_Alt3	F18C_17CAS_Fgt	No			18	1	5%	7%	90	5400	92% NC	500
		BFM Fairview1	F18C_17BFM1	No			53	0	0%	20%	25	1500	96.5% NC	350
F/A-18C/D	B-17	BFM Fairview2	F18C_17BFM2	No	302	87.5%	26	0	0%	10%	25	1500	96.5% NC	350
		BFM Gabbs	F18C_17BFM3	No			79	0	0%	30%	25	1500	96.5% NC	350
		B17-ConBmb_BL	F18C_17Conv1	No			6	0	5%	2.5%	60	3600	92% NC	500
		B17-ConBmb_Alt3	F18C_17Conv2				6	0	5%	2.5%	60	3600	92% NC	500
		B17-CAS_New3	F35C_17CAS_IN	No			23	1	5%	28%	90	5400	80% ETR	400
		B17-CAS_Fgt_Alt3	F35C_17CAS_Fgt	No			6	0	5%	7%	90	5400	90% ETR	500
		BFM Fairview1	F35C_17BFM1	No			18	0	0%	20%	25	1500	50% ETR	325
F-35B/C	B-17	BFM Fairview2	F35C_17BFM2	No	100	87.5%	9	0	0%	10%	25	1500	50% ETR	325
		BFM Gabbs	F35C_17BFM3	No			26	0	0%	30%	25	1500	50% ETR	325
		B17-ConBmb_BL	F35C_17Conv1	No			2	0	5%	2.5%	60	3600	90% ETR	500
		B17-ConBmb_Alt3	F35C_17Conv2				2	0	5%	2.5%	60	3600	90% ETR	500
	D 17	B17-SUP	F5_17SUP	No	10E	100%	93	5	5%	50%	60	3600	90% RPM	350
F-DIN	B-17	B17-SUP_Alt3	F5_17SUPn	No	195	100%	93	5	5%	50%	60	3600	90% RPM	350
F 100	D 47	B17-SUP	F16_17SUP	No	447	1000/	56	3	5%	50%	90	5400	91% NC	350
F-10C	B-17	B17-SUP_Alt3	F16_17SUPn	No	117	100%	56	3	5%	50%	90	5400	91% NC	350
54.400	D 47	BFM Fairview1	EA18_S1AACT	No	200	4000/	105	0	0%	50%	60	3600	90% NC	350
EA-18G	B-17	BFM Fairview2	EA18_S2AACT	No	209	100%	105	0	0%	50%	60	3600	90% NC	350
		B17-Helo	H60_17CAS				64	7	10%	32.5%	120	7200		90
		B17-Helo_Alt3	H60_17CASn				64	7	10%	32.5%	120	7200		90
		B17-Helo	H60_17MARS				11	1	10%	5.5%	120	7200		70
H-60	B-17	B17-Helo_Alt3	H60_17MARSn		264	83%	11	1	10%	5.5%	120	7200		70
		 B17_HF1					16	2	10%	8%	120	7200		70
							16	2	10%	8%	120	7200		70
		B17_HF_Alt3					16	2	10%	8%	120	7200		70

### 1 3.8 Tracked Operations

2 For tracked operations, the noise analysis utilizes fixed-wing entry routes (arrival flights), exit routes 3 (departure flights), high dive/low dive, CAS, strafing, and level bombing tracks, as well as helicopter 4 entry/exit routes and air gunnery routes. Tactical, irregularly repeated operations are modeled as area 5 operations, not tracked operations. The modeled tracks are discussed in Sections 3.1.1, 3.2.1, 3.3.1, 3.4.1, 6 and 3.5.1. Table 3-12 and Table 3-13 detail Proposed Alternative 1 and 3 fixed-wing and H-60 helicopter 7 tracked operations within the FRTC. These tracked operations include entry/exit routes into the ranges, 8 tactical gunnery tracks (high dive, low dive, pop-left, pop-right, strafing), CAS tracks, tactical intercept 9 tracks, and helicopter air gunnery tracks. The distribution of modeled tracked operations is similar 10 between Baseline scenario and Alternative 1 with the exception of F-35B/C operations and tracks moving 11 to new proposed targets. The No Action Alternative contains no air-to-ground activity in B-16, B-17, B-19, 12 and B-20.



#### 1 Table 3-11. Baseline Aircraft Sorties Tracked Operations and Mission Types

					Mission Brofile	Annual So	rties within	Total	Acoustic	Acoustic	0/	# of	% Acoustic	Bower	Aircoad
Aircraft	Init	Mission Type	Modeled Track			U	nit	Annual	Day Passes	Night Passes	/0	Passes per	Night	Sotting	(KIAC)
	Offic			ID	ID ID	Total	% Track	Passes	700-2200	2200-700	Otilization	Sortie	2200 to 700	Setting	(KIAS)
		Entry	B16_Ent	FW_B16_ent	F18E_B16IN	636	100%	636	618	18	100%	1	2.9%	85% NC	350
		Exit	B16_Ex	FW_B16_ex	F18E_B16EG	636	100%	636	618	18	100%	1	2.9%	84% NC	300
		Entry	B17_Ent	FW_B17_ent	F18E_B17IN	1,710	100%	1710	1669	41	100%	1	2.4%	85% NC	350
		Exit	B17_Ex	FW_B17_ex	F18E_B17EG	1,710	100%	1710	1669	41	100%	1	2.4%	84% NC	300
		Entry	B19_Ent	FW_B19_ent	F18E_B19IN	3,194	100%	3194	3034	160	100%	1	5.0%	85% NC	350
		Exit	B19_Ex	FW_B19_ex	F18E_B19EG	3,194	100%	3194	3034	160	100%	1	5.0%	84% NC	300
		Entry	B20_Ent	FW_B20_entex	F18E_B20EE	745	100%	1490	1471	19	100%	2	1.3%	85% NC	350
		Entry	LFE_Direct	LFE_Direct	F18E_IN	1,118	100%	1118	950	168	100%	1	15%	85% NC	350
		Entry	Commodore	Admiral	F18E_INGN	9,209	100%	9209	7828	1381	100%	1	15%	85% NC	350
		Entry	Admiral	Commodore	F18E_INGS	9,344	100%	9344	7942	1402	100%	1	15%	85% NC	350
F/A-18E/F		Exit	Commodore	Commodore	F18E_EGS	10215	100%	10215	8683	1532	100%	1	15%	84% NC	300
		Exit	Admiral	Admiral	F18E_EGN	9456	100%	9456	8038	1418	100%	1	15%	84% NC	300
		High Dive	B16-HDLD	B16_HD	F18E_16HD			733	696	37	48%	6	5%	96% NC	450
	B-16	Low Dive	B16-HDLD	B16_LD	F18E_16LD	636	40%	458	435	23	30%	6	5%	96% NC	450
		Strafing	B16_Strf	B16_Strf	F18E_16STRA			560	532	28	22%	10	5%	96% NC	450
	D 17	Conventional	B17-CONVa			1 710	120/	0	0	0	0%	10	5%	96% NC	450
	D-17	Strafing	B17-STRAa	B17-STRAa	F18E_17STRA	1,710	15%	1069	1015	53	50%	10	5%	96% NC	450
	D 10	Strafing	East	B19-Strafe	F18E_19Strf1	2 104	200/	2875	2731	144	50%	9	5%	96% NC	450
	B-19	Strafing	West	B19-Strafe_2	F18E_19Strf2	3,194	20%	2875	2731	144	50%	9	5%	96% NC	450
	B 20	Conventional	B20-SCONVa	B20-SCONVa	F18E_20Conv	745	250/	838	796	42	50%	9	5%	84.5% NC	400
	B-20	Strafing	B20-SSTRAa	B20-SSTRAa	F18E_20STRA	/45	25%	838	796	42	50%	9	5%	84.5% NC	400
		Entry	B16_ING	FW_B16_ent	F18C_B16IN	112	100%	112	109	3	100%	1	2.9%	85% NC	350
		Exit	B16_EG	FW_B16_ex	F18C_B16EG	112	100%	112	109	3	100%	1	2.9%	84% NC	300
		Entry	B17_Ent	FW_B17_ent	F18C_B17IN	302	100%	302	295	7	100%	1	2.4%	85% NC	350
		Exit	B17_Ex	FW_B17_ex	F18C_B17EG	302	100%	302	295	7	100%	1	2.4%	84% NC	300
		Entry	B19_Ent	FW_B19_ent	F18C_B19IN	564	100%	564	536	28	100%	1	5.0%	85% NC	350
		Exit	B19_Ex	FW_B19_ex	F18C_B19EG	564	100%	564	536	28	100%	1	5.0%	84% NC	300
		Entry	B20_Ent	FW_B20_entex	F18C_B20EE	132	100%	264	261	3	100%	2	1.3%	85% NC	350
		Entry	LFE_Direct	LFE_Direct	F18C_IN	197	100%	197	167	30	100%	1	15%	85% NC	350
		Entry	Commodore	Admiral	F18C_INGN	1,625	100%	1625	1381	244	100%	1	15%	85% NC	350
		Entry	Admiral	Commodore	F18C_INGS	1,649	100%	1649	1402	247	100%	1	15%	85% NC	350
F/A-18C/C		Exit	Commodore	Commodore	F18C_EGS	1802	100%	1802	1532	270	100%	1	15%	84% NC	300
		Exit	Admiral	Admiral	F18C_EGN	1669	100%	1669	1419	250	100%	1	15%	84% NC	300
		High Dive	B16-HDLD	B16_HD	F18C_16HD			118	112	6	44%	6	5%	94%-96% NC	300-480
	B-16	Low Dive	B16-HDLD	B16_LD	F18C_16LD	112	40%	75	72	4	28%	6	5%	94%-96% NC	350-480
		Strafing	B-16Strf	B16_Strf	F18C_16STRA	1		90	85	4	20%	10	5%	96.5% NC	450
	D 47	Conventional	B17-CONVa			202	10 50/	0	0	0	0%	10	5%	96.5% NC	450
	B-1/	Strafing	B17-STRAa	B17-STRAa	F18C_17STRA	302	12.5%	378	359	19	100%	10	5%	96.5% NC	450
		Strafing	East	B19-Strafe	F18C_19Strf1		2004	508	482	25	50.00%	9	5%	92% NC	500
	B-19	Strafing	West	B19-Strafe 2	F18C_19Strf2	564	20%	508	482	25	50.0%	9	5%	96.5% NC	450
		Conventional	B20-SCONVa	B20-SCONVa	F18C 20Conv			149	141	7	50%	9	5%	92% NC	500
	B-20	Strafing	B20-SSTRAa	B20-SSTRAa	F18C_20STRA	132	25%	149	141	7	50%	9	5%	96.5%NC	450



					Mission Brofile	Annual So	rties within	Total	Acoustic	Acoustic	0/	# of	% Acoustic	Dowor	Aircroad
Aircraft	IVIAIII SUA	Mission Type	Modeled Track			U	nit	Annual	Day Passes	Night Passes	/0	Passes per	Night	Fower	Anspeeu (KIAC)
	Unit			U	U	Total	% Track	Passes	700-2200	2200-700	ounzation	Sortie	2200 to 700	Setting	(KIAS)
		Entry	B16_Ent	FW_B16_ent	F5_B16IN	35	100%	35	33	2	100%	1	5%	95% RPM	350
		Exit	B16_Ex	FW_B16_ex	F5_B16EG	35	100%	35	33	2	100%	1	5%	101% RPM	350
		Entry	B17_Ent	FW_B17_ent	F5_B17IN	195	100%	195	185	10	100%	1	5%	95% RPM	350
		Exit	B17_Ex	FW_B17_ex	F5_B17EG	195	100%	195	185	10	100%	1	5%	101% RPM	350
		Entry	B19_Ent	FW_B19_ent	F5_B19IN	37	100%	37	35	2	100%	1	5%	95% RPM	350
F-5N		Exit	B19_Ex	FW_B19_ex	F5_B19Ex	37	100%	37	35	2	100%	1	5%	101% RPM	350
		Entry	B20_Ent	FW_B20_entex	F5_B20EE	529	100%	1058	1005	53	100%	2	5%	95% RPM	350
		Entry	Commodore	Commodore	F5_EntS	1,624	100%	1624	1380	244	100%	1	15%	101% RPM	350
		Exit	BanditExitS	BanditExitS	F5_ExS	1798	100%	1798	1528	270	100%	1	15%	101% RPM	350
		Entry	Admiral	Admiral	F5_EntN	1,508	100%	1508	1282	226	100%	1	15%	101% RPM	350
		Exit	BanditExitN	BanditExitN	F5_ExN	1914	100%	1914	1627	287	100%	1	15%	101% RPM	350
		Entry	B16_Ent	FW_B16_ent	F16_B16Ent	38	100%	38	36	2	100%	1	5%	85% NC	300
		Exit	B16_Ex	FW_B16_ex	F16_B16Ex	38	100%	38	36	2	100%	1	5%	85% NC	300
		Entry	B17_Ent	FW_B17_ent	F16_B17Ent	117	100%	117	111	6	100%	1	5%	85% NC	300
		Exit	B17_Ex	FW_B17_ex	F16_B17Ex	117	100%	117	111	6	100%	1	5%	85% NC	300
		Entry	B19_Ent	FW_B19_ent	F16_B19IN	0	100%	0	0	0	100%	1	5%	85% NC	300
F-16C		Exit	B19_Ex	FW_B19_ex	F16_B19Ex	0	100%	0	0	0	100%	1	5%	85% NC	300
		Entry	B20_Ent	FW_B20_entex	F16_B20EE	284	100%	568	540	28	100%	2	5%	85% NC	300
		Entry	Commodore	Commodore	F16_EntS	1,160	100%	1160	986	174	100%	1	15%	85% NC	300
		Exit	BanditExitS	BanditExitS	F16_ExS	1282.7	100%	1283	1090	192	100%	1	15%	85% NC	300
		Entry	Admiral	Admiral	F16_EntN	1,827	100%	1827	1553	274	100%	1	15%	85% NC	300
		Exit	BanditExitN	BanditExitN	F16_ExN	2113.3	100%	2113	1796	317	100%	1	15%	85% NC	300
		Entry	B16_Ent	FW_B16_ent	EA18G_B16IN	30	100%	30	30	0	100%	1	0.0%	85% NC	350
		Exit	B16_Ex	FW_B16_ex	EA18G_B16EG	30	100%	30	30	0	100%	1	0.0%	84% NC	300
		Entry	B17_Ent	FW_B17_ent	EA18G_B17IN	209	100%	209	209	0	100%	1	0.0%	85% NC	350
		Exit	B17_Ex	FW_B17_ex	EA18G_B17EG	209	100%	209	209	0	100%	1	0.0%	84% NC	300
		Entry	B19_Ent	FW_B19_ent	EA18G_B19IN	177	100%	177	177	0	100%	1	0.0%	85% NC	350
FA 10C	A-18G	Exit	B19_Ex	FW_B19_ex	EA18G_B19EG	177	100%	177	177	0	100%	1	0.0%	84% NC	300
EA-18G		Entry	B20_Ent	FW_B20_entex	EA18G_B20EE	128	100%	256	256	0	100%	2	0.0%	85% NC	350
		Entry	LFE_Direct	LFE_Direct	EA18G_IN	139	100%	139	118	21	100%	1	15%	85% NC	350
		Entry	Commodore	Admiral	EA18G_INGN	865	100%	865	735	130	100%	1	15%	85% NC	350
	Entry	Admiral	Commodore	EA18G_INGS	1,103	100%	1103	938	165	100%	1	15%	85% NC	350	
	Exit	Commodore	Commodore	EA18G_EGS	1228	100%	1228	1044	184	100%	1	15%	84% NC	300	
		Exit	Admiral	Admiral	EA18G_EGN	879	100%	879	747	132	100%	1	15%	84% NC	300



Aircraft	Main SUA	Mission Type	Modeled Track	Modeled Track	Mission Profile	Annual So	rties within nit	Total Annual	Acoustic	Acoustic	%	# of Passes per	% Acoustic	Power	Airspeed
Andrare	Unit	inission type	modeled mack	ID	ID	Total	% Track	Passes	700-2200	2200-700	Utilization	Sortie	2200 to 700	Setting	(KIAS)
		Entry	LFE_Direct	LFE_Direct	P8_EE	32	100%	32	27	5	100%	1	15%	85% NC	350
		Entry	Commodore	Commodore	P8_EntS	7	100%	7	6	1	100%	1	15%	85% NC	350
P-8		Entry	Admiral	Admiral	P8_EntN	12	100%	12	10	2	100%	1	15%	85% NC	350
		Exit	Commodore	Commodore	P8_ExS	36	100%	36	31	5	100%	1	15%	84% NC	300
		Exit	Admiral	Admiral	P8_ExN	15	100%	15	13	2	100%	1	15%	84% NC	300
		Entry	LFE_Direct	LFE_Direct	E2_EE	94	100%	94	80	14	100%	1	15%	85% NC	350
		Entry	Commodore	Commodore	E2_EntS	347	100%	347	295	52	100%	1	15%	85% NC	350
E-2C		Entry	Admiral	Admiral	E2_EntN	354	100%	354	301	53	100%	1	15%	85% NC	350
		Exit	Commodore	Commodore	E2_ExS	432	100%	432	367	65	100%	1	15%	84% NC	300
		Exit	Admiral	Admiral	E2_ExN	363	100%	363	309	54	100%	1	15%	84% NC	300
		Entry/Exit	H_B16	H_B16	H60_16InOut	130	100%	260	234	26	100%	2	10%		110
		Entry/Exit	H_B17	H_B17	H60_17InOut	264	100%	528	475	53	100%	2	10%		110
		Entry/Exit	H_B19	H_B19	H60_19InOut	123	100%	246	221	25	100%	2	10%		110
		Entry/Exit	H_B20	H_B20	H60_20InOut	89	100%	178	160	18	100%	2	10%		110
		Entry/Exit	H60_MtnNa	H_DV_n	H60_MtnNa	707	100%	797	717	80	50%	2	10%		110
H-60		Entry/Exit	H60_MtnNb	H_DV_s	H60_MtnNb	/9/	100%	797	717	80	50%	2	10%		110
		Entry/Exit	H60_MtnS	H_SLZ	H60_MtnS	400	100%	800	720	80	100%	2	10%		110
B-	B-16	Air Gunnery	B16-HAG	B16_HAG	H60_16AG	130	21%	273	246	27	100%	10	10%		110
	B-17	Air Gunnery	B17-HAG	B17_HAG	H60_17AG	264	17%	449	404	45	100%	10	10%		110
	B-19	Air Gunnery	B19-HAG	B19-HAG	H60_19AG	123	75%	923	830	92	100%	10	10%		110
	B-20	Air Gunnery	B20-HAG	B20-HAG	H60_20AG	89	3%	27	24	3	100%	10	10%		110

Noise Study for Military Readiness Activities at the FRTC



Final Report – November 2018

#### 1 Table 3-12. Proposed Action Alternative 1 Aircraft Sorties Tracked Operations and Mission Types

	Main SUA	Modeled Track ID	Mission Profile ID	Annual Sorties within		Total	Acoustic	Acoustic	%	# of	% Acoustic	Power	Airspeed
Aircraft	Unit	Woueleu Hackib	Wission Fiome ib	U	nit	Annual	Day Passes	Night Passes	Utilization	Passes per	Night	Setting	(KIAS)
	0			Total	% Track	Passes	700-2200	2200-700	••••••	Sortie	2200 to 700	octang	(
		FW_B16_ent	F18E_B16IN	636	100%	636	618	18	100%	1	2.9%	85% NC	350
		FW_B16_ex	F18E_B16EG	636	100%	636	618	18	100%	1	2.9%	84% NC	300
		FW_B17_ent	F18E_B17IN	1,710	100%	1710	1671	39	100%	1	2.3%	85% NC	350
		FW_B17_ex	F18E_B17EG	1,710	100%	1710	1671	39	100%	1	2.3%	84% NC	300
		FW_B19_ent	F18E_B19IN	3,194	100%	3194	3034	160	100%	1	5.0%	85% NC	350
		FW_B19_ex	F18E_B19EG	3,194	100%	3194	3034	160	100%	1	5.0%	84% NC	300
		FW_B20_entex	F18E_B20EE	745	100%	1490	1462	28	100%	2	1.9%	85% NC	350
		LFE_Direct	F18E_IN	1,118	100%	1118	950	168	100%	1	15%	85% NC	350
		Admiral	F18E_INGN	9,209	100%	9209	7828	1381	100%	1	15%	85% NC	350
		Commodore	F18E_INGS	9,344	100%	9344	7942	1402	100%	1	15%	85% NC	350
		Commodore	F18E_EGS	10215	100%	10215	8683	1532	100%	1	15%	84% NC	300
F/A-18F/F		Admiral	F18E_EGN	9456	100%	9456	8038	1418	100%	1	15%	84% NC	300
1// 102/1		B16_HD	F18E_16HD			733	696	37	48%	6	5%	96% NC	450
	B-16	B16_LD	F18E_16LD	636	40%	458	435	23	30%	6	5%	96% NC	450
		B16_Strf	F18E_16STRA			560	532	28	22%	10	5%	96% NC	450
		B17-STRAa	F18E_17STRA			710	674	35	33.2%	10	5%	96% NC	450
		B17-StrfN1	F18E_17StrfN1			357	339	18	16.7%	10	5%	96% NC	450
	B-17	B17-StrfN1a	F18E_17StrfN1a	1,710	12.5%	357	339	18	16.7%	10	5%	96% NC	450
		B17-StrfN2	F18E_17StrfN2			357	339	18	16.7%	10	5%	96% NC	450
		B17-StrfN2a	F18E_17StrfN2a			357	339	18	16.7%	10	5%	96% NC	450
	P 10	B19-Strafe	F18E_19Strf1	2 10/	20%	2875	2731	144	50%	9	5%	96% NC	450
	B-19	B19-Strafe_2	F18E_19Strf2	3,194	2078	2875	2731	144	50%	9	5%	96% NC	450
	D 20			745	12 50/	0	0	0	0%	9	5%	84.5% NC	400
	B-20	B20-SSTRAa	F18E_20STRA	745	12.5%	838	796	42	100%	9	5%	84.5% NC	400
		FW_B16_ent	F18C_B16IN	112	100%	112	109	3	100%	1	2.9%	85% NC	350
		FW_B16_ex	F18C_B16EG	112	100%	112	109	3	100%	1	2.9%	84% NC	300
		FW_B17_ent	F18C_B17IN	302	100%	302	295	7	100%	1	2.3%	85% NC	350
		FW_B17_ex	F18C_B17EG	302	100%	302	295	7	100%	1	2.3%	84% NC	300
		FW_B19_ent	F18C_B19IN	564	100%	564	536	28	100%	1	5.0%	85% NC	350
		FW_B19_ex	F18C_B19EG	564	100%	564	536	28	100%	1	5.0%	84% NC	300
		FW_B20_entex	F18C_B20EE	132	100%	264	259	5	100%	2	1.9%	85% NC	350
		LFE_Direct	F18C_IN	197	100%	197	167	30	100%	1	15%	85% NC	350
		Admiral	F18C_INGN	1,625	100%	1625	1381	244	100%	1	15%	85% NC	350
		Commodore	F18C_INGS	1,649	100%	1649	1402	247	100%	1	15%	85% NC	350
		Commodore	F18C_EGS	1802	100%	1802	1532	270	100%	1	15%	84% NC	300
- /		Admiral	F18C_EGN	1669	100%	1669	1419	250	100%	1	15%	84% NC	300
F/A-18C/D		B16_HD	F18C_16HD			118	112	6	44%	6	5%	94%-96% NC	300-480
	B-16	B16_LD	F18C_16LD	112	40%	75	72	4	28%	6	5%	94%-96% NC	350-480
		B16 Strf	F18C 16STRA			90	85	4	20%	10	5%	96.5% NC	450
		B17-STRAa	F18C 17STRA			125	119	6	33.2%	10	5%	96.5% NC	450
		B17-StrfN1	F18C 17StrfN1			63	60	3	16.7%	10	5%	96.5% NC	450
	B-17	B17-StrfN1a	F18C 17StrfN1a	302	12.5%	63	60	3	16.7%	10	5%	96.5% NC	450
		B17-StrfN2	F18C 17StrfN2			63	60	3	16.7%	10	5%	96.5% NC	450
		B17-StrfN2a	F18C 17StrfN2a			63	60	3	16.7%	10	5%	96.5% NC	450
		B19-Strafe	F18C 19Strf1			508	482	25	50%	9	5%	92% NC	500
	B-19	B19-Strafe 2	F18C 19Strf?		20%	508	482	25	50%	9	5%	96.5% NC	450
		DIJ-Strate_Z	1100_100012			0		0	0%	0	5%	02% NC	500
	B-20			132	12.5%	140	141	7	100%	9	370 E0/	92% NC	300
		BZD-221KAG	FISC_ZUSTKA			149	141	/	100%	Э	5%	30.5%INC	450



Main St			Mission Profile ID	Annual Sorties within		Total	Acoustic	Acoustic	0/	# of	% Acoustic	Power	Airspood
Aircraft	Unit	WOULEEU MACK ID	WISSION Prome ID	U	nit	Annual	Day Passes	Night Passes	/0	Passes per	Night	Sotting	(KIAS)
	Unit			Total	% Track	Passes	700-2200	2200-700	otilization	Sortie	2200 to 700	Setting	(KIAS)
		FW_B16_ent	F35C_B16IN	25	100%	25	24	1	100%	1	2.9%	35% ETR	350
		FW_B16_ex	F35C_B16EG	25	100%	25	24	1	100%	1	2.9%	35% ETR	350
		FW_B17_ent	F35C_B17IN	100	100%	100	99	1	100%	1	0.9%	35% ETR	350
		FW_B17_ex	F35C_B17EG	100	100%	100	99	1	100%	1	0.9%	35% ETR	350
		FW_B19_ent	F35C_B19IN	25	100%	25	24	1	100%	1	5.0%	35% ETR	350
		FW_B19_ex	F35C_B19EG	25	100%	25	24	1	100%	1	5.0%	35% ETR	350
		FW_B20_entex	F35C_B20EE	100	100%	200	199	1	100%	2	0.6%	35% ETR	350
		LFE_Direct	F35C_IN	449	100%	449	427	22	100%	1	5%	35% ETR	350
		Admiral	F35C_INGN	567	100%	567	539	28	100%	1	5%	35% ETR	350
		Commodore	F35C_INGS	573	100%	573	544	29	100%	1	5%	35% ETR	350
		Commodore	F35C_EGS	971	100%	971	922	49	100%	1	5%	35% ETR	350
5 250 /0		Admiral	F35C_EGN	618	100%	618	587	31	100%	1	5%	35% ETR	350
F-35B/C		B16_HD	F35C_16HD			26	25	1	44%	6	5%	90% ETR	450
	B-16	B16_LD	F35C_16LD	25	40%	17	16	1	28%	6	5%	90% ETR	450
	B-16 B-17 B-19	B16_Strf	F35C_16STRA			20	19	1	20%	10	5%	90% ETR	450
		B17-STRAa	F35C 17STRA			42	39	2	33.2%	10	5%	37%         135% ETR         350           9%         35% ETR         350           0%         35% ETR         350           3%         90% ETR         450           3%         90% ETR         450	450
		B17-StrfN1	F35C 17StrfN1			21	20	1	16.7%	10	5%	90% ETR	450
	B-17	B17-StrfN1a	F35C 17StrfN1a	100	12.5%	21	20	1	16.7%	10	5%	90% ETR	450
		B17-StrfN2	F35C 17StrfN2			21	20	1	16.7%	10	5%	90% ETR	450
		B17-StrfN2a	F35C 17StrfN2a			21	20	1	16.7%	10	5%	90% ETR	450
		B19-Strafe				23	21	1	1         16.7%         10         5%         90% ETR           1         50%         9         5%         90% ETR           1         50%         9         5%         90% ETR           0         0%         9         5%         90% ETR           6         100%         9         5%         90% ETR	450			
	B-19	B19-Strafe 2		25	20%	23	21	1	50%	9	5%	%         90% ETR         450           %         95% RPM         350      %         95% RPM         350      %         95% RP	
		-	_			0	0	0	0%	9	5%	20% ETR         430           3%         90% ETR         450           5%         95% RPM         350           5%         101% RPM         350	
	B-20	B20-SSTRAa	F35C 20STRA	100	12.5%	113	107	6	100%	9	5%	90% ETR	450
		FW B16 ent	F5 B16IN	35	100%	35	33	2	100%	1	5%	95% RPM	350
		FW B16 ex	F5 B16EG	35	100%	35	33	2	100%	1	5%	101% RPM	350
		FW B17 ent	F5 B17IN	195	100%	195	185	10	100%	1	5%	95% RPM	350
		FW B17 ex	F5_B17EG	195	100%	195	185	10	100%	1	5%	101% RPM	350
		FW B19 ent	F5 B19IN	37	100%	37	35	2	100%	1	5%	95% RPM	350
F-5N		FW B19 ex	F5 B19EG	37	100%	37	35	2	100%	1	5%	101% RPM	350
		FW B20 entex	F5_B20FF	529	100%	1058	1005	53	100%	2	5%	95% RPM	350
		Commodore	F5 EntS	1 879	100%	1879	1597	282	100%	1	15%	101% RPM	350
		BanditExitS	E5 ExS	2053.2	100%	2053	1745	308	100%	1	15%	101% RPM	350
		Admiral	F5 EntN	1.253	100%	1253	1065	188	100%	1	15%	101% RPM	350
		BanditExitN	E5 ExN	1658.8	100%	1659	1410	249	100%	1	15%	101% RPM	350
		FW B16 ent	F16 B16Ent	38	100%	38	36	2	100%	1	5%	85% NC	300
		FW B16 ex	F16 B16Ex	38	100%	38	36	2	100%	1	5%	85% NC	300
		FW B17 ent	F16 B17Ent	117	100%	117	111	6	100%	1	5%	85% NC	300
		FW B17 ex	F16 B17Ex	117	100%	117	111	6	100%	1	5%	85% NC	300
		FW B19 ent	F16 B19IN	0	100%	0	0	0	100%	1	5%	85% NC	300
F-16C		FW B19 ex	F16 B19Ex	0	100%	0	0	0	100%	1	5%	85% NC	300
. 100		FW B20 entex	F16 B20FF	284	100%	568	540	28	100%	2	5%	85% NC	300
		Commodore	F16 EntS	1.792	100%	1792	1523	269	100%	1	15%	85% NC	300
		BanditExitS	F16 FxS	1914 9	100%	1915	1628	287	100%	1	15%	85% NC	300
		Admiral	F16 EntN	1.195	100%	1195	1016	179	100%	1	15%	85% NC	300
		BanditExitN	F16 ExN	1481.1	100%	1481	1259	222	100%	1	15%	85% NC	300



			Mission Drofile ID	Annual So	rties within	Total	Acoustic	Acoustic	0/	# of	% Acoustic	Bowor	Aircroad
Aircraft	IVIAIII SUA		wission Profile ID	U	nit	Annual	Day Passes	Night Passes	/0	Passes per	Night	Fower	Allspeed (KIAS)
	Unit			Total	% Track	Passes	700-2200	2200-700	Utilization	Sortie	2200 to 700	Setting	(KIAS)
		FW_B16_ent	EA18G_B16IN	30	100%	30	30	0	100%	1	0.0%	85% NC	350
		FW_B16_ex	EA18G_B16EG	30	100%	30	30	0	100%	1	0.0%	84% NC	300
		FW_B17_ent	EA18G_B17IN	209	100%	209	209	0	100%	1	0.0%	85% NC	350
		FW_B17_ex	EA18G_B17EG	209	100%	209	209	0	100%	1	0.0%	84% NC	300
		FW_B19_ent	EA18G_B19IN	177	100%	177	177	0	100%	1	0.0%	85% NC	350
EA 19C		FW_B19_ex	EA18G_B19EG	177	100%	177	177	0	100%	1	0.0%	84% NC	300
EA-16G		FW_B20_entex	EA18G_B20EE	128	100%	256	256	0	100%	2	0.0%	85% NC	350
		LFE_Direct	EA18G_IN	139	100%	139	118	21	100%	1	15%	85% NC	350
		Admiral	EA18G_INGN	1,103	100%	1103	938	165	100%	1	15%	85% NC	350
		Commodore	EA18G_INGS	865	100%	865	735	130	100%	1	15%	85% NC	350
		Commodore	EA18G_EGS	1228	100%	1228	1044	184	100%	1	15%	84% NC	300
		Admiral	EA18G_EGN	879	100%	879	747	132	100%	1	15%	84% NC	300
		LFE_Direct	P8_EE	32	100%	32	27	5	100%	1	15%	85% NC	350
		Commodore	P8_EntS	7	100%	7	6	1	100%	1	15%	85% NC	350
P-8		Admiral	P8_EntN	12	100%	12	10	2	100%	1	15%	85% NC	350
		Commodore	P8_ExS	36	100%	36	31	5	100%	1	15%	84% NC	300
		Admiral	P8_ExN	15	100%	15	13	2	100%	1	15%	84% NC	300
		LFE_Direct	E2_EE	94	100%	94	80	14	100%	1	15%	85% NC	350
		Commodore	E2_EntS	347	100%	347	295	52	100%	1	15%	85% NC	350
E-2C		Admiral	E2_EntN	354	100%	354	301	53	100%	1	15%	85% NC	350
		Commodore	E2_ExS	432	100%	432	367	65	100%	1	15%	84% NC	300
		Admiral	E2_ExN	363	100%	363	309	54	100%	1	15%	84% NC	300
		H_B16	H60_16InOut	130	100%	260	234	26	100%	2	10%		110
		H_B17	H60_17InOut	264	100%	528	475	53	100%	2	10%		110
		H_B19	H60_19InOut	123	100%	246	221	25	100%	2	10%		110
		H_B20	H60_20InOut	89	100%	178	160	18	100%	2	10%		110
		H_DV_n	H60_MtnNa	707	100%	797	717	80	50%	2	10%		110
11.60		H_DV_s	H60_MtnNb	151	10076	797	717	80	50%	2	10%		110
п-оо		H_SLZ	H60_MtnS	400	100%	800	720	80	100%	2	10%		110
	B-16	B16_HAG	H60_16AG	130	21%	273	246	27	100%	10	10%		110
	D 17	B17_HAG	H60_17AG	264	170/	224	202	22	50%	10	10%		110
	D-11	B17_HAGN	H60_17AGN	204	1/70	224	202	22	50%	10	10%		110
	B-19	B19-HAG	H60_19AG	123	75%	923	830	92	100%	10	10%		110
	B-20	B20-HAG	H60_20AG	89	3%	27	24	3	100%	10	10%		110

Noise Study for Military Readiness Activities at the FRTC



Final Report – November 2018

#### 1 Table 3-13. Proposed Action Alternative 3 Aircraft Sorties Tracked Operations and Mission Types for B-17 only

	Main SUA	Modeled Track	<b>Mission Profile</b>	Annual Sor	rties within	Total	Acoustic	Acoustic	%	# of	% Acoustic	Power	Airspeed
Aircraft	Unit	ID	ID	U	nit	Annual	Day Passes	Night Passes	Utilization	Passes per	Night	Satting	(KIAS)
	Onit			Total	% Track	Passes	700-2200	2200-700	Otilization	Sortie	2200 to 700	Setting	(KIAS)
		B17-STRAa	F18E_17STRA			534	508	27	25%	10	5%	96% NC	450
		B17-StrfN1	F18E_17StrfN1			267	254	13	12.5%	10	5%	96% NC	450
		B17-StrfN1a	F18E_17StrfN1a			267	254	13	12.5%	10	5%	96% NC	450
F/A-18E/F	B-17	B17-StrfN2	F18E_17StrfN2	1,710	12.5%	267	254	13	12.5%	10	5%	96% NC	450
		B17-StrfN2a	F18E_17StrfN2a			267	254	13	12.5%	10	5%	96% NC	450
		B17-StrfN3	F18E_17StrfN3			267	254	13	12.5%	10	5%	96% NC	450
		B17-StrfN3a	F18E_17StrfN3a			267	254	13	12.5%	10	5%	96% NC	450
		B17-STRAa	F18C_17STRA			94	90	5	25%	10	5%	96.5% NC	450
		B17-StrfN1	F18C_17StrfN1			47	45	2	12.5%	10	5%	96.5% NC	450
		B17-StrfN1a	F18C_17StrfN1a		12.5%	47	45	2	12.5%	10	5%	96.5% NC	450
F/A-18C/D	B-17	B17-StrfN2	F18C_17StrfN2	302		47	45	2	12.5%	10	5%	96.5% NC	450
		B17-StrfN2a	F18C_17StrfN2a			47	45	2	12.5%	10	5%	96.5% NC	450
		B17-StrfN3	F18C_17StrfN3			47	45	2	12.5%	10	5%	96.5% NC	450
		B17-StrfN3a	F18C_17StrfN3a			47	45	2	12.5%	10	5%	96.5% NC	450
		B17-STRAa	F35C_17STRA			31	30	2	25%	10	5%	90% ETR	450
		B17-StrfN1	F35C_17StrfN1			16	15	1	12.5%	10	5%	90% ETR	450
		B17-StrfN1a	F35C_17StrfN1a			16	15	1	12.5%	10	5%	90% ETR	450
F-35B/C	B-17	B17-StrfN2	F35C_17StrfN2	100	12.5%	16	15	1	12.5%	10	5%	90% ETR	450
		B17-StrfN2a	F35C_17StrfN2a			16	15	1	12.5%	10	5%	90% ETR	450
		B17-StrfN3	F35C_17StrfN3			16	15	1	12.5%	10	5%	90% ETR	450
		B17-StrfN3a	F35C_17StrfN3a			16	15	1	12.5%	10	5%	90% ETR	450

2 3



### 1 3.9 Subsonic Aircraft Noise Results

Aircraft noise is represented by Annual Average L<sub>dnmr</sub> noise contours. These contours were developed from MR\_NMap, as described in Section 2. The resulting L<sub>dnmr</sub> contours for FRTC are drawn from 50 to 85 dBA where applicable. Tactical missions consist of (1) a combination of repeated, tracked flight patterns and (2) areas of flying in an unrepeated fashion where flight operations are modeled as occurring throughout the applicable boundaries of the restricted airspace. As a result, the modeled noise contours will align with the perimeters of the modeled restricted airspace (often with an offset due to aircraft flight preferences away from the airspace boundary).

9 For the FRTC, the noise is concentrated over B-16, B-17, and B-20 for Baseline versus Alternative 1 10 scenarios (Figure 3-23) and for Baseline versus Alternative 3 (Figure 3-24). The expansions in the contours 11 for Alternatives 1 and 3 scenarios are due to the addition of F-35B/C area operations and additional area 12 extents of training operations in the expanded MOAs and Ranges. The reductions in the contours for 13 Alternatives 1 and 3 scenarios are due to higher altitude ceilings for many of the airspace units. Another 14 way to look at the changes in the subsonic aircraft noise is provided in Figure 3-25 and Figure 3-26, which 15 show the changes in modeled noise levels from baseline to the proposed actions Alternative 1 and 3, 16 respectively. Overall, the increase is around 1.5 dB, but there are larger increases where the ranges and 17 airspaces are proposed to be expanded. 18

No noise exposure is shown under the Reno MOA/ATCAA, because even with an increase in sorties, the still relatively few operations result in L<sub>dnmr</sub> levels below 50 dBA for both the Baseline and Alternatives 1 and 3 scenarios.

21 The noise contours of 50 dBA Ldnmr in the eastern part of FRTC align with the perimeter of Fallon N4 and 22 Fallon S3 under Alternative 1 and 3, but Fallon N4 and Fallon S3 are under 50 dB for the Baseline. The 50 23 dBA DNL contour in both the Baseline and Alternatives 1 and 3 in the west portion of the FRTC includes a 24 circular area within B-19. Correspondingly, the operations are modeled as spread equally and thus, the 25 resultant noise occupies the space in which the flight activity occurs. Noise contours of 60 dBA are similar 26 between Baseline and Alternative 1 except for the expansion of B-17. Noise contours from 65 dBA to 80 27 dBA in the Baseline and Alternatives 1 and 3 are from a tracked bombing patterns in B-16, a strafing route 28 in B-17, and an oval air-to-ground area in B-20. In addition, specific helicopter Landing Zones (LZs) 29 concentrate noise in discrete locations within FRTC. For locations centered on these LZs, the noise levels 30 are predicted to reach at least 65 dBA DNL for the Baseline and Alternatives 1 and 3.

For the No Action Alternative, the noise contours are aligned with the Baseline for the primary airspace units as shown in Figure 3-27. However, the noise associated with air-to-ground training at the Bravo training areas are removed. Figure 3-28 provides the change in the noise levels between the Baseline and No Action Alternative, which shows an overall reduction in the noise levels because of the removal of air-to-ground training operations.





Figure 3-23. FRTC Baseline and Proposed Action Alternative 1 Results for Subsonic Aircraft Noise (Ldnmr)





Figure 3-24. FRTC Baseline and Proposed Action Alternative 3 Results for Subsonic Aircraft Noise (Ldnmr)




Figure 3-25. Change in L<sub>dnmr</sub> for FRTC Baseline and Proposed Action Alternative 1 Results for Subsonic Aircraft Noise





Figure 3-26. Change in L<sub>dnmr</sub> for FRTC Baseline and Proposed Action Alternative 3 Results for Subsonic Aircraft Noise





1 2 Figure 3-27. FRTC No Action Results for Subsonic Aircraft Noise (L<sub>dnmr</sub>)





1 2 Figure 3-28. Change in L<sub>dnmr</sub> for FRTC Baseline and No Action Alternative Results for Subsonic Aircraft Noise



# 3.10 Supplemental Subsonic Aircraft Noise Analysis for Selected Points of Interest

- To supplement the standard subsonic aircraft noise analysis, specific noise levels are provided at select points of interest in and around the FRTC (as shown in Figure 3-29 and listed in Table 3-14). Table 3-15 provides the changes in the calculated L<sub>dnmr</sub> values for the Baseline and Proposed Alternatives 1 and 3, along with the change in L<sub>dnmr</sub> values for the Alternatives compared to the Baseline values. The largest increase occurs at Red Mountain (P11), which is calculated to receive an increase of over 16 dBA because of the westerly expansion of training operations at B-16. For the No Action Alternative, most L<sub>dnmr</sub> values are the same as the Baseline except for locations P10 and P15 where the No Action Alternative results in
- 10  $$L_{dnmr}$$  values of less than 35 dBA.

3

4

5

6

7

8

- 11 In addition to  $L_{dnmr}$  values at the points of interest, the maximum calculated SEL<sub>R</sub> values for the F/A-18E/F,
- 12 F-35B/C, and HH-60 are provided in Table 3-16 at each location for the scenarios. Most of the values
- 13 remain similar across the scenarios. However, an increase in F/A-18E/F SEL<sub>R</sub> values is seen at Fairview
- 14 Slate (P14) because of the bombing track shift in the proposed expanded target areas for Alternative 1.



#### 1 Table 3-14. Selected Points of Interest Locations for FRTC

Point of Interest Number	Point of Interest Name	Latitude	Longitude
P01	School/Fallon	39.468437°	-118.780554°
P02	Lovelock / B-20	40.170688°	-118.469302°
P03	Eureka	39.507937°	-115.963915°
P04	Walker River Paiute Tribe	38.964808°	-118.675765°
P05	Middlegate	39.286745°	-118.032740°
P06	Gabbs	38.868731°	-117.924581°
P07	Yomba	38.992858°	-117.470551°
P08	Austin	39.493241°	-117.068927°
P09	Fallon National Wildlife Refuge / B-20	39.743624°	-118.586843°
P10	Fallon / B-16	39.401863°	-118.842552°
P11	Red Mountain / B-16	39.387597°	-118.975990°
P12a	Upland Scrub Community (Buffalo Hills)	39.253146°	-117.862601°
P12b	Upland Scrub Community (Silver Creek 4)	39.739822°	-117.136492°
P13	Stillwater National Wildlife Refuge	39.609669°	-118.453406°
P14	Potential Bighorn Sheep Lambing (Fairview Slate - Winter Lambing)	39.113711°	-118.207967°
P15	Fairview Peak	39.215341°	-118.158786°
P16	Potential Bighorn Sheep Lambing Area	39.590836°	-117.855985°
P17	Fallon Paiute Shoshone Tribe	39.481877°	-118.756933°
P18	Schurz / B-19	38.949806°	-118.810466°
P19	North DVTA	39.724335°	-118.060177°
P20	Crescent Valley	40.413965°	-116.582000°
P21	Reno MOA - Pyramid Lake	40.264719°	-119.699274°
P22	Gerlach	40.651781°	-119.357071°
P23	Kingston	39.209330°	-117.102070°
P24	Reese River	39.363080°	-117.384521°





1 2

Figure 3-29. Locations of Selected Points of Interests for FRTC

#### 1 Table 3-15. Modeled L<sub>dnmr</sub> Values at the Selected Points of Interest

-
$\mathbf{r}$
2

Point of Interest Number	Point of Interest Name	Baseline	No Action	Proposed Alt 1	Difference in L <sub>dnmr</sub> (Alt 1 - Baseline)	Proposed Alt 3	Difference in L <sub>dnmr</sub> (Alt 3 - Baseline)
P01	School/Fallon	42.5	40.3	44.0	1.5	44.0	1.5
P02	Lovelock / B-20	<35	<35	41.0	6+	41.0	6+
P03	Eureka	<35	<35	41.0	6+	41.0	6+
P04	Walker River Paiute Tribe	48.6	48.4	50.3	1.7	50.3	1.7
P05	Middlegate	56.8	56.7	58.5	1.7	58.5	1.7
P06	Gabbs	56.3	56.3	57.8	1.5	57.9	1.6
P07	Yomba	55.7	55.7	57.4	1.7	57.4	1.7
P08	Austin	54.0	53.9	54.9	0.9	54.9	0.9
P09	Fallon National Wildlife Refuge / B-20	52.2	52.1	53.7	1.5	53.7	1.5
P10	Fallon / B-16	38.5	<35	41.7	3.2	41.7	3.2
P11	Red Mountain / B-16	<35	<35	50.9	15.9+	50.9	15.9+
P12a	Upland Scrub Community (Buffalo Hills)	57.0	56.8	58.5	1.5	58.5	1.5
P12b	Upland Scrub Community (Silver Creek 4)	56.6	56.6	57.6	1.0	57.6	1.0
P13	Stillwater National Wildlife Refuge	62.8	62.8	66.7	3.9	66.7	3.9
P14	Potential Bighorn Sheep Lambing (Fairview Slate - Winter Lambing)	61.4	56.7	62.5	1.1	61.8	0.4
P15	Fairview Peak	59.0	56.7	59.5	0.5	59.2	0.2
P16	Potential Bighorn Sheep Lambing Area	51.8	51.7	53.3	1.5	53.3	1.5
P17	Fallon Paiute Shoshone Tribe	46.8	46.1	47.4	0.6	47.4	0.6
P18	Schurz / B-19	<35	<35	41.0	6+	41.0	6+
P19	North DVTA	58.4	58.2	59.6	1.2	59.6	1.2
P20	Crescent Valley	<35	<35	41.0	6+	41.0	6+
P21	Reno MOA - Pyramid Lake	<35	<35	<35	NA	<35	NA
P22	Gerlach	45.4	45.4	46.9	1.5	46.9	1.5
P23	Kingston	56.1	56.1	57.1	1.0	57.1	1.0
P24	Reese River	58.0	58.0	59.2	1.2	59.2	1.2





#### 1 Table 3-16. Modeled Maximum SEL<sub>R</sub> Values for the Selected Points of Interests

Point of Interest		Base	line	Prop	osed Alternat	ive 1	1 Proposed Alternative 3			
Number	Point of Interest Name	F/A-18E/F	HH-60	F/A-18E/F	F-35A	HH-60	F/A-18E/F	F-35A	HH-60	
P01	School/Fallon	81.0	<35	81.0	76.8	<35	81.0	76.8	<35	
P02	Lovelock / B-20	<35	<35	<35	<35	<35	<35	<35	<35	
P03	Eureka	<35	<35	<35	<35	<35	<35	<35	<35	
P04	Walker River Paiute Tribe	42.8	<35	46.5	41.9	<35	42.8	38.2	<35	
P05	Middlegate	71.7	<35	71.7	79.8	<35	71.7	79.8	<35	
P06	Gabbs	78.2	79.2	78.2	67.8	79.2	91.9	95.1	79.2	
P07	Yomba	51.3	<35	55.0	49.8	<35	51.3	46.1	<35	
P08	Austin	66.8	<35	66.8	80.4	<35	66.8	80.4	<35	
P09	Fallon National Wildlife Refuge / B-20	46.2	<35	49.9	44.7	<35	46.2	41.0	<35	
P10	Fallon / B-16	79.9	64.6	79.9	76.5	64.6	79.9	76.5	64.6	
P11	Red Mountain / B-16	<35	<35	49.5	42.5	<35	47.8	42.5	<35	
P12a	Upland Scrub Community (Buffalo Hills)	72.6	<35	72.6	81.2	<35	72.6	81.2	<35	
P12b	Upland Scrub Community (Silver Creek 4)	81.4	<35	81.4	76.2	<35	81.4	76.2	<35	
P13	Stillwater National Wildlife Refuge	50.6	<35	54.3	49.3	<35	50.6	45.7	<35	
P14	Potential Bighorn Sheep Lambing	99.5	<35	104.5	108.5	<35	104.5	108.5	<35	
	(Fairview Slate - Winter Lambing)			20.00	200.0		20.00			
P15	Fairview Peak	94.7	40.1	94.7	98.0	37.7	94.7	98.0	37.7	
P16	Potential Bighorn Sheep Lambing Area	79.8	<35	79.8	77.7	<35	79.8	77.7	<35	
P17	Fallon Paiute Shoshone Tribe	83.5	<35	83.5	73.2	<35	83.5	73.2	<35	
P18	Schurz / B-19	<35	<35	<35	<35	<35	<35	<35	<35	
P19	North DVTA	70.3	75.5	70.3	83.6	75.5	70.3	83.6	75.5	
P20	Crescent Valley	<35	<35	<35	<35	<35	<35	<35	<35	
P21	Reno MOA - Pyramid Lake	<35	N/A	<35	<35	N/A	<35	<35	N/A	
P22	Gerlach	42.2	N/A	42.2	<35	N/A	42.2	<35	N/A	
P23	Kingston	58.9	<35	58.9	76.9	<35	58.9	76.9	<35	
P24	Reese River	68.1	<35	68.1	76.2	<35	68.1	76.2	<35	



## 1 4 Supersonic Flight Operations

#### 2 4.1 Operational Parameters

3 In addition to the ranges discussed in the previous sections, the FRTC includes a Supersonic Operating 4 Area (SSOA and SSOB) to support high speed training activities and maneuvers in excess of Mach 1. The 5 SSOB is located across several areas (Fallon S1, Fallon N2, Fallon N3, and Fallon N4) and is shown as the 6 green stripe pattern area in Figure 1-1 with a minimum altitude of 11,000 ft MSL for supersonic flight. For 7 Alternatives 1 and 3, the SSOB is expanded to the east as shown in Figure 4-1. For the rest of FRTC, 8 supersonic flight can occur above 30,000 ft MSL, and this area is referred to as SSOA. For Alternative 1 9 and 3, the SSOA is expanded to the east and the south as show in Figure 4-1. Aircraft operations from 10 Table 3-8 and Table 3-9 in Section 3.6 that have supersonic potential were modeled using BooMap. Table 11 4-2 presents the modeled Proposed Action supersonic operations. The Baseline consists of the Proposed 12 Action minus the F-35B/C. The No Action Alternative is identical to the Baseline for supersonic operations 13 since all supersonic operations occur in the Commodore, NAWDC-1, or NAWDC-2 areas, and not the 14 ranges. The modeled supersonic operations in SSOA are scaled due to supersonic flight restrictions below 15 30,000 ft MSL. The scaling factor is -6.2 dB. Night operations are multiplied by 10 and added to daytime 16 operations to account for the 10-dB adjustment (for operations occurring between 2200 and 0700).

## 17 4.2 Sonic Boom Exposure Results

18 BooMap was used to calculate the L<sub>Cdn</sub> contours, for the Baseline and both Alternatives (i.e. the addition 19 of F-35B/C operations). For the Baseline, the  $L_{Cdn}$  does not exceed 60 dB, and for the Alternatives, the  $L_{Cdn}$ 20 does not exceed 61 dB. Thus, the 57 dB L<sub>cdn</sub> contour is slightly expanded and shifted eastward for the 21 Alternatives as shown in Figure 4-2. The No Action Alternative is identical to the Baseline for supersonic 22 flights. Table 4-1 provides the resulting CDNL values at the selected points of interest shown in Figure 23 3-29. The Fairview Slate location (P14) experiences the highest CDNL of 55 dBC for both Baseline and 24 proposed Alternatives (a slight decrease of 0.3 dBC is calculated, but this decrease would not be 25 noticeable to humans). Yomba (P07) would see the largest increase of about 4 dBC, but the overall level 26 would remain below a CDNL of 50 dBC.

27 In addition to the cumulative sonic boom exposure, representative individual supersonic trajectories were 28 analyzed to provide the resulting sonic booms from flights from ACT events. Figure 4-3 provides a sample 29 of supersonic trajectories from adversary aircraft engagements within FRTC. These trajectories extend 30 from the west to the east as adversary aircraft accelerate to supersonic speeds and engage. The end of 31 their supersonic dash involves a high-G decelerating turn. Figure 4-4 and Figure 4-5 provide the resulting 32 sonic boom footprints from these supersonic trajectories for baseline and proposed action scenarios, 33 respectively. These figures show the contours of the sonic boom overpressure as well as the isopemps, 34 which indicate where the sonic booms will intercept the ground. These samples demonstrate that even 35 though the supersonic trajectories will remain well within the supersonic airspace limits, their resulting 36 sonic booms will propagate outside of the boundaries and may be experienced outside of FRTC 37 boundaries. The sonic boom heard outside of the boundaries will generally be less than 2 psf, which is 38 below the potential structural damage threshold for sonic booms.



1

## Table 4-1. Cumulative Sonic Boom Exposure Results and Comparisons at the Selected Points of Interest for FRTC.

Point of Interest Number	Point of Interest Name	Baseline & No Action	Proposed Alt 1 & Alt 3	Difference
		dB	L <sub>Cdn</sub>	dB L <sub>Cdn</sub>
P01	School/Fallon	< 35	< 35	N/A
P02	Lovelock / B-20	< 35	< 35	N/A
P03	Eureka	< 35	< 35	N/A
P04	Walker River Paiute Tribe	41.4	39.8	-1.6
P05	Middlegate	50.0	49.8	-0.2
P06	Gabbs	46.7	49.2	2.5
P07	Yomba	44.9	48.7	3.8
P08	Austin	40.9	43.4	2.5
P09	Fallon National Wildlife Refuge / B-20	< 35	< 35	N/A
P10	Fallon / B-16	< 35	< 35	N/A
P11	Red Mountain / B-16	< 35	< 35	N/A
P12a	Upland Scrub Community (Buffalo Hills)	51.3	50.9	-0.4
P12b	Upland Scrub Community (Silver Creek 4)	48.4	53.6	5.2
P13	Stillwater National Wildlife Refuge	43.4	44.1	0.7
P14	Potential Bighorn Sheep Lambing (Fairview Slate - Winter Lambing)	50.1	49.2	-0.9
P15	Fairview Peak	49.6	49.0	-0.6
P16	Potential Bighorn Sheep Lambing Area	55.0	54.7	-0.3
P17	Fallon Paiute Shoshone Tribe	< 35	< 35	N/A
P18	Schurz / B-19	38.2	37.2	-1.0
P19	North DVTA	53.9	54.1	0.2
P20	Crescent Valley	42.7	45.1	2.4
P21	Reno MOA - Pyramid Lake	< 35	< 35	N/A
P22	Gerlach	< 35	< 35	N/A
P23	Kingston	45.9	46.5	0.6
P24	Reese River	46.9	46.1	-0.8





1 2 Figure 4-1. Baseline and Proposed Supersonic Areas for FRTC



#### 1 Table 4-2. Proposed Action BooMap Supersonic Aircraft Modeling at FRTC

Aircraft	Main SUA Unit	Mission Type	Modeled Area	Supersonic Potential	Annual Sorties	Day 0700-2200	Night 2200-0700	% Acoustic Night 2200 to 0700	% Sorties in Unit	Modeled Supersonic Operations
	Commodore	Air-to-Air	Fight (most of FRTC)	Yes	1118	950	168	15%	75%	239
		Air-to-Air	NAWDC-1a	Yes	9344	7942	1402	15%	75%	1996
F/A-18E/F	NAVIDC-1	AII-to-AII	NAWDC-1	Yes	9344	7942	1402	15%	25%	1996
		Airto Air	NAWDC-2a	Yes	9209	7828	1381	15%	75%	1967
	NAVDC-2	AII-to-AII	NAWDC-2	Yes	9209	7828	1381	15%	25%	1967
	Commodore	Air-to-Air	Fight (most of FRTC)	Yes	197	167	30	15%	100%	42
		Air-to-Air	NAWDC-1a	Yes	1649	1402	247	15%	75%	352
F/A-18C/D	NAVIDC-1	AII-to-AII	NAWDC-1	Yes	1649	1402	247	15%	25%	352
		Air to Air	NAWDC-2a	Yes	1625	1381	244	15%	75%	347
	NAVDC-2	AII-to-AII	NAWDC-2	Yes	1625	1381	244	15%	25%	347
F-5N	Commodore	Air-to-Air	Fight (most of FRTC)	Yes	580	493	87	15%	100%	124
F-16A	Commodore	Air-to-Air	Fight (most of FRTC)	Yes	409	348	61	15%	100%	87
			Fight (most of FRTC)	Yes	449	382	67	15%	75%	96
		Air to Air	NAWDC-1a	Yes	573	487	86	15%	75%	122
F-35C	NAVVDC-1	AII-10-AII	NAWDC-1	Yes	573	487	86	15%	25%	122
		Air to Air	NAWDC-2a	Yes	567	482	85	15%	75%	121
		AII-LO-AII	NAWDC-2	Yes	567	482	85	15%	25%	121





Figure 4-2. FRTC Baseline and Proposed Action Results for Supersonic Aircraft Noise (Lcdn)





2 Figure 4-3. Representative Supersonic Trajectories for ACT Adversary Engagement





1 2

Figure 4-4. Sonic Boom Footprints from Representative Supersonic Adversary Trajectories for Baseline





Figure 4-5. Sonic Boom Footprints from Representative Supersonic Adversary Trajectories for Proposed Action



## 1 **5 Air Gunnery Noise Modeling**

#### 2 5.1 Air Gunnery Operations

3 For air gunnery noise modeling calculations, additional parameters are required beyond the flight patterns 4 and mission types described in Sections 3.1 through 3.6. These additional parameters include the gunnery 5 type, target locations, firing distances and bounds, munition type, and firing data as well as the annual 6 ordnance expenditure and acoustical day/night activity. To account for the generally lower background 7 sound levels and greater community sensitivity to noise during nighttime hours, a 10-dB adjustment is 8 applied to acoustical nighttime events, defined as noise occurring between the hours of 2200 and 0700. 9 Not every possible aircraft, weapon, and event combination are represented because only the loudest 10 such combinations are relevant to the noise analysis. The AGNM was used for 0.50 caliber, 20 mm, 25 mm 11 munitions, as well as 2.75 rocket and Hellfire propulsion and sonic boom. The explosions of the HE bombs 12 and Hellfire munitions were modeled using BNoise and are presented in Section 5. For Proposed Action 13 Alternative 1, proposed new targets in B-16, B-17, and B-20 were modeled. Alternative 3 includes the 14 same proposed new targets at B-16 and B-20, but it includes a different set of new targets for B-17. For 15 the No Action Alternative, these training missions would not be conducted. The percent-utilizations of 16 acoustic day and night operations for air gunnery training by each airframe, along with the other FRTC air 17 gunnery parameters, are listed in Table 5-1 for Baseline. For Proposed Action Alternative 1, the complete 18 air gunnery operations for FRTC are provided in Table 5-2. For Proposed Action Alternative 3, only the 19 revised air gunnery operations for B-17 are listed in Table 5-3, since air gunnery operations in B-16, B-19, 20 and B-20 are the same as Alternative 1. The new target locations are highlighted in Table 5-2 and Table

21 5-3.



#### 1 Table 5-1. Air Gunnery Parameters at FRTC for Baseline Scenarios

			Gu	nnery	Туре	- Sta	rt & End	Fire at Target -	- Fi	ring Bound	ds -	-Muniti	on-	Events		# Passes		Rou	inds
Airframe	SUA	Scenario	Door Gun.	Fwrd Fire	Rocket/ Missile	Start Fire to Target	End Fire to Target	Target ID	Primary Firing Angle	R & L Bounds	Altitude	Туре	Rounds Fired Per Pass	After 2200	Per Sortie	Annual Day	Annual Night	Annual	Per Target
						ft	ft		° Mag N	±∆°	ft AGL		Rds	%	#	#	#	#	#
	B-16	Baseline	✓			3,000	500	B16-2, B16-4,	90	45	200	.50 cal	480	10%	10	123	14	65,520	32,760
	0 10	basenne		✓		6,562	984	B16-5	90	90	100-1500	20mm	60	10%	10	123	14	8,190	4,095
			~			3,000	500	B17-CAS	90	45	200	.50 cal	480	10%	10	202	22	107,712	53,856
	D 17	Pacalina		✓		6,562	984		90	180	100-1500	20mm	60	10%	10	202	22	13,464	6,732
	D-17	Dasenne			✓	6,684	4861	17-33	90	180	500-600	2.75" FFAR	1	10%	1	33	4	37	37
					✓	16,405	4861	17-33	345	20	10-1000	2.75"APKWS	1	10%	1	17	2	19	19
H-60					✓	16800	13200	B17_Hellfire	90	17	616	Hellfire	2	10%	2	32	4	70	70
					✓	19800	15000	B17_Hellfire	117.5	0.5	32	Hellfire	2	10%	2	16	2	35	35
	B-19	Δ1I	✓			3,000	500	B-19-4, B19-3,	90	45	200	.50 cal	480	10%	10	415	46	221,400	55,350
	0 15			✓		6,562	984	B19_Bull, B-19	90	180	100-1500	20mm	60	10%	10	415	46	27,675	6,919
			✓			3000	500	B20-25	215	45	200	.50 cal	480	10%	10	12	1	6,408	6,408
				✓		6562	984	B20-25	360	90	100-1500	20mm	1	10%	10	12	1	13	13
	B-20	Baseline			✓	6,684	4861	20-33	90	180	500-600	2.75" FFAR	1	10%	1	25	3	28	28
					√	16,405	4861	20-33	28	5	10-1000	2.75"APKWS	1	10%	1	13	1	14	14
					✓	18000	15000	B20_Hellfire	212	4	335	Hellfire	2	10%	1	2	0	5	5
	B-16	All		✓		6562	984	B16-4, B16-5	360	10	100-1500	20mm	90	5%	10	617	32	58,435	29,218
				✓		6562	984	multiple	270	90	100-1500	20mm	90	5%	10	1,374	72	130,163	65,082
					✓	5468	1823	17-21, 17-23, 17-	270	180	1400-2000	2.75" FFAR	1	10%	1	50	6	56	14
	B-17	Baseline			~	9114	3038	17-33	350	20	500-2000	2.75" APKWS	1	10%	1	25	3	28	28
					~	13367	4253	17-21, 17-23, 17- 24, 17-33	270	180	1400-2000	5" Zuni	1	10%	1	4	1	5	5
E/A 19				✓		6562	984	strafe	75	5	100-1500	20mm	90	5%	10	3,213	169	304,398	304,398
F/A-10	B-10	A11		✓		6562	984	Bull	80	10	100-1500	20mm	90	10%	10	3,213	169	304,398	304,398
	D-15				✓	5468	1823	19-3, 19-4	270	180	1400-2000	2.75" FFAR	1	10%	1	18	2	20	10
					✓	13367	4253	19-3, 19-4	270	180	500-1500	5" Zuni	1	10%	1	9	1	10	5
				✓		3000	500	20-25	180	45	1000	20mm	90	5%	10	937	49	88,796	88,796
	B-20	Baseline			✓	5468	1823	20-6, 20-33	270	180	1400-2000	2.75" FFAR	1	10%	1	25	3	28	14
	5-20	Daselille			✓	9114	3038	20-33	28	13	500-2000	2.75" APKWS	1	10%	1	13	1	14	14
					✓	13367	4253	20-6	270	180	1400-2000	5" Zuni	1	10%	1	2	-	2	2



#### 1 Table 5-2. Air Gunnery Parameters at FRTC for Proposed Alternative 1

			Gu	innery	Туре	- Stai	rt & End	Fire at Target -	- Fir	ing Bound	ds -	-Muniti	on-	Events		# Passes		Rou	inds
Airframe	SUA	Scenario	Door Gun.	Fwrd Fire	Rocket/ Missile	Start Fire to Target	End Fire to Target	Target ID	Primary Firing Angle	R & L Bounds	Altitude	Туре	Rounds Fired Per Pass	After 2200	Per Sortie	Annual Day	Annual Night	Annual	Per Target
						ft	ft		° Mag N	±Δ°	ft AGL		Rds	%	#	#	#	#	#
	D 16	AI+ 1 9. 2	~			3,000	500		90	180	200	.50 cal	480	10%	10	123	14	65,760	65,760
	D-10	AILIQS		$\checkmark$		6,562	984	BIO-CAS	90	180	100-1500	20mm	60	10%	10	123	14	8,220	8,220
			$\checkmark$			3,000	500		90	180	200	.50 cal	480	10%	10	101	11	53,760	17,920
				✓		6,562	984	TIET 1, TIET 2, TIIA	90	180	100-1500	20mm	60	10%	10	101	11	6,720	2,240
					✓	6,684	4861	HEI_2	90	180	500-600	2.75" FFAR	1	10%	1	34	4	38	38
	B-17	Alt 1			✓	16,405	4861	B17_3HF1	165	20	10-1000	2.75"APKWS	1	10%	1	25	3	28	28
					✓	16,405	4861	B17_3HF2	345	20	10-1000	2.75"APKWS	1	10%	1	4	1	5	5
					✓	26088	2430	Hellfire 1	270	90	616	Hellfire	2	10%	1	32	4	72	72
H-60					✓	26088	2430	Hellfire 2	270	180	616	Hellfire	2	10%	1	16	2	36	36
	B-19	ΔIJ	✓			3,000	500	B-19-4, B19-3,	90	45	200	.50 cal	480	10%	10	415	46	221,280	55,320
	0 10	7.11		✓		6,562	984	B19_Bull, B-19	90	180	100-1500	20mm	60	10%	10	415	46	27,660	6,915
			√			3,000	500	Helo Strafe 1,2,&3	300	180	200	.50 cal	60	10%	7	24	3	1,620	540
				✓		6,562	984	Helo Strafe 1,2,&3	300	180	100-1500	20mm	480	10%	3	24	3	12,960	4,320
	B-20	Alt 1 & 3			✓	6,684	4861	20-33	90	180	500-600	2.75" FFAR	1	10%	1	42	5	47	47
					<b>√</b>	16,405	4861	20-33	28	5	10-1000	2.75"APKWS	1	10%	1	22	2	24	24
					<b>√</b>	26,088	2430.4	HEI_4	300	90	616	Hellfire	2	10%	2	1	-	2	2
				,	~	26,088	2430.4	HEI_5	300	180	616	Hellfire	2	10%	2	1	-	2	2
	B-16	All		✓		6562	984	B16-4, B16-5	360	10	100-1500	20mm	90	5%	10	617	32	58,435	29,218
				~	,	6562	984	HEI-1, HEI-2, HIA	270	90	100-1500	20mm	90	5%	10	399	21	37,800	9,450
					<b>√</b>	5468	1823	HEI	270	180	1400-2000	2.75" FFAR	1	10%	1	50	6	56	56
	B-17	Alt 1			<b>√</b>	9114	3038	B17-3HF1	170	20	500-2000	2.75" APKWS	1	10%	1	13	1	14	14
					✓	9114	3038	B17-3HF2	350	20	500-2000	2.75" APKWS	1	10%	1	13	1	14	14
					~	13367	4253	HEI	270	180	1400-2000	5" Zuni	1	10%	1	4	1	5	5
F/A-18				✓		6562	984	strafe	90	15	100-1500	20mm	90	5%	9	3,213	169	304,398	304,398
	B-19	All		~		6562	984	strafe	270	15	100-1500	20mm	90	5%	9	3,213	169	304,398	304,398
					•	12267	1823	19-3, 19-4	270	180	1400-2000	2.75 FFAR	1	10%	1	18	Z	20	10
				./	v	2000	4255	19-5, 19-4	270	160	1000	3 Zulli	1	10%	1	4	1	200 700	2.5
				v		5000	1922	10 6 20 22	180	45	1400 2000		90	5% 10%	9	937	49	88,790	88,790
	B-20	Alt 1 & 3			•	0114	2020	20-0, 20-35	270	100	1400-2000 E00-2000	2.75 FFAR	1	10%	1	12	3	50	50
					•	9114	4252	20-55	20	190	1400 2000	2.75 APKW3	1	10%	1	15	1	14	14
	D 1C				v	15507	4255		270	10	1400-2000	25 zuili	1	10%	10	10	- 1	1 000	1 000
	B-10			•		6562	984		300	10	100-1500	25mm	90	5%	10	19	1	1,800	1,800
E 25C	D-1/	AILT		•		6562	004	strafo	270	15	100-1500	25000	90	5%	10	20	1	2,075	4/U 2.025
F-33C	B-19	Alt 1 & 3		•		6562	904	suare	90 270	15	100-1500	250000 25mm	90	5%	9	21	1	2,025	2,025
	P 20	Al+ 1 8 2		•		2000	504		190	15	100-1500	250000 25mm	90	5%	9	107	1	2,025	2,025
	B-20	AILLAS		v		3000	500	nci-1, HEI-2, HIA	190	45	1000	25[[][[]	90	5%	9	101	6	10,125	10,125



#### 1 Table 5-3. Air Gunnery Parameters at FRTC for Proposed Alternative 3

				Gunn	ery Typ	oe	- Sta	rt & End	Fire at Target -	- Firing			-Muniti	ion-	Events		# Passes	5	Rou	inds
Airframe	SUA	Scenario	Door Gun.	Fwrd Fire	Dive Fire	Rocket/ Missile	Start Fire to Target	End Fire to Target	Target ID	Primary Firing Angle	R & L Bounds	Altitude	Туре	Rounds Fired Per Pass	After 2200	Per Sortie	Annual Day	Annual Night	Annual	Per Target
							ft	ft		° TN	±∆°	ft AGL		Rds	%	#	#	#	#	#
	B-16	Δlt 1 & 3	✓				3,000	500	B16-CAS	90	180	200	.50 cal	480	10%	10	123	14	65,760	32,880
	0 10	AILIGS		✓			6,562	984	DIOCAS	90	180	100-1500	20mm	60	10%	10	123	14	8,220	4,110
			✓				3,000	500	HEI-1 HEI-2 HIA	90	180	200	.50 cal	480	10%	10	101	11	53,856	17,952
				✓			6,562	984	1121 1,1121 2,111,1	90	180	100-1500	20mm	60	10%	10	101	11	6,732	2,244
	B-17	Alt 3					6,684	4861	East & West HEI	90	180	500-600	2.75" FFAR	1	10%	1	34	4	38	19
	01/	7403					16,405	4861	East & West HEI	345	20	10-1000	2.75"APKWS	1	10%	1	9	1	10	5
						✓	26088	2430	Hellfire 1	270	90	616	Hellfire	2	10%	1	16	2	36	36
						√	26088	2430	Hellfire 2	270	180	616	Hellfire	2	10%	1	16	2	36	36
H-60	B-19	All	~				3,000	500	B-19-4, B19-3,	90	45	200	.50 cal	480	10%	10	415	46	221,280	55,320
	0 10			✓			6,562	984	B-19 Strafe	90	180	100-1500	20mm	60	10%	10	415	46	27,660	6,915
			~				3,000	500	Helo Strafe 1,2,&3	300	180	200	.50 cal	60	10%	7	24	3	1,620	540
				✓			6,562	984	Helo Strafe	300	180	100-1500	20mm	480	10%	3	24	3	12,960	4,320
	B-20	Alt 1 & 3				✓	6,684	4861	20-33	90	180	500-600	2.75" FFAR	1	10%	1	42	5	47	47
						✓	16,405	4861	20-33	28	5	10-1000	2.75"APKWS	1	10%	1	22	2	24	24
						✓	26,088	2430.4	HEI_4	300	90	616	Hellfire	2	10%	2	1	-	2	2
						✓	26,088	2430.4	HEI_5	300	180	616	Hellfire	2	10%	2	1	-	2	2
	B-16	All		✓			6562	984	B16-4, B16-5	360	10	100-1500	20mm	90	5%	10	617	32	58,435	58,435
							6562	984	HEI-1, HEI-2, HIA, MVT	270	90	100-1500	20mm	90	5%	10	299	16	28,294	7,074
	B-17	Alt 3					5468	1823	E&W HEI	270	180	1400-2000	2.75" FFAR	1	10%	1	50	6	56	28
							9114	3038	E&W HEI	350	20	500-2000	2.75" APKWS	1	10%	1	25	3	28	14
							13367	4253	E&W HEI	270	180	1400-2000	5" Zuni	1	10%	1	3	-	3	2
E/A 19				~			6562	984	strafe	90	15	100-1500	20mm	90	5%	10	3,213	169	304,398	304,398
1/A-10	P 10	A11		$\checkmark$			6562	984	strafe	270	15	100-1500	20mm	90	5%	10	3,213	169	304,398	304,398
	D-13	All				✓	5468	1823	19-3, 19-4	270	180	1400-2000	2.75" FFAR	1	10%	1	18	2	20	10
						✓	13367	4253	19-3, 19-4	270	180	500-1500	5" Zuni	1	10%	1	4	1	5	2.5
				✓			3000	500	HEI-1, HEI-2, HIA	180	45	1000	20mm	90	5%	9	937	49	88,796	88,796
	D 20	AH-1 0 0				✓	5468	1823	20-6, 20-33	270	180	1400-2000	2.75" FFAR	1	10%	1	27	3	30	30
	B-20	Alt 1 & 3				✓	9114	3038	20-33	28	13	500-2000	2.75" APKWS	1	10%	1	13	1	14	14
						✓	13367	4253	20-6	270	180	1400-2000	5" Zuni	1	10%	1	1	-	1	1
	B-16	Alt 1 & 3		~			6562	984	B16-4, B16-5	360	10	100-1500	25mm	90	5%	10	19	1	1,800	1,800
5 950	B-17	Alt 3		~			6562	984	HEI-1, HEI-2, HIA, MVT	270	90	100-1500	25mm	90	5%	10	15	1	1,406	234
F-35C	D 40			✓			6562	984	strafe	90	15	100-1500	25mm	90	5%	9	21	1	2,025	2,025
	B-19	Alt 1 & 3					6562	984	strafe	270	15	100-1500	25mm	90	5%	9	21	1	2,025	2,025
	B-20	Alt 1 & 3		✓			3000	500	HEI-1, HEI-2, HIA	180	45	1000	25mm	90	5%	9	107	6	10,125	10,125



## 1 5.2 Air Gunnery Noise Results

- 2 AGNM was used to compute the noise levels generated by the air gunnery operations. Figure 5-1 through
- 3 Figure 5-9 provide the CDNL and  $L_{Pk}$  noise levels from air gunnery operations at the four Bravo bombing
- 4 ranges (B-16, B-17, B-19, and B-20) for Baseline and the Proposed Action Alternative 1. For B16 (Figure
- 5 5-1 and Figure 5-2), the contours are reduced over the current targets and shift westward for the new
- 6 helicopter gunnery target areas. The contour lines remain within the R-4803 restricted area.
- 7 For B-17, the CDNL and L<sub>Pk</sub> contours are shifted to the south and are greatly expanded compared to the
- 8 current contours. For Alternative 1 (Figure 5-3 and Figure 5-4), the CDNL and L<sub>Pk</sub> contours remain within
- 9 the proposed expanded R-4804 restricted area. For Baseline conditions, the contours stay within the
- 10 current R-4804 restricted area. For Alternative 3 (Figure 5-5 and Figure 5-6), the only proposed changes
- 11 occur at B-17 as described in Section 3.2. The Alternatives generate two distinct set of contours over each
- 12 of these target areas in expanded R-4804 restricted area. The CDNL contours for Alternative 3 are slightly
- 13 smaller because the operations are split between the two target areas, but the  $L_Pk$  115 dBPk contour does
- $14 \qquad \text{go outside of the range boundary to the west.}$
- 15 For B-19 (Figure 5-7), the results for CDNL are similar for both the Baseline and Alternative 1, as expected,
- 16 since B-19 does not have any proposed changes. The Alternative 1 results are slightly expanded by the
- 17 addition of F-35B/C proposed operations. The CDNL 57 dBC contours lie just outside the restricted area
- 18 to the south for both scenarios.
- 19 For B-20 (Figure 5-9), the peak and CDNL contours are shifted to the north with the expansion of B-20.
- 20 The peak and CDNL contours are expanded because of fewer restrictions on firing headings at the new
- 21 target locations. For both scenarios, the contours remain with the current and expanded restricted areas.
- The No Action Alternative would result in no noise from Air Gunnery operations since no range operationswould occur.





Figure 5-1. FRTC B-16 Range Results for Air Gunnery Operations (L<sub>cdn</sub>)





1 2 Figure 5-2. FRTC B-16 Range Results for Air Gunnery Operations (L<sub>Pk</sub>)





Figure 5-3. FRTC B-17 Range Results for Air Gunnery Operations for Baseline and Alternative 1 (L<sub>cdn</sub>)





1 2 Figure 5-4. FRTC B-17 Range Results for Air Gunnery Operations for Baseline and Alternative 1 (L<sub>Pk</sub>)





Figure 5-5. FRTC B-17 Range Results for Air Gunnery Operations for Baseline and Alternative 3 (L<sub>Cdn</sub>)





Figure 5-6. FRTC B-17 Range Results for Air Gunnery Operations for Baseline and Alternative 3 (L<sub>Pk</sub>)





1 2 Figure 5-7. FRTC B-19 Range Results for Air Gunnery Operations for Baseline and Proposed Action (L<sub>Cdn</sub>)





Figure 5-8. FRTC B-19 Range Results for Air Gunnery Operations for Baseline and Proposed Action (L<sub>Pk</sub>)





1 2 Figure 5-9. FRTC B-20 Range Results for Air Gunnery Operations for Baseline and Proposed Action (L<sub>cdn</sub>)





2 3

Figure 5-10. FRTC B-20 Range Results for Air Gunnery Operations for Baseline and Proposed Action (LPk)



## 1 6 High Explosives

#### 2 6.1 HE Expenditures

3 The FRTC features 2.75" rocket, Hellfire, Mk-82, Mk-83, and Mk-84 HE munitions. The noise associated 4 with the detonation of these HE rounds was modeled using BNoise and reviewed by Army PHC. The 5 Baseline H-60 Hellfire expenditures are shown in Table 6-1, and the fixed-wing bombing expenditures are 6 itemized in Table 6-3. For the Proposed Action Alternative 1, the annual H-60 rocket and Hellfire 7 expenditures within B-17 are split evenly between two new target locations, and the B-20 rocket and 8 Hellfire target location is shifted from the Baseline. Similarly, the annual fixed-wing bombing rounds 9 within B-17 and B-20 are split evenly between two new target locations under Proposed Alternative 1. B-10 19 remains unchanged between the Baseline and Proposed Alternative 1, except for the removal of Mk-11 84 rounds. The Proposed Alternative 1 H-60 rocket and Hellfire expenditures are shown in Table 6-4, and 12 the fixed-wing bombing expenditures are itemized in Table 6-6. Proposed Alternative 3 features shifted 13 Hellfire and bombing target locations for B-17, with revised annual expenditures (all other SUAs remain 14 the same as Alternative 1). All expenditures for both helicopters and fixed-wing under Proposed 15 Alternative 3 are shown in Table 6-7.

16	Table 6-1. Baseline Helico	pter Hellfire and Rock	et Blast Noise Ex	penditures for FRTC

		DNoise2		Target/Im	pact Areas	Events		Rounds	
SUA	Munition Type	Target Noise	Target ID	Easting UTM Coord.	Northing UTM Coord.	After 2200	Annual	Allocation	Subtotal
		Source		Zone 11	Zone 11	%	#	%	
B-17	Hellfine	111501	17-45	394635	4340398	100/	105	100%	105
B-20	пенние	IHFUI	20-45 <b>383188 44151</b>		4415105	10%	5	100%	5
D 17	2.75" FFAR		17.00	204615	4220076		37	100%	37
B-17	2.75" APKWS	12901	17-33	394015	4338870	10%	19	100%	19
D 20	2.75" FFAR	12801	20.22	202571	4414002	10%	28	100%	28
в-20	2.75" APKWS		20-33	382571	4414092		14	100%	14



		DNIaisa2		Target/Im	pact Areas	Events		Rounds		
SUA	Munition Type	Target Noise	Target ID	Easting UTM Coord.	Northing UTM Coord.	After 2200	Annual	Allocation	Subtota	
		Source		Zone 11	Zone 11	%	#	%		
			17-21	394573	4342880		14	25%		
	2 75" EEAD		17-23	394905	4342119		14	25%	FC	
	2.75 FFAR	12801	17-24	394383	4341390		14	25%	50	
	2.75" APKWS		17-33	394615	4338876		14	25%		
B-17	2.75" APKWS		17-33	394615	4338876	10%	28	100%	28	
			17-21	394573	4342880		1	25%		
	F 0" 7	ETN 24	17-23	394905	4342119		1	25%		
	5.0" Zuni	5.0" Zuni	EIN34	17-24	394383	4341390		1	25%	5
			17-33	33 <b>394615 433</b> 8			1	25%		
	2 75" 55AD	13901	19-3	356732	4335780		10	50%	10	
D 10	2.75 FFAR	12001	19-4	356095	4335607	100/	10	50%	19	
B-19	5 0ll 7	ETNI24	19-3	356732	4335780	10%	5	50%	10	
	5.0 Zuni	ETN34	19-4	356095	4335607		5	50%	10	
	2 75" EEAD		20-6	384766	4415033		14	50%	20	
D 20	-20 <b>2.75" FFAR</b> <b>2.75" APKWS</b>	12801	20-33	382571	4414092	100/	14	50%	20	
B-20 <b>2.75" APKV</b>		2.75" APKWS		20-33	382571	4414092	10%	14	100%	14
	5.0" Zuni	ETN34	20-6	384766	4415033		2	100%	2	

#### 1 Table 6-2. Baseline Fixed-wing Rocket Blast Noise Expenditures for FRTC

2

#### 3 Table 6-3. Baseline Fixed-wing Bombing Blast Noise Expenditures for FRTC

		PNoico2	BNoico2		Target/Impact Areas		Events	Rounds		
SUA	Munition Type	Target Noise	Target ID	Easting UTM Coord.	Northing UTM Coord.	After 2200	Annual	Allocation	Subtotal	
		Source		Zone 11	Zone 11	%	#	%		
B-17			B-17 Bombing	394213	4342078		950	100%	950	
B-19	Mk-82	BM201	19-4	356095	4335607	5%	324	100%	324	
B-20			20-6	384766	4415033		288	100%	288	
B-17			B-17 Bombing	394213	4342078		940	100%	940	
B-19	Mk-83	BM301	19-4	356095	4335607		300	100%	300	
B-20			20-6	384766	4415033		230	100%	230	
B-19		DN401	19-4	356095	4335607		26	100%	26	
B-20	IVIK-84	BIVI401	20-6	384766	4415033		275	100%	275	

4

#### 5 Table 6-4. Proposed Alternative 1 Helicopter Hellfire and Rocket Blast Noise Expenditures for FRTC

SUA		BNoise2 Target Noise Source	DNoise 2		Target/Im	Target/Impact Areas		Rounds		
	Munition Type		Target ID	Easting UTM Coord.	Northing UTM Coord.	After 2200	Annual	Allocation	Subtotal	
				Zone 11	Zone 11	%	#	%		
D 17		IHF01	B17_Hellfire 1	397386	4329216	10%	45	50%	90	
B-17	Hellfire		B17_Hellfire 2	396881	4326841		45	50%		
D 20			B20_Hellfire N	375710	4419639		0	0%		
B-20			B20_3HF1	375412	4420220		5	100%		
	2.75" FFAR		B17 HEI Area 1	389789	4327594	10%	37	100%	37	
B-17	2.75" APKWS	2.75" APKWS	North Hellfire	397433	4328840		10	50%	20	
			South Hellfire	396957	4326744		10	50%		
D 20	2.75" FFAR		20.22	202571	4414000		47	100%	47	
в-20	2.75" APKWS		20-33	3825/1	4414092		24	100%	24	



#### 1 Table 6-5. Proposed Alternative 1 Fixed-wing Rocket Blast Noise Expenditures for FRTC

		PNoico2		Target/Impact Areas		Events		Rounds			
SUA	Munition Type	Target Noise	Target ID	Easting UTM Coord.	Northing UTM Coord.	After 2200	Annual	Allocation	Subtotal		
		Source		Zone 11	Zone 11	%	#	%			
	2.75" FFAR		B17 HEI Area 1	389789	4327594		56	100%	56		
D 17	2 75" ADKIAS	12801	North Hellfire	397433	4328840		14	50%	28		
B-17	2.75 APKWS		South Hellfire	396957	4326744	10%	14	50%			
	5.0" Zuni	ETN34	B17 HEI Area 1	389789	4327594		5	100%	5		
	2.75" FFAR	12801	19-3	356732	4335780		10	50%	19		
D 10			19-4	356095	4335607		10	50%			
B-19	5.0" Zuni	ETN34	19-3	356732	4335780		5	50%	10		
			19-4	356095	4335607		5	50%			
			20-6	384766	4415033	-	10	33%	30		
	2.75" FFAR	2.75" FFAR I2801	20-33	382571	4414092		10	33%			
B-20			B20 HEI	376693	4416734		10	33%			
	2.75" APKWS		20-33	382571	4414092		14	100%	14		
	E 0" 7up:	ETN24	20-6	384766	4415033		1	50%			
	5.0" Zuni	5.0" Zuni	5.0" Zuni	ETIN34	B20 HEI	376693	4416734		1	50%	2

2 3 4

#### Table 6-6. Proposed Alternative 1 Fixed-wing Bombing Blast Noise Expenditures for FRTC

		PNoise 2		Target/Impact Areas		Events	Rounds			
SUA	Munition Type	Target Noise	Target ID	Easting UTM Coord.	Northing UTM Coord.	After 2200	Annual	Allocation	Subtotal	
		Source		Zone 11	Zone 11	%	#	%		
			B17_Bombing	394213	4342078		238	25%	950	
B-17	N41- 02	BM201	B17 HEI Area 1	389789	4327594		356	38%		
			B17 HEI Area 2	392170	4323342	5%	356	38%		
B-19	IVIK-02		19-4	356095	4335607		324	100%	324	
P 20			20-6	384766	4415033		144	50%	288	
B-20			B20 HEI Area 5	376693	4416734		144	50%		
	141.02	41, 02 004201	B17_Bombing	394213	4342078		235	25%	940	
B-17			B17 HEI Area 1	389789	4327594		353	38%		
			B17 HEI Area 2	392170	4323342		353	38%		
B-19	IVIK-85	DIVISUI	19-4	356095	4335607		300	100%	300	
P 20			20-6	384766	4415033		115	50%	230	
в-20			B20 HEI Area 5	376693	4416734		115	50%		
B-20	NAL OA	DN4401	20-6	384766	4415033		138	50%	275	
	IVIK-84	IVIK-84	IVIK-84	DIVI4U1	B20 HEI Area 5	376693	4416734		138	50%



l	Table 6-7. Proposed Alternative	3 Helicopter and Fixed-wing	g Blast Noise Expenditures for B-17
	•		

		PNoiso2		Target/Im	pact Areas	Events		Rounds		
Airframe	Munition Type	Target Noise	Target ID	Easting	Northing	After 2200	Annual	Allocation	Subtotal	
		Source		Zone 11	Zone 11	%	#	%	Subtotal	
H-60	Hellfire	IHF01	B17A3_HEI3	406615	4322174	10%	100	100%	100	
	2 75" FEAD		East HEI	406104	4323457		19	50%	38	
11.00	2.75 FFAR	13901	West HEI	395908	4317406	100/	19	50%		
H-00		12801	East HEI	406104	4323457	10%	5	50%	10	
	2.75 APKW3		West HEI	395908	4317406		5	50%	10	
	2 75" EEAR		East HEI	406104	4323457		28	50%	56	
	2.75 FFAR	I2801	West HEI	395908	4317406	10%	28	50%	- 28 6	
EA-18	2.75" APKWS		East HEI	406104	4323457		14	50%		
14-10			West HEI	395908	4317406		14	50%		
	5.0" Zuni	ETN34	East HEI	406104	4323457		3	50%		
			West HEI	395908	4317406		3	50%		
			B-17 Bombing	394213	4342078		238	25%	950	
			B17A3_HEI1	396362	4317119		178	19%		
	Mk-82	BM201	B17A3_HEI2	399684	4321861		178	19%		
			B17_HEI_West	395908	4317406		178	19%		
FA-18			B17_HEI_East	406805	4321881	5%	178	19%		
177 10			B-17 Bombing	394213	4342078	570	235	25%	940	
			B17A3_HEI1	396362	4317119		176	19%		
	Mk-83	BM301	B17A3_HEI2	399684	4321861		176	19%		
			B17_HEI_West	395908	4317406		176	19%		
				B17_HEI_East	406805	4321881		176	19%	

2

3

#### 4 6.2 HE Explosive Noise Results

5 Several of the air gunnery operations conducted within FRTC involve HE. For example, non-inert Hellfire

6 missiles have HE warheads. HE noise was modeled within the B-17, B-19, and B-20 ranges for both Baseline 7 and Bronosed Action target locations

7 and Proposed Action target locations.

8 For B-17, the Baseline and two Alternatives differ. For Alternative 1 and 3, all munitions expenditures will

9 be split between the current and proposed target locations, which is reflected in the modeled CDNL noise

10 contours in Figure 6-1 and Figure 6-2, respectively. The new target locations are located near varying

11 terrain, so the noise contours are reduced in size compared to the Baseline. For the current target area, 12 the Alternative CDNL contours are reduced by 6 dB. For the proposed target areas, the CDNL contours are

13 smaller in area than Baseline but further south of the current target area.

14 For B-19, the Baseline and Proposed noise levels modeled are the same since no changes are proposed

15 for B-19 (Figure 6-3). The resultant CDNL contours are concentric circular arcs from the SE to the NW.

- 16 Terrain effects reduce the extent of the contours to the NE.
- 17 For B-20, the Baseline CDNL contours are concentric circles since the terrain is primarily flat at the current
- 18 target area, where the Alternative 1 CDNL noise contours are oval shaped due to the two new target areas
- 19 (Figure 6-4). For Alternative 1, the 57 dBC contour lies outside the current R-4813, but well within the new
- 20 expanded R-4814 restricted area to the north, whereas the Baseline contour remains well within the
- 21 current restricted area.




1 2 Figure 6-1. FRTC B-17 Range Results for HE Noise for Baseline and Proposed Alternative 1 (L<sub>Cdn</sub>)





1 2 Figure 6-2. FRTC B-17 Range Results for HE Noise for Baseline and Proposed Alternative 3 (L<sub>Cdn</sub>)





1 2 Figure 6-3. FRTC B-19 Range Results for HE Noise for Baseline and Proposed Action (L<sub>Cdn</sub>)





 $\frac{1}{2}$ 

Figure 6-4. FRTC B-20 Range Results for HE Noise for Baseline and Proposed Action (L<sub>Cdn</sub>)



## 1 7 Ground-to-Ground Small Arms Training

- Navy SEALs conduct ground-based small arms firing within B-16. Figure 7-1 provides the potential firing areas along with the SDZ within B-16. Per Army PHC guidance, tactical small arms firing is not suitable for detailed modeling since it is random in nature. However, for command situational awareness, tactical small arms noise effects are instead represented via a noise buffer distance and/or area. The largest noise buffer distance produced from the SEAL training occurs from 0.50 Cal fire, which has a buffer distance of 2.9 NM for 87 dB<sub>Pk</sub> and 0.6 NM for 104 dB<sub>Pk</sub>. These buffer areas are also provided in Figure 7-1, which
- 8 shows that the 104 dB<sub>Pk</sub> buffer areas falls with the SDZ, but the 87 dB<sub>Pk</sub> falls approximately 0.6 NM outside
- 9 of the SDZ. Other small arms buffer areas will remain within the SDZ.



- 10 11
  - Figure 7-1. Small Arms Noise Buffer Area for B-16



## 1 8 References

- i US Department of the Navy, Office of the Chief of Naval Operations, OPNAVINST 3550.1A, Range Air Installations Compatible Use Zones (RAICUZ) Program, 28 January 2008.
- ii Federal Interagency Committee on Urban Noise (FICUN), Guidelines for Considering Noise in Land-Use Planning and Control, August 1980.
- iii US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Guidelines for Noise Impact Analysis, Report 550/9-82-105 and PB82-219205, April 1982.
- American National Standards Institute, Inc. (ANSI), American National Standard Quantities and Procedures for Description and Measurement of Environmental Sound, Part 5: Sound Level Descriptors for Determination of Compatible Land Use, ANSI S12.9/Part 5-1998 (R 2003), 2003.
- Stusnick, E., K.A. Bradley, J.A. Molino, and G. DeMiranda. The Effect of Onset Rate on Aircraft Noise Annoyance, Volume 2: Rented Home Experiment. Wyle Laboratories Research Report WR 92-3, March 1992.
- vi Stusnick, E., K.A. Bradley, M.A. Bossi, J.A. Molino, and D.G. Rickert. The Effect of Onset Rate on Aircraft Noise Annoyance, Volume 3: Hybrid Own-Home Experiment. Wyle Laboratories Research Report WR 93-22, December 1993.
- vii US Army, "Environmental Protection and Enhancement", Army Regulation 200-1, Headquarters Department of the Army, Washington, DC, December 2007.
- viii Ikelheimer, B., and Downing, M., "MR\_NMap Noise Model Improvements", Blue Ridge Research and Consulting Technical Report, 26 August 2013.
- ix Ikelheimer, B., Downing, M., James, M., and McInerny, S., "Airborne Weapons Noise Prediction Model", NOISE-CON 2007, Reno, NV, October 2007.
- x Downing, M., James, M., Ikelheimer, B., Hobbs, C., and McInerny, S., "Airborne Weaponry Noise Measurements", NOISE-CON 2007, Reno, NV, October 2007.
- xi Plotkin, K.J., C.L. Moulton, V.R. Desai, and M.J. Lucas, 1992b, Sonic Boom Environment Under a Supersonic Military Operating Area, Journal of Aircraft 29(6): 1069-1072.
- xii Plotkin, K.J., BooMap3 Computer Program for Sonic Boom Research, Wyle Laboratories Research Report WR 93-20, November 1993.
- xiii Plotkin, K.J., and F. Grandi, Computer Models for Sonic Boom Analysis: PCBoom4, CABoom, BooMap, CORBoom, Wyle Laboratories Research Report WR 02-11, June 2002.
- xiv BNoise2, Large Arms Noise Assessment Model, Version 2.0, rev. 12-01-2009, U.S. Army Construction Engineering Research Laboratories, 2009.



- xv Moulton, C.M., "Air Force Procedure for Predicting Noise Around Airbases: Noise Exposure Model (NoiseMap) Technical Report", Report AL-TR-1992-0059, 1992.
- xvi Bradley, K.A., "Routemap Version 2.0: Military Training Route Noise Model User's Manual", AL/OE-MN-1996-0002, Armstrong Research Laboratory, Brooks AFB, TX, June 1996.
- xvii Plotkin, K., V. Desai, M. Moulton, M. Lucas and R. Brown, Measurement of Sonic Booms due to ACM Training at White Sands Missile Range, Wyle Laboratories Research Report WR 89-12, 1989.
- xviii Plotkin, K.J., Sonic Boom Environment under a Supersonic Military Operating Area, AIAA 90-4032, October 22–24, 1990.
- xix Frampton, K., J. Lucas, and B. Cook, Modeling the Sonic Boom Noise Environment in Military Operations Areas, American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics (AIAA)-1993-4432, October 25–27, 1993.
- xx Plotkin, K.J., PCBoom3 Sonic Boom Prediction Model: Version 1.0c, Wyle Research Report WR 95-22C, May 1996.
- xxi Page, J., Plotkin, K., and Wilmer, C., PCBoom Version 6.6 Technical Reference and User Manual, Wyle Technical Report WR 10-10, November 2010.
- xxii Downing, M., N. Zamot, C. Moss, D. Morin, E. Wolski, S. Chung, K. J. Plotkin, and D. Maglieri, Controlled Focused Sonic Booms from Maneuvering Aircraft, Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 104(1); pp. 112–121, 1998.
- xxiii Czech, J. C. and Kester, P.H., "Noise Study for the Fallon Range Training Complex," Wyle Report WR 12-04, Arlington, VA, 2012.